

KINGDOM OF BAHRAIN
Ministry of Transportation
and Telecommunications



مملكة البحرين
وزارة المواصلات والاتصالات

CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATION

CAP 20

ADVANCED QUALIFICATION PROGRAMME



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Bahrain CAA Publication Revisions Highlight Sheet

CAP: 20

TPM:

The following pages have been revised to Revision 01 dated 27 June 2022.

Item	Chapter/Paragraph number	Page(s)	Reason
1.	---	ALL	Reflects current revision status and date. Global change from CAA to BCAA. Global Change to delete the reference to other authorities relevant to BCAA responsibilities. Global change from POI to Operations Inspector as BCAA does not have the POI concept.
2.	1.1.1	1-1	Deletion of outdated the document references.
3.	1.2.5	1-6	Deletion of non-existing position and note related to referring to authority other than BCAA
4.	5.1.2	5-1	Deletion of outdated training programme.
5.	5.1.3/5.2.2	5-5/5-7	Introduction of terms used in authorized certification personnel.
6.	6.1.1	6-1	Amended to introduce the requirement of AQP programme.
7.	6.2.1	6-1	Amendment to emphasis the BCAA approved AQPs.
8.	6.3.1	6-2	Amended to introduce the requirement of BCAA.
9.	6.3.2	6-2	Introduction of Examiner approval by BCAA & deletion of outdated notification.
10.	6.4.1 & 6.4.2	6-2	Paragraphs amended.
11.	Appendix 3	APP 3-9, 3-14, 3-15, 3-19 & 3-20	Correction to the name of authorities referred (BCAA) and deletion of outdated standards referred throughout the document.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

CAP 20

ADVANCED QUALIFICATION PROGRAMME

INDEX

Section	Title	Page No.
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	General	1-1
1.1.1	Purpose.....	1-1
1.1.2	Background.....	1-1
1.1.3	Benefits of AQP.....	1-1
1.2	AQP Overview	
1.2.1	General.....	1-2
1.2.2	AQP Objectives.....	1-3
1.2.3	AQP Characteristics.....	1-3
1.2.4	Equivalent Level of Safety and AQP Requirements.....	1-4
1.2.5	Developmental Assistance and Support.....	1-6
CHAPTER 2	AQP DEVELOPMENT PHASES	
2.1	Phases	2-1
2.1.1	Overview.....	2-1
2.1.2	Instructional Systems Development (ISD).....	2-1
2.1.3	Training Systems.....	2-2
	Figure 2-1 AQP Phase Development Process.....	2-3
2.2	Phase I: Application	2-3
2.2.1	Joint Meeting.....	2-3
2.2.2	The AQP Application.....	2-3
2.2.3	Approval.....	2-5
2.3	Phase II: Curriculum Development	2-6
2.3.1	Overview.....	2-6
2.3.2	Job Task Analysis (JTA).....	2-7
	Figure 2-2 Sample Pilot Job Task Listing.....	2-9
2.3.3	Qualification Standards.....	2-9
	Figure 2-3 AQP Continuing Qualification Critical/Currency Chart.....	2-12
	Figure 2-4 Rating Scale for Procedures Validation, First-Look, Manoeuvres Validation, LOE or Line Check.....	2-15
2.3.4	Instructional Systems Development Methodology Document.....	2-18
	Figure 2-5 Curriculum Development.....	2-20
2.3.5	Curriculum Outline Document.....	2-20
	Figure 2-6 Performance Difference Rating Scale.....	2-21
	Figure 2-7 Sample AQP Curriculum Outline.....	2-22
2.3.6	Implementation and Operations Plan (I&O Plan) Document.....	2-22
2.3.7	Approval.....	2-25



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

2.4	Phase III: Small Group Tryout (Implementation)	2-25
2.4.1	Overview	2-25
2.4.2	Approval.....	2-26
2.5	Phase IV: Initial Operations	2-26
2.5.1	Overview	2-26
2.5.2	Phase IV Activities.....	2-26
2.5.3	Revisions.....	2-27
2.5.4	Approval.....	2-27
2.6	Phase V: Continuing Operations	2-27
2.6.1	Overview	2-27
2.6.2	Data's Impact on Continuing Operations.....	2-27
2.6.3	Quality Assurance	2-27
2.7	Document and Reporting Requirements	2-28
2.7.1	Overview	2-28
	Figure 2-8 Document Structures Chart	2-31

CHAPTER 3 AQP CURRICULUMS AND CERTIFICATION

3.1	Curriculums	3-1
3.1.1	Background	3-1
3.1.2	Indoctrination Curriculum.....	3-1
3.1.3	Qualification Curriculum	3-1
	Figure 3-1 Flight Crewmember AQP Training, Validation and Evaluation	3-5
	Figure 3-2 AQP Validation/Evaluation Table.....	3-6
	Figure 3-3 Example of AQP Flight crew Qualification Curriculum Footprint.....	3-7
3.1.4	Continuing Qualification Curriculum	3-7
	Figure 3-4 Example of a Flight crew Continuing Qualification Curriculum Footprint.....	3-10
	Figure 3-5 Continuing Qualification Cycle.....	3-11
3.1.5	Secondary Curriculums.....	3-13
	Figure 3-6 AQP Secondary Curriculums	3-14
3.2	Licensing	3-15
3.2.1	General	3-15
3.2.2	AQP Training and Evaluation for Licensing	3-15
3.2.3	Completion of the Qualification Curriculum	3-15

CHAPTER 4 AQP APPROVAL AND DOCUMENTATION

4.1	Documentation Review and Approval	4-1
4.1.1	Overview	4-1
4.1.2	Review Process	4-1
4.1.3	Approval Process	4-1
	Figure 4-1 Five Phases of AQP Review and Approval.....	4-2
4.1.4	Phase Approvals.....	4-2
4.1.5	Method of Granting Approval.....	4-5
4.1.6	Revision Control Process	4-6
4.2	Withdrawal of Approval	4-6



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

4.2.1	General	4-6
4.2.2	Initial or Provisional.....	4-6
4.2.3	Final Approval	4-7
4.2.4	Appeal Withdrawal of Final Approval.....	4-7

CHAPTER 5 INSTRUCTORS AND EXAMINERS

5.1	Instructor/Examiner Programmes	5-1
5.1.1	General	5-1
5.1.2	Instructor/Examiner Curricula	5-1
5.1.3	Authorised Evaluation Personnel by AQP Event.....	5-5
	Figure 5-1 Authorised Evaluation Personnel by AQP Curriculum.....	5-6
5.2	Certification Personnel	5-6
5.2.1	Training	5-6
5.2.2	Authorised Certification Personnel	5-7
5.3	Quality Assurance and Standardisation	5-7
5.3.1	Quality Assurance	5-7
5.3.2	Standardisation.....	5-7

CHAPTER 6 TRAINING CENTRES

6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.1.1	Background	6-1
6.1.2	Training Centres Offering an AQP	6-1
6.2	Provisional Approval - Application and Development.....	6-1
6.2.1	Provisional AQP	6-1
6.3	Request and Approval for Use of Training Centres	6-2
6.3.1	Request.....	6-2
6.3.2	Development	6-2
6.4	Training Centre's Instructors and Examiners.....	6-2
6.4.1	Qualification.....	6-2
6.4.2	Instructor/Examiner Curriculum Approval.....	6-2
6.5	Data Collection and Recordkeeping.....	6-3
6.5.1	Data Collection	6-3
6.5.2	Data Submission	6-3
6.5.3	Record Keeping.....	6-3

CHAPTER 7 TRANSITION TO AQP

7.1	Overview	7-1
7.1.1	General	7-1
7.1.2	Entering AQP from an Established Training Programme.....	7-1
7.1.3	Transitioning to AQP using the SVE.....	7-1
7.2	Petition for Exemption.....	7-7
7.2.1	General	7-7



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

	Figure 7-1 Single Visit Exemption Process (SVE)	7-7
7.2.2	The Petition Process	7-7
7.2.3	The Petition	7-7
7.2.4	Granting of the Petition	7-8
7.2.5	Renewal Requirements for the SVE	7-8
7.3	SVTP	7-8
7.3.1	Overview	7-8
7.3.2	Contents	7-9
7.3.3	SVTP Proficiency Check	7-9
7.3.4	Approval of the SVTP.....	7-10
7.3.5	Revisions	7-10
7.3.6	Implementation of the SVTP	7-10
7.3.7	Data Submission and Reporting Requirements.....	7-11
7.3.8	SVTP Review Meeting	7-11
	Figure 7-2 Sample of Proficiency Check Fixed and Variable Manoeuvres.....	7-12

CHAPTER 8 AQP DATA MANAGEMENT

8.1	Introduction.....	8-1
8.1.1	Overview	8-1
8.1.2	Definitions.....	8-1
8.2	Data Management	8-2
8.2.1	Overview	8-2
8.2.2	Data Collection -- SVTP and AQP	8-2
8.2.3	Data Entry	8-2
8.2.4	Data Submission	8-3
8.3	Data Analysis and Reports	8-4
8.3.1	Overview	8-4
	Figure 8-1 Data Submission Requirements	8-5
	Figure 8-2 Performance Data Report Table	8-7
	Figure 8-3 Skill/Reason Table	8-10
	Figure 8-4 Training Objectives Report Table	8-11

CHAPTER 9 CREW RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

9.1	Introduction.....	9-1
9.2	Integrating CRM into an AQP	9-1
9.2.1	Scope of Integration	9-1
9.2.2	CRM/AQP Task List.....	9-2
9.2.3	CRM Knowledge and Skills	9-2
9.2.4	CRM and Proficiency Objectives.....	9-2
9.2.5	Training Events	9-3
9.2.6	Event Sets.....	9-3
9.2.7	Source.....	9-3
9.2.8	Curriculum Design.....	9-4
9.2.9	Indoctrination Curriculum.....	9-4
9.2.10	Qualification Curriculum	9-4



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

9.2.11	Continuing Qualification Curriculum	9-4
	Figure 9-1 Sample CRM Skills	9-5
	Figure 9-2 Sample Event Set Worksheet	9-7
Appendix 1	Definitions.....	APP 1-1
Appendix 2	Sample AQP Application Format	APP 2-1
Appendix 3	AQP Documentation Checklist and Review Job Aid	APP 3-1
Appendix 4	Qualification Standards Documentation	APP 4-1



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

LIST OF EFFECTIVE PAGES

CAP 20

i	27 June 22	3-2	27 June 22	8-3	27 June 22
ii	27 June 22	3-3	27 June 22	8-4	27 June 22
iii	27 June 22	3-4	27 June 22	8-5	27 June 22
iv	27 June 22	3-5	27 June 22	8-6	27 June 22
v	27 June 22	3-6	27 June 22	8-7	27 June 22
vi	27 June 22	3-7	27 June 22	8-8	27 June 22
vii	27 June 22	3-8	27 June 22	8-9	27 June 22
viii	27 June 22	3-9	27 June 22	8-10	27 June 22
		3-10	27 June 22	8-11	27 June 22
1-1	27 June 22	3-11	27 June 22	8-12	27 June 22
1-2	27 June 22	3-12	27 June 22	9-1	27 June 22
1-3	27 June 22	3-13	27 June 22	9-2	27 June 22
1-4	27 June 22	3-14	27 June 22	9-3	27 June 22
1-5	27 June 22	3-15	27 June 22	9-4	27 June 22
1-6	27 June 22	3-16	27 June 22	9-5	27 June 22
2-1	27 June 22	4-1	27 June 22	9-6	27 June 22
2-2	27 June 22	4-2	27 June 22	9-7	27 June 22
2-3	27 June 22	4-3	27 June 22	9-8	27 June 22
2-4	27 June 22	4-4	27 June 22		
2-5	27 June 22	4-5	27 June 22		
2-6	27 June 22	4-6	27 June 22		
2-7	27 June 22	4-7	27 June 22		
2-8	27 June 22	4-8	27 June 22		
2-9	27 June 22	5-1	27 June 22		
2-10	27 June 22	5-2	27 June 22		
2-11	27 June 22	5-3	27 June 22		
2-12	27 June 22	5-4	27 June 22		
2-13	27 June 22	5-5	27 June 22		
2-14	27 June 22	5-6	27 June 22		
2-15	27 June 22	5-7	27 June 22		
2-16	27 June 22	6-1	27 June 22		
2-17	27 June 22	6-2	27 June 22		
2-18	27 June 22	6-3	27 June 22		
2-19	27 June 22	6-4	27 June 22		
2-20	27 June 22	7-1	27 June 22		
2-21	27 June 22	7-2	27 June 22		
2-22	27 June 22	7-3	27 June 22		
2-23	27 June 22	7-4	27 June 22		
2-24	27 June 22	7-5	27 June 22		
2-25	27 June 22	7-6	27 June 22		
2-26	27 June 22	7-7	27 June 22		
2-27	27 June 22	7-8	27 June 22		
2-28	27 June 22	7-9	27 June 22		
2-29	27 June 22	7-10	27 June 22		
2-30	27 June 22	7-11	27 June 22		
2-31	27 June 22	7-12	27 June 22		
2-32	27 June 22	8-1	27 June 22		
3-1	27 June 22	8-2	27 June 22		

Appendices

APP 1-1	27 June 22
APP 1-2	27 June 22
APP 1-3	27 June 22
APP 1-4	27 June 22
APP 1-5	27 June 22
APP 1-6	27 June 22
APP 2-1	27 June 22
APP 2-2	27 June 22
APP 3-1	27 June 22
APP 3-2	27 June 22
APP 3-3	27 June 22
APP 3-4	27 June 22
APP 3-5	27 June 22
APP 3-6	27 June 22
APP 3-7	27 June 22
APP 3-8	27 June 22
APP 3-9	27 June 22
APP 3-10	27 June 22
APP 3-11	27 June 22
APP 3-12	27 June 22
APP 3-13	27 June 22
APP 3-14	27 June 22
APP 3-15	27 June 22
APP 3-16	27 June 22
APP 3-17	27 June 22
APP 3-18	27 June 22
APP 3-19	27 June 22



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

APP 3-20 27 June 22
APP 3-21 27 June 22
APP 3-22 27 June 22
APP 4-1 27 June 22
APP 4-2 27 June 22
APP 4-3 27 June 22
APP 4-4 27 June 22



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL

1.1.1 Purpose

This CAP provides the guidance for approval of an Advanced Qualification Programme (AQP) under ANTR-OPS 1.

AQP is a systematic methodology for developing the content of training programmes for commercial air transport crewmembers and dispatchers. It replaces programmed hours with proficiency-based training and evaluation derived from a detailed job task analysis that includes crew resource management (CRM). AQP incorporates data-driven quality control processes for validating and maintaining the effectiveness of curriculum content. AQP provides an alternate method of qualifying and certifying, if required, pilots, flight engineers, cabin crew, aircraft dispatchers, instructors, examiners, and other operations personnel subject to the training and evaluation requirements of ANTR-OPS 1.

The AQP encourages innovation in the methods and technology that are used during instruction and evaluation, and efficient management of training systems. The goal of AQP is to achieve the highest possible standard of individual and crew performance. A leading objective of AQP is to provide effective training that will enhance professional qualifications to a level above the present standards.

1.1.2 Background

The capabilities and use of simulators and other computer-based training devices in training and qualification activities have changed dramatically. AQP regulatory guidance and this AC allow certificate holders that are subject to the training and evaluation requirements of ANTR-OPS 1 to develop innovative training and qualification programmes that incorporate the most recent advances in training methods and techniques. AQP regulatory guidance and this AC also apply to training centres that intend to provide training for eligible certificate holders. AQP emphasizes crew-oriented training and evaluation.

These training and evaluation applications are now grouped under the general term of line operational simulations (LOS), including line oriented flight training (LOFT), special purpose operational training (SPOT), and line operational evaluation (LOE). Due to the role of CRM issues in fatal accidents, it has become evident that training curriculums should develop and evaluate both technical and CRM skills. In AQP, a structured LOS design process is employed to specify and integrate the required CRM and technical skills into LOS scenarios.

1.1.3 Benefits of AQP

Although AQP is a voluntary programme, the BCAA encourages scheduled commercial air transport operators to participate. AQP provides for enhanced curriculum development and a data-driven approach to quality assurance along with the flexibility to target critical tasks during aircrew training.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

The AQP methodology directly supports the BCAA's goals for safety enhancement. The primary goal of AQP is to achieve the highest possible standard of individual and crew performance. In order to achieve this goal, AQP seeks to reduce the probability of crew-related errors by aligning training and evaluation requirements more closely with the known causes of human error. For example:

- (a) **Crew Performance.** Most accidents are attributed to crew error. Traditional training programmes focus on individual training and evaluation. Under AQP, the focus is on crew and individual performance in both training and evaluation.
- (b) **CRM.** Most accidents are caused by errors of judgment, communication, and crew coordination. Traditional training programmes focus primarily on flying skills and systems knowledge. Under AQP, competence in flying skills and systems knowledge are integrated with CRM skills in training and evaluation throughout the curriculum.
- (c) **Scenario-Based Training and Evaluation.** Most accidents are caused by a chain of errors that build up over the course of a flight and which, if undetected or unresolved, result in a final, fatal error. Traditional training programmes, with their manoeuvre-based training and evaluation, artificially segment simulation events in such a way as to prevent the realistic build up of the error chain. Under AQP, both training and evaluation are scenario-based, simulating more closely the actual flight conditions known to cause most fatal carrier accidents.
- (d) **Additional Benefits.** Added benefits that are expected for individual applicants will vary, but may include:
 - (1) The ability to modify training curricula, media, and intervals.
 - (2) Crew evaluation as well as individual assessment.
 - (3) Improved Standardisation across fleets and flight personnel.
 - (4) Shift from programmed hours to proficiency-based training.
 - (5) Access to innovative training ideas and research.
 - (6) Opportunity to achieve more efficient training.

1.2 AQP OVERVIEW

1.2.1 General

The Advanced Qualification programme (AQP) integrates a number of training and evaluation features aimed at improving performance. AQPs are systematically developed, continuously maintained, and empirically validated proficiency-based training systems. They allow for the systematic analysis, design, development, implementation, evaluation, and maintenance of self-correcting training programmes.

- (a) **Phases of Development.** There are five phases for developing, implementing, and maintaining an AQP. A description of each phase is in Chapter 2. Each phase must be BCAA approved before the applicant may proceed to the next phase.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Each phase consists of specific activities, including the documentation of those activities. These documents must also be BCAA-approved.

- (b) **AQP Documentation.** In addition to the supporting documents and manuals provided to the BCAA in traditional training programmes, there are six documents and an annual report requirement that is unique to AQP. The documents are instrumental in managing the AQP and must be maintained throughout the life of the programme. The documents can be categorized in two groups, by their function and interrelationship: management and database. The documents, along with the annual report, comprise the Programme Audit Database (PADB).
- (1) Management Documents. These documents include the Application, Instructional Systems Development Methodology, and Implementation and Operations Plan. These documents are stand-alone in that a change in one will not necessitate a change in another.
 - (2) Database Documents. These documents include the Task Analysis, Qualification Standards, and Curriculum Outlines. Because a change to one often means a change to the others, it is recommended that they be maintained in an interactive database.
 - (3) Annual Report. The purpose of the report is to identify changes to the curriculums, training equipment upgrades, and the AQP Maintenance Strategy resulting from feedback and analysis of the information in the Performance/Proficiency Database (PPDB). Details of the report are found in Chapter 2, Phase V: Continuing Operations.

1.2.2 AQP Objectives

- (a) Support safe operations by continuously improving training and evaluation.
- (b) Remain responsive to continuing changes in the industry, including new aircraft technology, changing operational environments, and new training methods and equipment.
- (c) Remain responsive to continuing changes and best practices relative to training and evaluation.

1.2.3 AQP Characteristics

- (a) Participation is voluntary.
- (b) Employs innovative training and qualification concepts with the regulatory flexibility to tailor training to individual company circumstances.
- (c) Uses performance data to drive curriculum changes.
- (d) Qualification is based on individual and team performance, using progressive evaluations of proficiency objectives, and the structure and maintenance of all elements (curriculum, facilities, training equipment, instructors, examiners, courseware, and quality assurance) of the programme.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (e) Data collection and analysis to empirically validate individual and team proficiency, and the AQP itself.
- (f) Training is systematically developed with an audit trail for all training and data requirements.

1.2.4 Equivalent Level of Safety and AQP Requirements

AQP is a voluntary programme that entails a strong commitment from the air transport operator to exceed minimum training standards in the greater interest of safety. To determine an equivalent level of safety of an airline's AQP, the entire training system must be examined as a comprehensive whole rather than considering any one component in isolation. To ensure that the AQP does establish an initial justification and a continuing process to show an equivalent level of safety, mandatory requirements have been established.

Under an AQP, the BCAA monitors the process as well as the product. Instead of basing curriculums on prescribed generic manoeuvres, procedures and knowledge items, AQP curriculums are based on a detailed analysis of the specific job tasks, knowledge, and skill requirements of each duty position for the individual airline. Compared to traditional training programmes, the AQP process provides a systematic basis for establishing an audit trail between training requirements and training methodologies. AQPs are systematically developed, continuously maintained, and empirically validated, proficiency-based training systems. They allow for the systematic analysis, design, development, implementation, progressive evaluation, and maintenance of self-correcting training programmes that include integrated CRM, improved instructor/examiner Standardisation, scenario-based evaluation, and a comprehensive data-driven quality assurance system.

- (a) Comply with all aspects of the approved AQP.
- (b) The processes used for development, implementation and maintenance of programme operations will be continued throughout the life of the programme.
- (c) Accommodate make, model, and series aircraft (or variant).
- (d) May build upon an existing training programme or be completely new.
- (e) Provide three basic types of curriculums for every duty position; indoctrination (for new hires, new instructors, and new examiners); qualification; and continuing qualification. Specialty curriculums (transition, upgrade, requalification, refresher, etc.) will be derivatives from these basic types.
- (f) Duty positions to be covered must include all flight crewmember positions, instructors, and examiners, and may include other positions, such as cabin crews, aircraft dispatchers, and other operations personnel.
- (g) Provide satisfactory justification to the BCAA that the proficiency-based qualification of personnel under AQP meets or exceeds existing ANTR-OPS 1 standards.
- (h) Each applicable ANTR-OPS 1 requirement that is not specifically addressed in the AQP continues to apply to the AOC holder.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (i) Document the requirements of ANTR-OPS 1, which would be replaced by an AQP curriculum.
- (j) The certificate holder must establish an initial justification and a continuing process to show how the AQP curriculum provides an equivalent level of safety for each requirement to be replaced.
- (k) Any training or evaluation that is satisfactorily completed in the calendar-month before or the calendar-month after the calendar-month in which it is due is considered to have been completed in the calendar-month it was due.
- (l) Curricula must be based on an instructional systems development methodology. This methodology must incorporate a thorough analysis of the certificate holder's operations, aircraft, line environment and job functions.
- (m) A list of, and text describing, the knowledge requirements, subject materials, job skills, and qualification standards of each task to be trained and evaluated.
- (n) A list of, and text describing, supervised operating experience, evaluation and remediation strategies, provisions for special tracking, and how recency of experience requirements will be accomplished.
- (o) All curricula will include planned (not programmed) hours for ground training, flight training, evaluation, and operating experience.
- (p) Qualification and continuing qualification curricula must integrate the training and evaluation of CRM/DRM and technical skills and knowledge.
- (q) Include line operational training (e.g., full crew LOS).
- (r) Use LOE or an equivalent evaluation under an AQP acceptable to the BCAA for proficiency evaluations.

Note: Regardless of number of events sets, a crash (i.e., unsafe individual or crew performance that would result in significant damage, hull loss, or loss of life) during an LOE constitutes a failure of the LOE.

- (s) Integrate appropriate advanced flight training equipment. Flight-training devices and simulators will be used to support scenario-based training as appropriate.
- (t) Develop data collection and analysis processes in order to obtain performance information on crewmembers, dispatchers, instructors, examiners, and other operations personnel that will enable the certificate holder and the BCAA to determine whether the form and content of training and evaluation activities are satisfactorily accomplishing the overall objectives of the curriculum.

Note: The BCAA uses de-identified data for programme monitoring not to monitor individual crewmember, dispatcher or other operations personnel.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (u) Provide a Master AQP Transition Schedule (MATS) that provides a plan to transition from a traditional programme to an AQP. In addition, the MATS should include a plan on how the applicant would return to a traditional programme if that becomes necessary or desirable at some later date. This is not to imply that the traditional programme must be kept current once AQP is entered, but only how the applicant would update the programme and the timeframe needed for implementation.

1.2.5 Developmental Assistance and Support

Applicants may avail themselves of a wide range of support organisations, documents and services in the development of their AQPs. There is considerable support available from government and non-government sources. The BCAA Aircraft Operations Section is the primary support available to all AQP applicants and participants. This Section includes a Chief and Aircraft Operations Inspectors, and other BCAA personnel to transition applicants into AQP and to maintain the quality of those programmes following full implementation.

An Evaluation Review Team (ERT) is appointed by the BCAA with a task manager responsible for ensuring that the regulatory requirements for AQP are met and approved AQP standards are maintained. In this capacity, the task manager provides oversight of the AQP process and documentation through all phases. The task manager will retain a quality assurance role and coordinate with the Operations Inspector any modifications and subsequent approvals throughout the AQP's lifetime.

The Operations Inspector is responsible for ensuring that AQP regulatory requirements are met and, that through surveillance, the AQP provides a satisfactory level of safety and flight crew competence. The Operations Inspector will provide oversight of the Instructor/Examiner programme and adherence to approved documentation for all AQP curricula. The Operations Inspector will coordinate with the task manager any modifications and subsequent approvals throughout the AQP's lifetime. The review and surveillance of an AQP prior to final approval is equivalent to a re- certification of the applicants' entire training programme. To ensure maintaining an effective and standardised process, the task manager will lead a team to conduct the review and certification of the AQP. The review will also include (but not necessarily be limited to) the following:

- (a) The Operations Inspector (or a designated representative).
- (b) Training Centre Programme manager, (if applicable).
- (c) The assigned flight crew programme manager (if any).
- (d) A cabin safety inspector (if required).
- (e) A dispatcher (if required).
- (f) A data management specialist (if required).



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

CHAPTER 2

AQP DEVELOPMENT PHASES

2.1 PHASES

2.1.1 Overview

The development of an Advanced Qualification Programme (AQP) is a five-phase process. This process provides a structured building-block approach to programme development. This approach results in a programme with fully documented curriculums, supporting rationale, and development methodology. The use of this Standardised AQP development process, along with its documentation requirements, allows an AQP applicant to develop training and evaluation programmes based on analyzed and justifiable requirements. The documentation from this five phase process will then serve as the regulatory basis for promulgating the AQP-developed training and evaluation programmes. Each phase is approved sequentially. Each phase consists of specific activities, including the documentation of those activities. These documents must also be BCAA-approved. An applicant cannot exercise any training and evaluation provisions permitted in Phases III, IV, or V, respectively, until each preceding phase is completed and approved by the BCAA (see Figure 2-1). The phases are;

- (a) Phase I - Application
- (b) Phase II - Curriculum Development
- (c) Phase III - Small Group Tryout (Implementation)
- (d) Phase IV - Initial Operations
- (e) Phase V - Continuing Operations

Note: Refer to Appendix 3 for AQP Documentation Checklist and Review Job Aid

2.1.2 Instructional Systems Development (ISD)

The BCAA has incorporated the use of the systematic methodology known as ISD into the AQP process. Applicants may employ any of a wide range of current ISD models or customize their own approaches to curriculum development. This chapter provides one acceptable methodology, but applicants are encouraged to be creative in tailoring their own ISD approach to their requirements, subject to BCAA approval. Innovation and practical application may result in equally acceptable AQPs. Because some ISD models are far more complex than others, the BCAA has found it useful to define its minimal ISD requirements. These are:

- (a) Develop a job task listing.
- (b) Analyze that listing to determine essential skill and knowledge requirements (either directly or by reference).
- (c) Determine which skill and knowledge requirements must be trained/tested.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (d) Develop proficiency objectives that capture all training requirements.
- (e) Develop qualification standards that define acceptable operational performance levels.
- (f) Develop tests that measure proficiency in skill and knowledge areas.
- (g) Provide instructional programmes that teach and test training requirements.
- (h) Establish and maintain an audit trail of explicit links between task requirements, training requirements, training and evaluation activities, and evaluation results.
- (i) Measure student performance against proficiency objectives and qualification standards for all curriculums.
- (j) Revise the training programme based on student performance levels on an ongoing basis.

This de-identified data (stored in the Performance/Proficiency Database) will be collected and reported to the BCAA on a regular basis.


2.1.3 Training Systems

While all applicants must meet the minimum requirements of the ISD approach, the amount of effort each applicant should put into each step of their training analysis and development depends on a number of factors. Applicants may use the ISD process to build complete training systems from the ground up, to build a proficiency-based quality control shell around an existing training system, or to make minor modifications to existing proficiency-based curriculums. Building a training system from the ground up for a new aircraft will require far more depth of analysis and development than merely modifying a current curriculum for an existing aircraft.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Figure 2-1



2.2 PHASE I: APPLICATION

2.2.1 Joint Meeting

AQP begins with a letter from the applicant, to the Director Aeronautical Licensing, stating their intent to develop an AQP. The Aircraft Operations Section will discuss the applicant's plans with the task manager and Operations Inspector. The BCAA will respond to the certificate holder, through the task manager, with a Letter of Acknowledgment requesting a meeting with representatives of the certificate holder. The focus of this meeting is to ensure that all parties understand AQP, specifically the responsibilities and the commitment needed to complete the project. Discussions will include the anticipated benefits of AQP, AQP entry and exit strategies, the development process requirements, possible problem areas, and available tools. Notification of entry into AQP Phase I, Application Development, will be by letter from the BCAA to the applicant.

2.2.2 The AQP Application

The purpose of the application is to provide an overview of the applicant's plan for developing an AQP for all of its fleets, instructors and examiners, and for non-fleet specific curriculum (i.e., indoctrination). The application is submitted once and is updated as information in the application warrants changing (e.g., a change in the schedule, adding new aircraft, initiating cabin crew or dispatcher AQP programmes, etc.). To establish the applicant's intent and approach for developing an AQP, the application should address thoroughly the following topics:

- (a) **Letter of Application** (See Appendix 2). The letter should clearly state the certificate holder's intent to develop, implement, and operate an AQP.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (b) **Data Collection, Submission and Analysis Reporting.** The AQP applicant must acknowledge their understanding and acceptance of the AQP Performance Data requirements as defined in Chapter 8 of this CAP.
- (c) **Operating Environment Description.** Applicants should describe their operating environment, including the general physical environmental factors expected to be encountered in operations. Environmental factors are critical to development of line operational simulation (LOS) scenarios and meaningful proficiency objectives. Environmental factors include:
- (1) Weather norms and extremes.
 - (2) Normal, abnormal and emergency equipment operation.
 - (3) Geographic areas of operations.
- (d) **Training Equipment Description and Location.** The application should describe the training equipment, its location, and the organisation responsible for its security and maintenance. If the type of training equipment for the AQP is not known at the time the application is submitted, the application will be updated when the training equipment requirements are identified.
- (e) **Facilities Description.** Each AQP submission should describe the TRTO facilities the applicant intends to use. The description should include the location, type of facility, classrooms, training aids, courseware, and other features that contribute to creating and maintaining a positive learning environment.
- (f) **Trainee Demographics.** The application should provide a summary of demographic data on the experience level of the pilots, flight engineers, cabin crews, and dispatchers that will be trained under AQP. This data includes the current ground and flight instructors and examiners that are expected to continue these functions under AQP. For each fleet, this information would identify the:
- (1) Entry requirements for ground and flight instructors and examiners.
 - (2) Entry requirements for new hires.
 - (3) Students should be identified as a group in terms of previous experience.
 - (4) Current and anticipated need for replacement crewmembers by duty position.
- (g) **AQP Organisation.** This section explains the organisational resources that the air transport operator plans to employ for AQP development. Generally, an AQP applicant's staff should support the following functions:
- (1) **AQP Coordination.** The company focal point for the AQP development effort. Responsible for AQP leadership and is the primary contact with the BCAA and any other external organisations that may impact the applicant's AQP. This position should be filled with an individual who understands job task analysis and the training requirements of AQP and ANTR-OPS 1.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (2) Subject Matter Expertise. Crewmembers, dispatchers and other operations personnel who are current and qualified in company operations and represent the population of professionals the AQP will address. The individuals may be called upon to act as liaison with operational support personnel.
 - (3) Document and Curriculum Development. Interfaces with the AQP Coordinator and the Subject Matter Experts (SME) to develop the requisite AQP process, curriculum, and instructor/examiner documents.
 - (4) Document Management. Ensures AQP document control and congruence with BCAA requirements.
 - (5) Computer Support/Database Management. Oversight of the development and management of the performance/proficiency data acquisition and analysis system. In addition, this individual could be used for other computer-related issues related to the facilitation of an AQP, such as electronic document review.
- (h) **Master AQP Transition Schedule (MATS).** The MATS will depict the projected transition/development schedule for all AQP curriculums. Since these schedules usually change, this section of the application must be updated accordingly. Transition from one type training programme to another (traditional to Single Visit Training Plan (SVTP) to AQP, or traditional to AQP) may include a period of overlap as one programme is phased in and the other phased out.

The following guidelines for transition are applicable:

- (1) Currently qualified personnel may transition between traditional recurrent training curriculums and continuing qualification curriculums.
- (2) Personnel who have completed a traditional training programme initial, transition or upgrade curriculums may enter a continuing qualification curriculum.
- (3) Partial MATS (incomplete) are not acceptable.
- (4) The MATS may provide for incremental implementation of indoctrination, qualification, and continuing qualification curriculums for each AQP.
- (5) The MATS must provide the time frame necessary to withdraw from AQP if it becomes necessary to revert to the traditional ANTR-OPS 1 training programme.

2.2.3 Approval

Approval of the application means that the project may proceed further and marks the end of phase I and the beginning of phase II.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

2.3 PHASE II: CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

2.3.1 Overview

Phase II is the development of the training curricula that apply to any of the duty positions addressed in the Phase I application (e.g., pilots, cabin crews, dispatchers, instructor, examiners). There are five general stages in this developmental process, each represented by the required document listed below:

- Job Task Analysis (JTA)
- Qualification standards
- Instructional Systems Development (ISD) methodology
- Curriculum outlines
- Implementation and Operations Plan (I&O Plan)

The task analysis is the basic document that supports the development and analysis of the qualification standards. The ISD methodology defines how the task analysis and qualifications standards will be used to support the development of the curriculums. The last document is the I&O Plan, which explains how the AQP will be put into practice. The applicant must establish, demonstrate, and maintain a clear linkage between each of these steps. This linkage is provided by a systematic approach to the development of a complete instructional system. This section recommends a systematic approach and a methodology that is acceptable to the BCAA, but innovation and practical application may result in equally acceptable variations.

- Rationale.** Under traditional training programmes, the BCAA evaluates finished training curriculums by comparing their contents, as described in a curriculum outline, to guidance in the regulations. Under an AQP, the review team evaluates these curriculums by monitoring their development and by approving a series of documents that are preliminary to the curriculum outline. These documents include the task analysis, the qualification standards, and the ISD Methodology. This continuing process allows the BCAA greater insight into the rationale used by the applicant to develop each component of each curriculum.
- Analysis-Based Approach.** The analysis-based approach allows each applicant the opportunity to develop operator specific training programmes. Consequently, AQP curriculums will be more sensitive to differences in aircraft, operating conditions, emergency and abnormal contingencies, student skill levels, and other operational variables, than are traditional programmes. Under an AQP, the BCAA monitors the process as well as the product. Instead of basing curriculums on prescribed generic manoeuvres, procedures and knowledge items, AQP curriculums are based on a detailed analysis of the requirements of each duty position in each organisation. To obtain BCAA approval, these new AQP curriculums must be judged to be equal to, or provide better training than, a traditional training programme. AQP curricula do not need to duplicate traditional curricula in order to satisfy this criterion, provided that they otherwise incorporate an alternative approach that addresses the required skills and knowledge to perform the job tasks.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

2.3.2 Job Task Analysis (JTA)

To understand task analysis as it applies to AQP, it is necessary to build upon the following definitions:

- **Job:** A job is the summation of functions, identified as tasks and subtasks, performed by an individual at work.
- **Function:** One of the major subdivisions of work activities performed by one individual. One or more functions constitute a job. Examples of functions applicable to AQP would be: phases of flight, such as takeoff, climb, cruise, etc.
- **Task:** A task is a unit of work within a function having an identifiable beginning and ending point, which results in a measurable product. An example of a task applicable to AQP would be: perform a normal takeoff.
- **Subtask:** Specific separate step or activity required in the accomplishment of a task. An example of a sub-task applicable to AQP would be: perform rotation and liftoff.
- **Element:** A component of training analysis or design. In the case of task analysis, the element may be used as a level of analysis: phase of flight, task, subtask, element, sub-element (a further component of training analysis necessary in the accomplishment of a subtask (e.g., rotate aircraft at Vr to 12 degrees of pitch)). In the case of curriculum design, the element may be used as a level of curriculum organisation: curriculum, segment, module, lesson, lesson element, etc.

JTA is the method or procedure used to reduce a unit of work to its base components. The JTA document consists of a detailed, sequential listing of tasks, subtasks, and elements (if required) with the knowledge and skills (technical and crew resource management (CRM)) that clearly define and completely describe the job. The following is a description of the above components:

- (a) **Job Task List.** The task analysis process begins with the development of a job task list that includes all of the major tasks performed by those who hold a particular duty or seat position. For example, a pilot-in-command (PIC) job task list includes all major activities involved in operating an aircraft, including conducting ground operations, performing takeoffs, etc. A cabin crew job task list includes all major activities involved in supporting passenger operations, such as performing check-in procedures, performing passenger boarding procedures, performing pre-takeoff cabin preparation procedures, etc. An instructor job task list includes all the major activities involved in teaching students, such as preparing training materials, managing the learning environment, operating training equipment, etc.
- (1) **Structure.** For complex jobs, it is best to divide the job task into its subtasks and elements. Figure 2-2 illustrates how the pilot job task list can be divided, in this case, into 11 phases of operations. Each phase of operations can be then divided into job tasks, subtasks, elements, etc. For example,

2.0 Takeoff can be subdivided into

- 2.1 Perform Normal Takeoff,



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- 2.2 Perform Instrument Takeoff,
- 2.3 Perform Engine Failure after V1 Takeoff, and
- 2.4 Perform Rejected Takeoff.

Each of these job tasks can then be further divided into subtasks. For example

2.1 Perform Normal Takeoff, can be subdivided into

- 2.1.1 Assess Performance and Environmental Factors,
- 2.1.2 Perform Takeoff Roll,
- 2.1.3 Perform Rotation and Liftoff, and so on.

Where necessary, these subtasks can be further subdivided into elements. For example,

2.1.3 Perform Rotation and Liftoff can be subdivided into

- 2.1.3.1 Rotate aircraft at VR to target pitch angle,
- 2.1.3.2 Observe barometric/ADC altimeter increase [PF],
- 2.1.3.3 Call out positive rate [PM], etc.

- (2) **Hierarchy.** By dividing tasks into subtasks, elements, and sub-elements, each job task listing produces a numbered hierarchy of job requirements for each duty position. These job requirements are essentially the graduation requirements for the courses from which they are developed. Through a series of additional analyses, these job requirements are translated into the training objectives of the various AQP curriculums: Indoctrination, Qualification, and Continuing Qualification. The tasks are translated into terminal proficiency objectives (TPO) and the subtasks into supporting proficiency objectives (SPO). Elements are translated into Enabling Objectives (EO). The hierarchical numbering system is retained as the basis of the audit trail that connects job requirements and performance with curriculum requirements and performance.
- (3) **Rationale.** Proficiency-based training systems always begin with the development of a detailed task listing. This means that required job proficiency is the basis for designing, developing, operating and maintaining the training system. Task 1.1.1 will be taught in lesson 1.1.1, element 1.1.1, assessed using test item 1.1.1, and those test results used to validate that the individual/crew can perform task 1.1.1. This audit trail links job performance to training performance throughout every component of the training curriculum.

CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 2-2.
SAMPLE PILOT JOB TASK LISTING

1. Ground Operations
- 2.0 Takeoff
 - 2.1 Perform Normal Takeoff
 - 2.1.1 Assess Performance and Environmental Factors
 - 2.1.2 Perform Takeoff Roll
 - 2.1.3 Perform Rotation and Liftoff
 - 2.1.3.1 Rotate aircraft at VR to target pitch angle [PF]
 - 2.1.3.2 Observe barometric/ADC altimeter increase [PF]
 - 2.1.3.3 Call out positive rate [PM]
 - 2.1.3.4 Retract Gear [PF, PM]
 - 2.1.3.5 Establish Climb Speed [PF]
 - 2.2 Perform Instrument Takeoff
 - 2.3 Perform Engine Failure after V1 Takeoff
 - 2.4 Perform Rejected Takeoff
3. Climb Operations
4. Cruise Operations
5. Descent Operations
6. Approach Operations
 - 6.1 Perform Approach
 - 6.1.1 Perform Visual Approach
 - 6.1.2 Perform Non-Precision Approach Procedures (VOR, NDB, LOC, LOC/BC, LDA, SDF, ASR, RNAV/FMS, GPS)
 - 6.1.3 Perform Cat II ILS
 - 6.1.4 Perform Cat IIIb ILS
 - 6.1.5 Perform Coupled Autopilot Approach and Autoland Procedures
 - 6.2 Perform One Engine Inoperative Cat I ILS Approach and Landing
 - 6.3 Perform One Engine Inoperative Missed Approach
 - 6.4 Perform Visual Approach and Rejected landing
7. Landing Operations
 - 7.1 Normal Configuration
 - 7.2 Auto Land
 - 7.3 No-flap
8. After Landing Operations
9. Aircraft Systems Operations
10. Abnormals and Emergency Procedures
11. Supplementary Procedures

- (b) **Learning Analysis.** The second part of the JTA is sometimes called competency analysis, skill analysis, knowledge skills and abilities (KSA) analysis, or hierarchical analysis. Here, those tasks, subtasks or elements that were selected for training are further analyzed into their more basic knowledge and skill level (attitudes are optional). This learning analysis will determine, to a finer level of detail, exactly what should be learned and the best approaches for teaching and testing what is to be learned. While the JTA adds greater specificity to the performance and training requirements of the tasks, the learning analysis defines in greater detail what should be taught and tested, and how it should be taught and tested, to ensure that the students acquire those job performance requirements.
- (c) **JTA Document.** As discussed in Chapter 1, the JTA document is one of six documents unique to AQP that is maintained in a current status throughout the programme's lifetime and must have an acceptable revision control methodology.

2.3.3 Qualification Standards

A qualification standard is a job task proficiency objective (TPO or SPO) linked to an evaluation strategy. A certificate holder's qualification standards define the requirements of mastery for specific duty positions and replace the practical test standards (PTS) for



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

certification under AQP. The qualification standards document is the single most important part of any AQP. It provides the complete proficiency baseline for all duty positions and serves as the basis for the curriculum development for both the Qualification Curriculum and Continuing Qualification Curriculum. The first step in the development of qualification standards requires the development of proficiency objectives from the JTA.

(a) **Proficiency Objectives.** A proficiency objective is the result of applying a performance statement, condition(s), and proficiency standard(s) to a task or a subtask. For each duty position, there are two types of proficiency objectives, both developed from the task analysis: TPOs, which are developed from tasks; and SPOs, which are developed from subtasks.

- A performance statement specifies precisely what behaviour must be exhibited
- A condition statement identifies operational and equipment contingencies and environmental factors under which the behaviour will be accomplished
- A standard or criterion statement establishes the parameters and tolerances that define satisfactory performance.

Note: All document references used in defining the performance, conditions, and standards for each proficiency objective must be listed by title and chapter in the documentation of the proficiency objectives in the qualification standards document.

- (1) **TPOs.** Task Proficiency Objectives are statements of performance, conditions, and standards established at the task level. A complete set of TPOs will fully describe a particular job in the applicant's flight operation. TPOs are classified as critical and/or currency based on an operational assessment in the analysis process. This classification determines the frequency with which these tasks are evaluated during the continuing qualification cycle. TPOs also include the range of flight training equipment and the abnormal and emergency contingencies to be considered for training and evaluation.
- (2) **SPOs.** Sub-task Proficiency Objectives are statements of performance, conditions, and standards established at the sub-task level. SPOs are used to develop training and evaluation curriculum lessons, modules, and segments. SPOs include the range of flight training equipment and the abnormal and emergency contingencies to be considered for training and evaluation.
- (3) **Enabling (Learning) Objectives (EO).** EOs are used to prepare individuals and crews for subsequent training in an operational cockpit environment. An applicant may identify a certain knowledge factor, cognitive skill, motor skill, or CRM factor as an enabling proficiency objective. These are not normally carried forward in the supporting performance objective statement and, therefore, are not normally found on the qualifications standards document. However, performance of an SPO would depend on a student first acquiring the particular knowledge, skill, attitude, or CRM factor covered by an enabling objective.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Note: A learning objective (usually an EPO), which does not require operational conditions, can be demonstrated in a classroom or academic type setting. A performance objective (usually a TPO or SPO), which requires operational conditions, must be demonstrated in an environment equivalent to the operational environment.

- (4) **Task Factors Analysis.** This process rates TPOs and SPOs using the following factors: criticality, currency, need for training, applicable conditions, and applicable standards. The determination of criticality and currency guides when and how the objective is trained, validated, or evaluated (see Figure 2-3). To make this determination, the analyst answers a series of questions about each TPO and SPO to describe its performance requirements, both on the line and in the training setting. Criticality is a determination of the relative impact of substandard task performance on overall safety. It indicates an increased need for awareness, care, exactness, accuracy, or correctness during task performance. Critical tasks are proficiency objectives that are trained, validated, or evaluated more frequently during an AQP evaluation period. A currency task is a proficiency objective for which individuals and/or crews maintain proficiency by repeated performance of the item in normal line, duty or work operations. Most currency items are validated during line checks and may be sampled in the Continuing Qualification Cycle. Tasks that are determined to be critical and not current are trained, validated, or evaluated each evaluation period. Tasks that are determined to be neither critical nor current are trained, validated, or evaluated each continuing qualification cycle.

Note: Many flight crew job task SPOs do not fit the classic definition of a subtask; a specific separate step or activity required in the accomplishment of a task. In recognition, non-critical/non-current SPOs under a common TPO that differ only in knowledge requirements may be trained, validated, or evaluated in a Flight Synthetic Training Device (FSTD), during line checks, using orals, written or electronic exams, classroom briefings or distributed material. However, it is recommended that these SPOs, as appropriate, be demonstrated in a FSTD on a recurring cycle approved by the review team.

The BCAA recommends that the applicant examine each task, subtask, and element, as appropriate, for the following factors:

- (a) Primary factors to be considered:
- (1) Statement of performance.
 - (2) Environmental conditions affecting difficulty/success.
 - (3) Performance standards (parameters with tolerances).
 - (4) Abnormal and emergency procedure contingencies.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (5) Document references (title and section) governing or specifying the operation.
 - (6) Consequence of error to safety.
 - (7) Relative difficulty.
- (b) Additional factors:
- (1) Equipment and system operation dependencies (if used for establishing learning sequences for curriculum development).
 - (2) Criterion for success upon which performance standards are based. If new performance standards are created, this criterion should be established for each task and subtasks (e.g., the tracking standards for very high frequency (VHF) omni-directional radio (VOR) approaches are based on navigation requirements). The navigation requirements are the criteria for success. Success criteria are developed in those cases where current standards are missing or thought to be inadequate.

FIGURE 2-3.
AQP CONTINUING QUALIFICATION CRITICAL/CURRENCY CHART

Training Priority	Critical (Y/N)	Currency (Y/N)	Terminal Proficiency Objective/Supporting Proficiency Objective
1	YES	NO	Train, validate, or evaluate each evaluation period.
2	NO	NO	Train, validate, or evaluate each Continuing Qualification Cycle.
3	YES	YES	Sample at first look/MV/LOE and/or line checks each evaluation period.
4	NO	YES	Sample at first look/MV/LOE and/or line checks each Continuing Qualification Cycle

Training Priority	Critical	Current	Month	Sample
1	Yes	No	12	No
2	No	No	24	No
3	Yes	Yes	12	Yes
4	No	Yes	24	Yes

Note: The sample size must be large enough to provide reasonable assurance that the population is remaining proficient.

Note: The qualification standards document for instructors/examiners does not need to include conditions or a criticality/currency analysis.

- (b) **Evaluation Strategy.** The qualification standards document will identify the curriculum (indoctrination, qualification, and/or continuing qualification) in which specific proficiency objectives will be met. The applicant should consider student entry level in determining this allocation. All terminal proficiency objectives must be included in a qualification curriculum regardless of entry-level analysis. For SPOs, an entry-level analysis determines what objectives will be taught under each



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

curriculum. All objectives should also be covered in continuing qualification test and evaluation strategies.

- (1) **Consolidation of Objectives.** In the qualification standards document, qualification standards are developed at the task and subtask level only and at no lower level. Tasks become TPOs and subtasks become SPOs by combining performance statements, conditions and standards. TPOs and SPOs having common knowledge, skill, attitude, and/or CRM factors may be consolidated to avoid duplication. The consolidated tasks are translated into TPOs, and a terminal level qualification standard is developed for each one. The consolidated subtasks are translated into supporting proficiency objectives, and a supporting level qualification standard is developed for eachone of those as well. An example of consolidation would be non-precision approaches. VOR and non-directional beacon (NDB) approaches may be consolidated as a single proficiency objective if the performance statement and standards are the same.
 - (2) **Conditions, Contingencies, and Media.** The qualification standards will include a listing of relevant operational and environmental factors along with equipment contingencies to be addressed in training. They will also identify the media that will be employed to either test, validate, or evaluate the specific training objective. There is a difference between the qualification standard for a qualification curriculum and one for a continuing qualification curriculum. The TPOs and SPOs in a qualification standard for a qualification curriculum must identify the specific set of conditions and contingencies to be employed in training and evaluating a task (usually with an asterisk). In addition, the media description will describe the specific media in which the task will receive final evaluation. In contrast, in a continuing qualification curriculum, the TPOs and SPOs may identify a selectable menu of conditions and contingencies to be employed in training or evaluation, and a media range that will specify the minimum media level in which the task may be trained, validated, or evaluated.
 - (3) **Qualification and Continuing Qualification Curricula.** The qualification standards for both qualification and continuing qualification curricula can be combined into one document as long as the differences in conditions and media are addressed, and the tasks are annotated for applicable curricula. However, if applicants find it more convenient to submit separate qualification standards documents for these curricula (e.g., because of differences in conditions, contingencies, and media between the qualification and continuing qualification curricula), they may do so. In addition, some qualification standards may be “aircraft generic” in that they may apply to more than one aircraft type. For ease of use and definition of “fleet common” curricula, applicants may also find it convenient to specify these qualification standards separately from those qualification standards that apply to only one aircraft fleet type.
- (c) **Qualification Standards Document.** The qualification standards document is the third of the six documents unique to AQP that is maintained in a current status throughout the life of the programme and must have a revision control methodology. It is the central AQP document because it provides the regulatory basis for all



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

deviations from current regulations, and identifies the basic training and evaluation requirements. The qualification standards document has four parts: the prologue, a regulatory comparison, the evaluation/remediation methodology, and the specific TPO or SPO qualification standard.

- (1) **Prologue.** The qualification standards document requires an introductory section that explains the methodology, format, and terminology of the standards to the broad range of company and BCAA personnel who will need an in-depth understanding of the document to perform their job functions.
- (2) **Regulatory Requirements Comparison.** The qualification standards document must also include regulatory comparison information. The comparison must meet the requirement of AQP regulatory guidance, which states that the AQP programme “...must indicate specifically the applicable requirements of ANTR-OPS 1 that would be replaced by an AQP curriculum.”
- (3) **Testing/Validation/Evaluation and Remediation Methodology.** Before implementing an AQP curriculum, the applicant must decide how, when, where, and who will assess a student’s proficiency on each terminal and supporting objective. The guidelines in Figure 2-3 may be used to translate TPOs’ and SPOs’ criticality and currency ratings into testing strategies for the continuing qualification cycle. This testing strategy may include a discussion describing how similar SPOs will be addressed. For example, the TPO non-precision approach may have many SPOs, such as VOR, NDB, localizer (LOC), etc. Depending on the criticality/currency rating, all of these approaches do not have to be evaluated each evaluation period. This section of the document is where the applicant can describe how these approaches will be alternated/sampled over multiple evaluation periods or continuing qualification cycles. The testing/validation/evaluation methodology also addresses the applicant’s approach to documenting the different qualifications of the instructor/examiner, in terms of who will conduct the testing, validation, LOE, and line checks. In this section, the applicant can describe the level of device appropriate for conducting the evaluation. It also identifies the point in the curriculum when the testing/validation/evaluation methodology will be applied, and identifies what constitutes a failure and/or unsatisfactory performance. In addition, the applicant must specify the strategy for remedying unsatisfactory performance.
 - a General. For each terminal and supporting proficiency objective, the applicant will designate the testing/validation/evaluation strategy. These strategies could include, and are not limited to:
 1. Train to proficiency.
 2. Systems/knowledge validation.
 3. Procedures validation.
 4. Manoeuvres validation.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

5. LOE.
 6. Operating experience.
 7. Line check.
- b Training Media. The applicant also will designate the level of training devices, simulators, or aircraft to be used to evaluate the proficiency objective.
- c Rating Scale/Scoring. The applicant will identify the rating methodology that will be used to grade the performance of the proficiency objectives against the qualification standards. Typically, the measurement codes associated with performance events are ratings and reason codes or skill categories. Ratings are used to definedifferent quality levels of performance. Rating codes usually are carrier specific and the BCAA requires that something more sensitiveto performance differences than a binary code is used (i.e., some rating method that provides more performance differentiation than pass/fail for individual items being evaluated. Results of an evaluationevent, such as an LOE, are still recorded on a pass/fail basis). Each carrier should ensure that the grades established on the rating scale are clearly defined, meaningful to the instructor/examiner (I/E), and easily used for performance assessment. Although consistency amongfleets and across different types of evaluations (line check, manoeuvres validation, and LOE) is important and generally desirable, rating scales may be slightly different when used for different purposes such as training vs. evaluation. Figure 2-4 provides an example of a rating scale that discriminates among performance levels:

FIGURE 2-4

RATING SCALE FOR PROCEDURES VALIDATION, FIRST-LOOK, MANEOUVERS VALIDATION, LOE, OR LINE CHECK

GRADE		CRITERIA
1	Unsatisfactory	Major deviations from the prescribed qualification standards occur that are not recognized or corrected. Individual or crew performance could result in hull loss or loss of life. CRM/DRM skills are not effective.
2	Below Standard	Deviations from the prescribed qualification standards occur that are not recognized or corrected. Individual or crew performance is safe but would be unsatisfactory if diminished by any amount. CRM/DRM skills are not completely effective.
3	Standard with Debrief	Deviations occur from the prescribed qualification standards that are recognized and most corrected. Individual or crew performance meets expectations. CRM/DRM skills are effective.
4	Standard	Minor deviations occur from the prescribed qualification standards that are recognized and corrected in a timely manner. Individual or crew performance meets expectations. CRM/DRM skills are clearly effective.
5	Excellent	Performance remains well within the prescribed qualification standards. Individual or crew performance, management and CRM/DRM skills are exemplary.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Note: This example should not be taken as limiting possible intervals to a five-point scale. With appropriate scale construction and instructor/examiner training, carriers may elect to define other scales that maximize the quality (sensitivity, reliability, validity) of the collected data.

- d Remediation Strategy. This section of the qualification standards document should describe the methodology that will be used to remediate unsuccessful testing, validation, or evaluation sessions. This remediation strategy must detail when and what may be repeated and whether or not additional training is warranted. Remediation strategy must also specify when no more training will be offered to the individual and the resulting actions such as “Referred to Committee,” returned to previous position, etc. Chapter 3, paragraph 3.1.4(c) has expanded discussions on validation, evaluation, and remediation in the qualification and continuing qualification curriculums. This strategy may be presented in narrative text or flowchart format.
- e Special Tracking. Special tracking is the assignment of an individual trainee to an augmented training or evaluation schedule, or both. It should be applied to individuals who have failed to demonstrate proficiency during an evaluation event (e.g., LOE). There may be other criteria that the certificate holder may use to place an individual on special tracking. Special tracking triggers could include failure to maintain proficiency, an extended absence from duty, for new PICs, or at the request of the individual trainee. However, the AQP participant may implement an AQP strategy such as reduced training intervals that would offset the need for a special tracking strategy. This section should discuss the following:
- 1 The situation(s) that requires an individual to be placed in special tracking.
 - 2 The strategy to be used.
 - 3 When special tracking is no longer required.
- (4) **The Qualification Standard.** The information contained in the specific qualification standard is the basis for determining proficiency and evaluation criteria. The qualification standard is constructed by applying a performance statement, conditions, and standards to a task or subtask, thereby creating a TPO or an SPO. Although each operator will determine the format and content of its qualification standards, component fields have developed out of practice and are illustrated in Appendix 4.

In this example,

- the phase of operations is 6.0 Approach Operations and Landing.
- The TPO is 6.1 Perform an Instrument Approach.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- The SPOs are 6.1.1 Perform a 2 Engine Precision Approach Cat I ILS (instrument landing system) Approach and 6.1.2 Perform a 1 Engine Inoperative Cat I ILS Approach.

Note: Variation in the format of a given air transport operator's qualification standards is permissible if all of the categories of information in the example are addressed.

- a. Header. A header identifies the airline and the document.
- b. Revision Control. Page revision control dates and revision numbers.
- c. Page Numbers. Consecutive page numbers.
- d. Phase of Operations. Number and title from task listing.
- e. Qualification Standard Title. Either TPO(s) or SPO(s).
- f. Hierarchical Task or Subtask. Identifier and title from task listing.
- g. Crew Duty Position(s). This identifies which crewmember(s) will be evaluated performing the task.
 - 1 Pilot-in-command = PIC.
 - 2 Second-in-command = SIC.
 - 3 Flight engineer = FE.
 - 4 Capt., First Officer, Second Officer.
- h. Criticality/Currency Rating. This may be the first place that the task factors analysis is tied to the tasks.

Note: The qualification standards document for instructor or examiners does not need to include conditions or a criticality/currency analysis.

- i. Curriculum. This field identifies the curriculum(s) in which the task will be trained and evaluated.
- j. Evaluation Strategy. The evaluation point for a particular qualification standard (e.g., (1) train to proficiency; (2) systems validation; (3) procedures validation; (4) manoeuvres validation; (5) LOE; or (6) line check).
- k. Media. The specific media in which training and/or evaluation will be conducted. For qualification, the media is the lowest media used for final evaluation. For continuing qualification, the media includes the range of media used for training, validation, and evaluation.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- l. Performance Statement. An expanded statement of expected behaviour that, when executed, will complete the work required for a specific portion of a job. A performance statement specifies precisely what behaviour must be exhibited, and may include the knowledge and skill issues that comprise the enabling objective supporting that performance.
- m. Operational and Environmental Conditions. Conditions describe the range of circumstances under which student performance will be measured and evaluated. Conditions include the operational environment (navigational aid (NAVAID) inoperable, different aircraft weight, passengers not seated, aircraft configuration, etc.), and natural environment (ceiling, visibility, wind, turbulence, etc.). The qualification standard should: (1) indicate those specific conditions to be trained and tested as part of the qualification curriculum; and (2) provide a more exhaustive listing of conditions over which crewmembers will be trained and tested during the course of successive continuing qualification cycles.
- n. Contingencies. Contingencies include abnormal situations, minimum equipment list (MEL)/configuration deviation list (CDL), and emergencies. The qualification standard should (1) indicate those specific contingencies to be trained and tested as part of the qualification curriculum; and (2) provide a more exhaustive listing of contingencies over which crewmembers will be trained and tested during the course of successive continuing qualification cycles.
- o. Manoeuvre Standards. Observable, measurable parameters of performance with tolerances (e.g., course deviation degrees (+ or -)). Standards include manoeuvres, procedures, and CRM considerations.
- p. References. Identify the primary references from which performance statements and associated standards were derived. Cite documents by title and where applicable, chapter or section. Page numbers are not required.

2.3.4 Instructional Systems Development Methodology Document

This is another of the six documents unique to AQP and must have an acceptable revision control methodology. Applicants with established curriculum development guidelines may submit these for consideration. Others should describe a systematic approach for developing a proficiency-based training system that is organized around the teaching and testing of terminal, supporting, and enabling proficiency objectives. The methodology identifies the rationale, justification, and subsequent documentation to be used in the applicant's curriculum development process. The instructional systems development methodology document describes the approach to be used by applicant airlines to develop and maintain all AQP curriculums. The Instructional Systems Development Methodology document should be finalized before constructing curriculums for each duty position. It applies to pilot, instructor, and examiner programmes, and may be expanded to include other personnel, such as cabin crews and dispatchers. This document is divided into two sections. The first section, Curriculum Development Procedures, describes the applicant's approach for using the JTAs



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

and qualification standards as baseline documents to construct their general training curriculums across all AQP courses. The second section, Line Operational Simulation Methodology, describes the approach for developing LOS scenarios.

(a) **Curriculum Development Process.** Applicants should describe the process they will use to build their curriculums based on the JTA, qualification standards, and proficiency objectives they develop for each duty position. This document should discuss how:


- TPOs and SPOs are allocated to curriculums
- Learning and evaluation activities are developed to support these objectives
- Instructional media and methods are assigned to objectives
- Objectives are clustered and sequenced into lessons, modules, segments, and curriculums (see Figure 2-5 and Figure 2-6 for examples)
- An audit trail (hierarchical numbering or a matrix) will be maintained to link job tasks, proficiency objectives, lesson activities/content, and test items

Note: The resulting curriculums are translated into a course footprint and are documented in the curriculum outline. These curriculums are expanded in more detail in the student and instructor syllabi and in individual lessons and tests.

CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 2-5.

CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT



- (b) **LOS Methodology.** While individual LOE scenario events are approved by the Operations Inspector, the review team must first approve the methodology that generates LOE scenarios. Unless the carrier has an alternative proposal, this methodology should follow the general guidelines for LOS development produced by the ATA (Air Transport Association) AQP Working Group. This approach divides the typical scenario into a series of relatively independent segments, called event sets. A typical scenario might have six or eight event sets relating to the phases of operations (ground operations, takeoff, climb, cruise, descent, approach, landing, and after landing). Each event set consists of a series of training or evaluation events, which include both technical and CRM activities. This technique enables scenarios to be constructed in a building block approach, ensuring that each event set is carefully sequenced and considered in relation to the other event sets in the scenario.

2.3.5 Curriculum Outline Document

This is the fifth of the six documents unique to AQP that must have an acceptable revision control methodology. The curriculum outline is a listing of course material divided into segments, segments into modules, modules into lessons, and lessons into elements. Curriculum outlines are developed and submitted with the understanding that application of the course material may require some flexibility regarding the actual day on which each activity is accomplished. Each part of the curriculum outline must clearly indicate the subject matter to be taught and correspond directly to the hierarchical system of the task analysis. While the curriculum outline document need only go down to the level of the element under each lesson title, the applicant will be required to show the objectives (terminal, supporting and enabling) associated with each lesson. Refer to Figure 2-5 for an illustration of this association. This is part of the necessary audit trail that links the job requirements (JTA) to the training requirements (qualification standards) to the training activities (curriculum outline). A curriculum outline provides the basis for the curriculum footprint, which is a high level graphical overview of the curriculum content depicting the training and evaluation activities and the planned hours for each day of the curriculum (see Figure 3-4). The curriculum outline document should reference the results of the student



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

entry analysis, if one was conducted, and will include a curriculum footprint. Figure 2-7 provides an example of a curriculum outline showing portions of ground training and flight training segments.

- (a) **Entry Level Analysis.** The applicant should develop and document a student entry-level performance analysis for TPOs and SPOs. This analysis compares the KSAs of the student population against the TPOs and SPOs in the JTA in order to fit the instruction to the student. A four-point performance difference rating scale is suggested (Figure 2-6). Highly skilled instructors familiar with the experience and background of the student population and knowledgeable with the terminal and supporting proficiency objectives should make the rating. This analysis provides guidance to determine efficient teaching strategies for the indoctrination and qualification curriculums. This analysis can also identify where training is not needed, where basic “enabling” skills must be taught, and what number of trials is needed for an applicant to reach TPO standards. More than one population group may be used in conducting the student entry analysis for a single duty position. The results of such an analysis may be used, for example, to justify alternative curriculum tracks or modules targeted at expected differences in entry background, and in order to achieve the most efficient use of training resources. The initial justification for alternative curriculum tracks must be validated through subsequent data analysis.

FIGURE 2-6.

PERFORMANCE DIFFERENCE RATING SCALE

Performance Difference Code	Performance Difference Description
4	Meets or exceeds the required performance.
3	Can accomplish tasks with minor errors or omissions. May take longer than expected or allowed.
2	Cannot accomplish tasks. Does demonstrate basic background skills and knowledge.
1	Does not demonstrate basic background experience, skills, or knowledge. Unfamiliar with simplest elements of a task.

- (b) **Trainee and Instructor/Examiner’s Curriculums.** The instructor and examiner curriculums associated with each duty position must be developed in the same systematic manner as those developed for the duty positions themselves. This requires the development of separate JTA, qualification standards, curriculum outlines and other documents for these positions although they may share some common modules or lessons. Instructors and examiners require an indoctrination curriculum that is separate from the duty position indoctrination curriculum, as well as a separate qualification and continuing qualification curriculum.
- (c) **Link Qualification Standards to Curriculums.** Both the qualification and continuing qualification curriculums for a given duty position are derived from the same set of qualification standards. The link between qualification standards and a curriculum is the proficiency objective. As a rule of thumb, qualification standards developed from TPOs focus more on the higher-level evaluation activities than qualification standards developed from SPOs. Qualification standards developed from SPOs focus more on the lower level learning activities that may be validated and represent the components of those higher level standards. Therefore, a qualification curriculum will focus equally on qualification standards developed from TPOs and SPOs, while the continuing qualification curriculum will focus more



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

heavily on the qualification standards developed from TPOs. Indoctrination curriculums may or may not have qualification standards of their own. In either case, they provide training on common knowledge items (enabling objectives) that will support the mastery of qualification standards in the qualification and continuing qualification curriculums.

FIGURE 2-7

SAMPLE AQP CURRICULUM OUTLINE

<p>B 737 Qualification Curriculum Outline</p> <p><i>Segment: Ground Training</i></p> <p><i>Module: Introduction to Aircraft</i></p> <p><i>Lesson #: Aircraft Overview 9.1.4</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Element: Fuselage 9.1.4.1 Element: Wings 9.1.4.2 Element: Flight Controls 9.1.4.4, 9.1.4.5, 9.1.4.6 Element: Landing Gear 9.1.4.7 Element: Powerplant 9.1.4.3 Element: Fuel System 9.1.4.8 Element: Hydraulic System 9.1.4.9 Element: Electrical System 9.1.4.10, 9.1.4.10.1, 9.1.4.10.2, 9.1.4.10.3 <p><i>Lesson #: Aircraft Lighting 9.1.9</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Element: Exterior Lighting 9.1.9.1 Element: Cockpit Lighting 9.1.9.2 Element: Cabin Signs and Lights 9.1.9.9, 9.1.9.4, 9.1.9.6 Element: Lighting Power Sources 9.1.9.5 Element: Emergency Lighting 9.1.9.5, 9.1.9.5.1, 9.1.4.10.2
<hr/> <p>B 737 Qualification Curriculum Outline</p> <p><i>Segment: Flight Training (FT)</i></p> <p><i>Module: Flight Training Device (FTD)</i></p> <p><i>Lesson: FTD #1 Pre-Flight Normal Checklists</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Element: Flight Compartment Safety Inspection 1.2.5.1 Element: Flows 1.2.7.1 Element: Acceptance Checklist 1.2.8.1 Element: Before Starting Engines Checklist 1.2.11.1 Element: Normal APU First Engine Start 1.9.1.1 Element: Normal APU Second Engine Start 1.9.1.4 Element: Pushback 1.9.6.1 Element: Before Taxi Checklist 1.4.1.1 Element: Normal Taxi 1.4.2.1 Element: Before Takeoff Checklist 1.4.9.1 Element: Line-Up Checklist 1.4.4.1

2.3.6 Implementation and Operations Plan (I&O Plan) Document

This document, like the other five unique AQP documents, must have an acceptable revision control methodology. It must be updated as necessary to accurately reflect the status of the AQP applicant's plan for implementing and operating each of the AQP curriculums. This document is a milestone schedule detailing the transition to an AQP for crewmembers, instructors, and examiners and a blueprint describing provisions for maintenance, administration, data management, and continuing quality control of curriculums. As a document the I&O Plan can be sectioned into two parts. The first part spells out how the operator proposes to implement the AQP.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Included in this proposal is the schedule for phase III, training and evaluation to include I/E training, and small group tryouts. It should also include provisos for evaluating the effectiveness of performance measurement tools, and provisions for evaluating facilities, courseware, and equipment before starting the plans for the small group tryouts. The second part explains how the certificate holder intends to operate the AQP in phases IV and V. Included in this section are strategies for maintaining the programme, crew pairing policy, first-look administration, and I/E requirements. The operations plan should also include the data management plan, a statement of understanding addressing the collection and analysis of performance/proficiency data, a description of the Performance Proficiency Database (PPDB), the data management collection process, and the BCAA data submission, analysis, and reporting requirements.

- (a) **Implementation.** This section provides the schedule for evaluating curricula in the small group tryout, as well as I/E training, and the strategies for evaluating facilities, courseware, and equipment. It also includes the proposal for evaluating instructors, examiners, and performance measurement tools such as the rating scale and grade sheets. The schedule for initiating phase III should correlate with the Master AQP Transition Schedule as submitted in the application. This part of the I&O Plan is updated each time a new curriculum is added to the AQP and a small group tryout is planned.

Note: If the certificate holder is requesting no-jeopardy credit for the students in the small group tryout, it must be indicated in the I&O Plan and requested in writing to the BCAA Task manager and the Operations Inspector.

- (b) **Operations.** This section of the I&O plan describes the guidance and policies that will be used to provide for AQP maintenance, first-look administration, crew pairing policy, instructor/examiner requirements and data management. Once established, the operations section remains reasonably stable and does not necessarily changewith the addition of a new curriculum.
- (1) **AQP Maintenance Strategy for Phases IV and V.** This section describes quality control procedures (i.e., plans for acquiring and measuring data for tracking curricula, students, instructors, and examiner performance). It also includes the strategy employed for curriculum maintenance and update. Maintenance includes the methodology for maintaining control of the AQP. It includes approval documents, maintaining curriculum currency, upgrading equipment, monitoring and responding to demographic changes, and for using training/evaluation feedback from the PPDB and other forms of surveillance to maintain and improve the AQP.
- (2) **First-Look Activity Administration.** First-look performance items are graded procedures/manoeuvres performed for the first time since the previous training cycle. The “firstlook” grades are analyzed to determine trends of degraded proficiency due to numerous factors, including the length of the training interval. To maintain the validity of the performance proficiency data obtained from the performance of the “first-look” activities, this section must describe the strategy employed for those activities. This strategy must state that information or techniques that would unduly interfere with the “first- look” performance validity will not be briefed before the first execution of



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

these items. In addition, this strategy should discuss how first-look manoeuvres are selected and how they will be administered.

- (3) **Identify LOS Crew Scheduling and Pairing Strategy.** A basic requirement of AQP is to train and evaluate crewmembers in a crew configuration identical to line operations. In AQP, line crewmembers must be scheduled and paired together, as much as practical, in a standard crew configuration (e.g., line captain with line first officer). The BCAA recognizes that circumstances will occur where the initial composition of the schedule cannot be maintained. Hiring requirements, illness, high first officer to captain ratios, or failure of a crewmember to progress, are all situations that would necessitate providing a seat substitute to complete the training. This section must address the decision rules that will apply to the selection of seat substitutes for those circumstances. In all cases, the seat substitute must be task-familiar with the duty position.
- (4) **Instructor/Examiner Requirements.** This part will address the specific job functions, training, validation, or evaluation that instructors and examiners are authorised to perform. It will identify the title of each position and describe the training that each receives in order to perform the job function associated with that event. The table in Figure 5-1 illustrates the level of authorisation needed for an individual to either train, validate, or evaluate an AQP event.
- (5) **Data Plan.** Before an applicant can proceed with data collection and analysis, it must establish the intended purpose and method for the collection, entry, reporting, and analysis of AQP training/evaluation data for each curriculum. The plan must be thorough and accurately reflect the airline's PPDB system. The AQP applicant must also acknowledge its responsibility to collect and analyze more data than required for submission to the BCAA in order to adequately identify performance trends and requisite changes to factors that impact the performance. For example, the data that is submitted to the BCAA is the result of the execution of TPOs and SPOs and is analyzed at that level by the BCAA. Refer to Chapter 8 of this CAP for further explanation.
 - a. **Data Collection.** Should address the methods used to collect performance/proficiency data for all curriculums. These methods will include the rationale for employing the method as well as providing the data input medium (e.g., grade sheets, computer input screens, etc.) as examples that exemplify the data acquisition rationale. In addition, the data collection method should explain data input quality control, security, and usability.
 - b. **Data Management.** Should explain the means and strategy the airline intends to employ to enter, access, and assimilate the AQP and the single visit training programme (SVTP) performance/proficiency data that is collected. Included in this explanation should be:
 - 1 The type of software data management system employed (e.g., relational database, spreadsheet etc.).



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- 2 The organisation of the information in the electronic medium (e.g., database definition, database table relationships, spreadsheet description, etc.).
 - 3 A description of the user interface to this data management system.
- c. **Data Analysis.** Discuss the type of analysis that will be employed to facilitate the AQP performance information needs of the airline and the BCAA. This discussion of the data analysis must address how each type of AQP data will be analyzed, including training and evaluation feedback as part of determining the effectiveness of the programme. This discussion should be used as a prologue to the annual AQP report.
- d. **Data Reporting.** Discuss the BCAA AQP data reporting requirements that must be met (to include format and frequency). In addition, discuss the internal quality assurance data reporting that will be employed, to include report types, frequency, and for which airline personnel the reports are intended.

2.3.7 Approval

Approval of above documents marks the end of phase II and the beginning of phase III.

2.4 PHASE III: SMALL GROUP TRYOUT (IMPLEMENTATION)

2.4.1 Overview

In phase III, the AQP applicant will acquire and test the resources essential to support one or more of the indoctrination, qualification, or continuing qualification curriculums. These activities include developing courseware to implement the curriculum(s), training instructors and examiners, conducting small group tryouts, making programme revisions, and submitting data.

- (a) **Resources.** During this phase, the applicant will secure the training facility and equip classrooms with training aids, courseware, and other features that contribute to creating and maintaining a positive learning environment.
- (b) **Train Instructors and Examiners.** The applicant must train, evaluate, qualify and provide an opportunity for the BCAA to observe, if necessary, their instructors and examiners before and during the small group tryouts (refer to Chapter 5 for more information on the training, observation, and quality control of instructors and examiners).
- (c) **Conduct the Approved Curriculum.** This training and evaluation will consist of small group tryouts of all lessons using actual students and instructor/examiners. The evaluation may involve no-jeopardy credit for students, since its primary purpose is to determine lesson suitability and effectiveness. The applicant may choose, however, to give student credit for part or all training and qualification achieved in this phase. The decision to give credit must be approved by the BCAA before



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

conducting the curriculum evaluation and the air transport operator's request to do so should be submitted by letter to the BCAA task manager and the Operations Inspector.

- (d) **Performance/Proficiency Data Submission.** The applicant will submit to the BCAA task manager, on a monthly basis, the required performance and proficiency data. This data will be in electronic, digitized format. Any changes to format or procedures will be discussed with the task manager prior to submission. The monthly submission of this performance/proficiency data will continue for the life of the curriculum's lifetime (i.e., through phases III, IV, and V). See Chapter 8 for more information.
- (e) **Programme Revisions.** Lessons learned as a result of this phase will be incorporated as changes to the approved AQP documents from phase I and II and in curriculum maintenance reports submitted to the BCAA on an annual basis. Improvements will be made to the courseware, hardware, software, personnel, organisation, and data collection and reporting system of the AQP, based on system performance and the examination of performance and proficiency data. These enhancements will be implemented using the processes and the procedures described in the applicant's approved AQP maintenance strategy. This plan is part of the I&O Plan.

2.4.2 Approval

Approval of the initial operations marks the end of phase III and the beginning of phase IV.

2.5 PHASE IV: INITIAL OPERATIONS

2.5.1 Overview

In this phase, the applicant implements the indoctrination, qualification, or continuing qualification curriculum in accordance with the approved AQP documents. This phase for the continuing qualification curriculum calls for initial operation of the curriculum for a minimum of 2 years to complete the AQP training cycle. The 2-year phase IV period may not necessarily be applicable to the indoctrination and qualification curriculums.

Unlike the Continuing Qualification curriculums, these courses of training are not cycle-dependent. Depending on the frequency that each curriculum is applied (new hires) and the successful results of each curriculum, phase IV may be longer or shorter than 24 months.

2.5.2 Phase IV Activities

During phase IV, the applicant will implement and complete a full evaluation, including collecting programme audit data and individual performance/proficiency data, analyses, and reports. Collected data will be used by:

- (a) The applicant for its internal quality control programme to maintain curriculum and courseware concurrence, suitability, and adequacy.
- (b) The applicant to analyze and validate crewmembers, dispatchers, and other operations personnel performance.
- (c) The applicant and the BCAA to analyze and validate instructor/examiners performance.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (d) The applicant and the BCAA to support analysis for special subjects, such as CRM performance factors.
- (e) The BCAA to analyze and validate curriculum performance.
- (f) The BCAA to analyze and validate programme development, implementation, and maintenance procedures.

2.5.3 Revisions

The focus of this phase is the validation of the AQP curriculum by acquiring performance/proficiency data and lessons learned in the course of conducting the curriculum. Applicants will summarize the lessons learned and adjustments made to the curriculums in an annual report. In addition, adjustments made to the AQP will be reflected in revisions to the approved AQP documents.

The successful completion of this phase, and approval of the commensurate revisions to the approved AQP documents and reports, will qualify an applicant for entry into the final phase of the AQP process: Phase V, Continuing Operations.

2.5.4 Approval

Approval of the continuing operations marks the end of phase IV and the entrance into phase V.

2.6 PHASE V: CONTINUING OPERATIONS

2.6.1 Overview

In this phase, the applicant continues operation of the AQP unless the BCAA withdraws approval or unless the applicant withdraws or modifies the AQP. This phase requires the maintenance of the AQP-approved documentation and updated documentation of the data requirements for all curriculums.

2.6.2 Data's Impact on Continuing Operations

Data will continue to be collected and analyzed by the applicant and the BCAA as it was during phase IV activities.

2.6.3 Quality Assurance

Applicants must pay particular attention to overall programme quality. The BCAA expects an AQP quality assurance programme to identify needed changes in curriculum, courseware, and equipment, and to make these changes before an unwanted trend in reduced proficiency manifests itself.

Continued validation of performance/proficiency data as it pertains to individual and crew proficiency, as achieved and maintained by all personnel, is particularly important.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

2.7 DOCUMENT AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

2.7.1 Overview

The approved AQP documentation establishes the applicant's regulatory requirements for the particular training programme. This fact makes it imperative that the applicant develops a document structure that is organized and Standardised. The structure must ensure that the information contained within the AQP documents will be used and translated down to the lowest level training guidance. It must allow the applicant's entire organisation to easily access and use the contents. It is important to draw a distinction between the AQP process and the required BCAA documentation each applicant must provide to ensure regulatory compliance. The BCAA has established a minimum listing of AQP documentation. The applicant will want to develop other more specific materials that ensure the approved AQP information distils to all training and evaluation guides.

- (a) **BCAA-Required Documentation.** Each document contains unique information integral to the AQP that will be used when developing subsequent curriculums. The documents, once developed, will require periodic updates and, therefore, are subject to the revision control process discussed in Chapter 4. These documents should be submitted to the BCAA in electronic format:
 - (1) The application.
 - (2) The task analysis.
 - (3) The qualification standards.
 - (4) The instructional systems development methodology.
 - (5) The curriculum outlines.
 - (6) The I&O Plan.
- (b) **Document Structures.** Figure 2-8 provides an example of an AQP document structure. It is not required that an applicant follow this structure. However, the document structure that is adopted must easily identify the location of the AQP documents and more specifically, the required AQP information. If the AQP documentation is part of a subset of other manuals, a method should be developed to identify the manual and the specific AQP document that it contains.
- (c) **Annual AQP Report.** AQP requires that each AQP certificate holder prepare an annual report for the BCAA. This report is based on the certificate holder's analysis of the data that is collected during training and at strategic points (validation/evaluation gates) in each curriculum and maintained in the PPDB. AQP requires data collection and analysis in order to establish and maintain quality control of curriculums for crewmembers, instructors, and examiners. The annual AQP report should summarize the lessons learned and adjustments made to the curriculum(s) during the reporting period. The report should also include projected or proposed changes to the curriculum(s) based on the certificate holder's current analysis. The actual adjustments made to the AQP are reflected in revisions to the approved AQP documents.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

The report should be submitted to the BCAA no later than 60 days past the end of the report period. The reporting period is usually based on the approval date for a particular curriculum in either phase IV or V. During AQP development, particularly for multiple fleet operators, with different approval dates for multiple curriculums, the reporting period may be modified (as agreed upon by the BCAA and the certificate holder). Once the certificate holder has all its fleets and curriculums into phase V, the reporting period can be fixed into a particular cycle. Copies of the report should be distributed to the Operations Inspector at least 2 weeks prior to the annual AQP review meeting.

- (d) **Annual AQP Review - Phases IV and V.** An annual AQP review meeting between the BCAA and the certificate holder should be held to coincide with the submission of the annual AQP report in phases IV and V. The purpose of the meeting is to formally discuss the results of the certificate holder's data analysis, programme revisions, future revisions and the analysis of the data that is submitted to the BCAA. Below is a listing of suggested agenda items for a Phase IV and V review:

(1) **Data Management.**

- a. Collection problems and fixes.
- b. Analysis - data reliability/validity/sensitivity.
- c. Data usefulness.
- d. Problem areas investigated.

(2) **Data Collection Method/Tools.**

(3) **Data Analysis Methods/Tools.**

- a. Review of annual report.
 - 1 Qualification.
 - 2 Continuing qualification.
 - 3 Line check.
- b. Identified trends (positive and negative).
- c. Corrective measures.

(4) **Programme Critique Summaries.**

- (5) **Recordkeeping.** Do records consistently demonstrate qualification of crews and instructor/examiners?

(6) **I&O Plan Adherence.**

(7) **Modifications to the Programme.**



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- a. Due to PPDB input.
 - b. Due to other input.
 - 1 Demographics.
 - 2 Operational.
- (8) **Validity and Usefulness of Qualification Standards.**
- (9) **AQP Maintenance Strategy - Is the Described Process Working?**
- a. Any changes to the maintenance strategy.
 - b. Currency of PPDB.
- (10) **Instructor Examiner Programmes.**
- a. Inter/Referent rater reliability data.
 - b. Question and answer observations summarized.
 - c. Methods to maintain I/E Standardisation.
- (11) **BCAA Surveillance Findings.**
- (12) **AQP Challenges and Difficulties.**
- a. Progress towards phase III, IV, and V in other fleets.
 - b. Special tracking.
 - c. Seat substitution.
 - d. Line oriented flight training (LOFT)/LOS scenarios for crewmembers.
- (13) **Use of Information from Related Programmes, If Any (e.g., SMS, Flight Operational Quality Assurance (FOQA), etc).**



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 2-8.

DOCUMENT STRUCTURES CHART

Title List of Effective Pages
Section I - All Organizational Standardized Area's Application Instructional Systems Development Methodology Implementation and Operations Plan
Section II - Indoctrination Training Curriculums Curriculum Outline
Section III - Qualification Training Curriculums Task Analysis Qualification Standards Curriculum Outline Data Acquisition/Grading Forms
Section IV - Continuing Qualification Training Curriculums Curriculum Outline Data Acquisition/Grading Forms



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

CHAPTER 3

AQP CURRICULUMS AND CERTIFICATION

3.1 CURRICULUMS

3.1.1 Background

The Advanced Qualification Programme (AQP) requires three primary curriculums for each make, model, and series of aircraft (or variant), and each duty position. These curriculums are indoctrination, qualification, and continuing qualification. Figure 3-5 illustrates how traditional curriculums correlate to the AQP primary curriculums. In addition to primary curriculums, operational necessities may require secondary curriculums to fulfil particular needs. Secondary curriculums are transition, upgrade, and requalification (see Figure 3-6).

3.1.2 Indoctrination Curriculum

An indoctrination curriculum consists of all training elements that will be learned and evaluated before an individual may begin a qualification curriculum. Indoctrination curriculum segments typically consist of ground training and evaluation. Two distinct areas of indoctrination ground training are:

- (a) **Certificate Holder-Specific Training.** This training acquaints crewmembers, dispatchers, instructors, examiners, and other operations personnel with company policies, practices, and general operational knowledge. The subject matter of indoctrination includes elements that pertain to the certificate holder's methods of compliance with regulations and safe operating practices.
- (b) **Duty Position-Specific Training.** This training provides the basic aeronautical knowledge needed to enter the subsequent qualification curriculum. Weather, the regulations, security, some emergency and hazardous material training (usually part of indoctrination) is partially certificate holder-specific and partially duty position-specific.

3.1.3 Qualification Curriculum

AQP requires a qualification curriculum for each duty position in each make, model, and series aircraft (or variant). Each Qualification Curriculum will include training, validation, and evaluation. The training activities include ground and flight training, operational experience, and may include special qualification. Figure 3-1 illustrates the relationship between training activities and validation points. If the training is to result in airman certification or the addition of category, class, instrument, or type ratings, curriculum segments must explicitly identify the training and evaluation strategy to be used in place of the prescribed practical test requirements of ANTR-OPS 1. The applicant must show, to the BCAA's satisfaction that the proposed AQP training and evaluation strategy will ensure individual competency that equals or exceeds the practical test standards (PTS) and that each person certificated through an AQP has demonstrated satisfactory proficiency in the integration of technical and crew resource management (CRM) skills.

- (a) **Training Activities.**



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (1) **Ground Qualification Training Activities.** To be qualified for a particular duty position, a person will receive job-specific ground training. This training typically includes general operational subjects, technical systems, system and procedures integration, and emergency-type training. Ground qualification culminates in a systems knowledge validation session that may take the form of a traditional oral examination or may incorporate another means of systems knowledge validation (e.g., computer-based, written, etc), as approved by the BCAA.
 - (2) **Simulation/Flight Qualification Training Activities.** Each AQP includes curriculum segments for training, validation, or evaluations, as appropriate in Flight Synthetic Training Devices (FSTD). The use of FSTDs for training and evaluation is encouraged. However, the manoeuvres validation must be accomplished in a full flight simulator that has been approved for its intended use. Training and evaluation in an aircraft is discouraged, but may be approved by the BCAA on a case-by-case basis.
 - (3) **Special Qualification Training.** Curriculum segments may include special purpose training. These are portions of ground or flight training that has specific application to crewmembers who are in international operations or for introduction of new flight operations, such as Category III (CAT III) approaches. Special purpose training and validation may initially be a separate curriculum segment that is later integrated into ground and flight training segments.
- (b) **Validation/Evaluation/Remediation.** In AQP, validation is a determination that the training produces the required results as identified in the qualification standards and that the individual has met the performance objectives of the training module. Except for the Qualification Curriculum Manoeuvres Validation session, additional training may occur during a validation session to ensure achieving the training-to-proficiency objectives. An evaluation is an appraisal of an individual to ascertain whether the standards required for a specified level of proficiency has been successfully demonstrated. Interrupting the evaluation session for training is not permitted. Both validation and evaluation are assessments that the proficiency objectives of the training module have been met and the individual can proceed to the next level of training or line operations. Figure 3-2 contains a table that summarizes the validation/evaluation gates and the associated remediation.
- (1) **Systems Knowledge Validation.** This is an assessment of an individual's technical systems knowledge. The intent of the systems knowledge validation session is to ensure an individual's systems knowledge is at an appropriate level before progressing into the next training phase. Systems knowledge validation may be accomplished by a written, electronic or oral exam. If a written or electronic test system is used, an overall score of 80 percent or better, corrected to 100 percent, would be an acceptable validation. An overall score of less than 80 percent will require retraining and another complete test. A failure of an individual test module or sub-section, with an 80 percent or better overall score, only requires retraining and retesting of the specific module. Consideration should be given to establishing a maximum number of modules or sub-sections that if failed constitute an overall failure of the validation.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (2) **Procedures Validation.** This is an assessment of an individual's systems integration knowledge and skill. This validation addresses the individual's ability to assimilate system and procedural knowledge into the appropriate execution of procedures. This validation session should take place in anFSTD. The intent of the procedures validation session is to ensure an individual's systems and procedural knowledge and skill is at an appropriate level before progressing into the flight simulator training phase. There is no established requirement that the systems and procedures validation be accomplished sequentially. It is the prerogative of each certificate holder to develop an evaluation system that works for them. For example, the systems validation could take place in two parts. The first part may be a written exam administered at the conclusion of ground school. The second stage could be an oral conducted at the conclusion of procedures training, before the manoeuvres validation or before the line operational evaluation (LOE). Validation is accomplished when it is verified that the individual is trained to proficiency.
- (3) **Manoeuvres Validation.** This validation addresses the individual's proficiency in the execution of manoeuvres. It must take place in a simulator. For a qualification curriculum, crewmembers are expected to have reached a satisfactory level of proficiency in the manoeuvres prior to the validation event. Qualification manoeuvres validation should not allow more than two repeats of any one manoeuvre or one repeat of any two manoeuvres. A debriefing of why the manoeuvre(s) was unsatisfactory is allowed, but the repeats must occur with no training, practice, or coaching. If the crewmember fails to demonstrate proficiency in the time constraints of the simulator session, an additional training session is required. After additional training, the individual need only repeat the manoeuvres that were unsatisfactory.
- (4) **LOE.** This evaluation addresses the individual's ability to demonstrate technical and CRM skills appropriate to fulfilling job requirements in a full mission scenario environment. The intent of an LOE is to evaluate and verify that an individual's job knowledge, technical skills, and CRM skills are commensurate with AQP qualification standards and that the individual is qualified to begin the Operating Experience (OE) portion of the qualification curriculum. LOE is considered a jeopardy event and a failure is reported to the BCAA. Grading of an LOE is at the event set level. LOE success criteria is listed below:
- a. An LOE with more than 25 percent of the event sets graded unsuccessful would constitute a failure of the LOE and will require remedial training and another LOE.
 - b. For LOEs with 25 percent of the event sets or fewer graded unsuccessful, repeats may be permitted at the end of the LOE session, if time permits and if it is possible to recreate the conditions similar to the original event set for the repeat. For example, if an LOE has five, six, or seven event sets, only one set may be repeated. If an LOE has between 8 and 11 event sets, two may be repeated. No event set can be repeated more than once. A debriefing of why the event set(s) is unsatisfactory is allowed, but the repeat must occur with no training,



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

practice, or coaching. If any repeated event is unsatisfactory, remedial training and another complete LOE evaluation is required.

Note: Regardless of number of events sets, unsafe individual or crew performance that would result in significant damage, hull loss, or loss of life (e.g., crash) during an LOE constitutes a failure of the LOE.

Note: An approved examiner or BCAA inspector must administer all flight crewmember qualification curriculum LOEs. An examiner/examiner can administer continuing qualification LOEs.

- (5) **Operating Experience (OE).** OE curriculum segments are integral to qualification curriculums. OE provides hands-on experience in performing the duties of a newly assigned position under the supervision of a current and qualified examiner. OE is conducted during actual flight operations. Operating experience is complete when the individual is recommended for a line check.
 - (6) **Line Check.** Captains receiving this evaluation are assessed for their proficiency in the duty position. Successful completion of the line check verifies that the individual is adequately trained and is capable of performing the duties and responsibilities of pilot-in-command (PIC). If any task is unsatisfactory, the individual must receive remedial training on that task, additional operating experience if necessary, and possibly another line check. If a pilot receives an unsatisfactory overall performance rating on a line check, the pilot must be removed from continued line operations until the approved remediation has been successfully completed.
- (c) **Planned Hours.** All curriculums will include planned hours for ground training, flight training, evaluation, and operating experience. Planned hours represent the estimated amount of time (as specified in a curriculum outline) that it takes an average student to complete a segment of instruction (to include all instruction, demonstration, practice, and evaluation, as appropriate, to reach proficiency). Planned hours replace the programmed hours associated with traditional programmes. Planned hours enable the BCAA and the applicant to schedule their personnel resources more efficiently and provide a baseline for curriculum adjustments. The sum of planned hours is not used by the BCAA as a basis for programme approval, review, or compliance assessment. Planned hours can be shown on the course footprint that is part of the curriculum outline. Figure 3-3 provides an example of a course footprint with planned hours.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 3-1

FLIGHT CREWMEMBER AQP TRAINING, VALIDATION, AND EVALUATION

Activity	Device	Purpose	Can it be Interrupted?	Training/ Validation or Evaluation	Sequencing of Events
Ground Training	Classroom or Computer Based Instruction, and Training Devices	Indoctrination and Systems Training	Yes	Training & Validation	Syllabus
Maneuvers and Procedures Training	Flight Training Device & Simulator	Aircraft Maneuvers and Operational Procedures	Yes	Training & Validation	Isolated Maneuvers and Procedures. Logical Specific Sequencing of Events
Special Purpose Operational Training (SPOT)	Flight Training Device & Simulator	Focuses on CRM Skills, Differences Tng., Windshear Tng., Special Qualification	Yes	Training	Isolated Maneuvers and Procedures. Logical Specific Sequencing of Events
Line Oriented Flight Training (LOFT)	Flight Training Device & Simulator	Crew Oriented Training (CRM) in Preparation for LOE	No Except To Begin Different Scenarios	Training	Logical Sequencing of Events Within Varying Length Scenarios
Line Operational Evaluation (LOE)	Flight Training Device & Simulator	Evaluate the training and qualifications of the crewmembers	May Be Segmented to Condense Distances as in International Scenarios	Evaluation	Specific Flight Scenario From Takeoff to Landing
Operating Experience	Aircraft	Consolidation of knowledge & skills in a operational environment	Yes	Experience	Routine Flight Operations
Initial Line Check	Aircraft	To verify a crewmember's ability to satisfactorily perform duties & responsibilities	No	Evaluation	Routine Flight Operations
CQ Line Check	Aircraft	To evaluate crew proficiency and their knowledge, skills, and ability to operate effectively as part of a crew.	No	Evaluation	Routine Flight Operations



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 3-2

AQP VALIDATION/EVALUATION TABLE

Gate	Indoctrination Curriculum	Qualification Curriculum	Continuing Qualification Curriculum	Evaluation Media
System Knowledge Validation	80% or Greater corrected to 100%, < 80% retest	80% or Greater corrected to 100%, < 80% retest	80% or Greater corrected to 100%, < 80% retest	Written, Oral, or Electronic Testing System (ETS)
Procedures Validation		Train to Proficiency		As Approved
Maneuvers Validation		2 Repeats of One Maneuver, or 1 Repeat Of Any 2 Maneuvers*	Repeats Allowed Within Training Period	Approved Simulation Device
LOE		Grading By Event Set 5, 6 Or 7 Event Sets - Repeat 1 Event Set -8 or more Event Sets Repeat - 2 Event Sets **	Grading By Event Set 5, 6 or 7 Event Sets - Repeat 1 Event Set 8 -or more Event Sets Repeat - 2 Event Sets **	Approved Simulation Device
Operating Experience		Meets Approved Number of Supervised Cycles or Hours and Recommended for Initial Line Check		Aircraft (Actual Line Operations)
Line Check		If Any Task Unsatisfactory, Remedial Training Needed, Additional Operating Experience if Needed, and Another Line Check as Recommended by the Evaluator	If Any Task Unsatisfactory, Remedial Training Needed, Additional Operating Experience if Needed, and Another Line Check as Recommended By the Evaluator	Aircraft (Actual Line Operations)

* For any repeat in a qualification manoeuvres validation, qualification LOE or CQ LOE, no training, practice, or coaching is permitted. Training repeats are allowed in a continuing qualification manoeuvres validation and are not counted as an evaluation repeat.

** Failure to meet the required level of proficiency during an LOE or line check will result in retraining, re-evaluation, and will require special tracking. Regardless of number of events sets, unsafe individual or crew performance that would result in



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

significant damage, hull loss or loss of life (e.g., crash) during an LOE constitutes a failure of the LOE.

FIGURE 3-3

EXAMPLE OF AQP FLIGHTCREW QUALIFICATION CURRICULUM FOOTPRINT

Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5		
Welcome :30 Intro :30 CBT 5:00 TT 6:00	CBT 5:00 Review 1:00 TT 6:00	CBT 5:00 Review 1:00 TT 6:00	CBT 5:00 Performance 1:00 TT 6:00	Evacuation 3:00 Ditching 3:00 TT 6:00	Day Off	Day Off
Day 6	Day 7	Day 8	Day 9	Day 10	Day Off	Day Off
CBT 5:00 Setup Lect. 1:00 TT 6:00	CBT 3:00 FTD Brief 1:00 FTD # 1 2:00 TT 6:00	CBT 5:00 Review 1:00 TT 6:00	CBT 3:00 FTD Brief 1:00 FTD # 2 2:00 TT 6:00	SYSTEM VAL Testing 1:00 Ft Ops Brf. 4:00 Debrief :30 TT 6:30		
Day 11	Day 12	Day 13	Day 14	Day 15	Day Off	Day Off
Sys Rev 1:00 FTD Brief 1:00 FTD # 4 4:00 TT 6:00	FTD Brief 1:30 FTD # 5 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30	FTD Brief 1:30 FTD # 6 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30	FTD Brief 1:30 FTD # 7 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30	Proc Val FTD Brief 1:30 FTD # 8 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30		
Day 16	Day 17	Day 18	Day 19	Day 20	Day Off	Day Off
Sim Brief 1:30 Sim # 1 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30	Sim Brief 1:30 Sim # 2 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30	Sim Brief 1:30 Sim # 3 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30	Sim Brief 1:30 Sim # 4 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30	MAN VAL Sim Brief 1:30 Sim # 5 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30		
Day 21	Day 22	Day 23	Day 24	Day 25	Day Off	Day Off
Loft Brief 1:30 Loft # 1/Spot 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30	Loft Brief 1:30 Loft # 2/Spot 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30	Loft Brief 1:30 Loft # 3/Spot 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30	Loft Brief 1:30 Loft # 4/Spot 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30	LOE Brief 1:30 LOE 4:00 Debrief 1:00 TT 6:30		
Day 26	Day 27	Day 28	Day 29	Day 30		
IOE	IOE	IOE	IOE	IOE		
Day 31 IOE	Day 32 Line Check	SYST VAL - Systems Validation PROC VAL - Procedures Validation MAN VAL - Maneuvers Validation LOE - Line Operational Evaluation Spot - Special Purpose Operations Training		CBT – Computer-based training FTD - Flight training device Sim - Full flight simulator LOFT - Line oriented flight training IOE - Initial operations experience		

3.1.4 Continuing Qualification Curriculum

A continuing qualification curriculum provides the means for fully qualified individuals to maintain their proficiency in their duty positions and aircraft assignments. Continuing qualification applies to all persons subject to an AQP, including instructors and examiners. AQP requires a continuing qualification curriculum for each duty position in each make, model, and series aircraft (or variant).



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (a) **First-Look Manoeuvres.** For AQP, first-look manoeuvres are applicable only to the continuing qualification curriculum and the single visit training programme (SVTP). First-look manoeuvres are those manoeuvres that are identified as likely to be sensitive to loss of proficiency due to infrequent practice. The principal purpose of first-look manoeuvres is to test the retention of proficiency of the flight crews in performing these manoeuvres over the evaluation cycle. Firstlook manoeuvres are an AQP requirement whenever the evaluation period exceeds the checking/training interval of a traditional training programme. However, a first-look manoeuvre is a valuable tool and should be considered regardless of the length of the evaluation period. For example, first-look may be employed as a means of validating that currency items are performed in line-operations with sufficient frequency that proficiency is being maintained. First-look manoeuvre proficiency assessment is graded using the same measurement methodology and rating criteria used in manoeuvres validation. An AQP-qualified pilot instructor may accomplish firstlook proficiency assessment. However, if an applicant proposes to request manoeuvres validation credit for critical first-look manoeuvres, the applicant must ensure that the first-look proficiency assessment is accomplished by an AQP-qualified examiner, rather than by an instructor. There are four considerations for the first-look manoeuvre proficiency assessment: the composition of the manoeuvres list, the strategy for testing the manoeuvres, administering the test, and remediation.
- (1) **List of Manoeuvres.** The listing of first-look manoeuvres is developed by the applicant and approved by the BCAA. First-look items are performed, graded and analyzed to validate that flight crews can maintain proficiency in these items between training intervals. These may include certain items given a designation of “Currency” in the qualification standard, if any, in order to facilitate initial validation that these items are being performed outside of training with sufficient frequency that proficiency is being maintained.
 - (2) **Testing Strategy.** The testing strategy that the applicant develops for first-look is part of the Implementation and Operations Plan (I&O Plan). An ideal approach would be to have a listing of several objectives that will be sampled under a controlled sampling technique that will ensure that each of the items are assessed during the evaluation period. It is important to remember that first-look testing is not as much an assessment of an individual’s skills, as it is a measure of the collective retention of proficiency by flight crews. Individual assessment occurs in manoeuvres validation and LOE. The data that is collected from first-look testing is used for trend analysis and as a tool to validate the AQP programme’s overall effectiveness.
 - (3) **Administration.** First-look items must not be briefed in advance of the first execution of such manoeuvres. Proficiency data must be collected before the repeated execution of any such first-look item during training in a flight simulator. There are several options as to when the first-look manoeuvre testing should be conducted. For example, a first-look manoeuvre could be introduced as the first event of a simulator training session addressing manoeuvres. Another option would be to allow the flight crew an opportunity to “warm up” to the simulator by doing other pre-briefed manoeuvres prior to first-look. Other options would be to make it part of an event in a LOFT or SPOT. The common element in all such options is that proficiency is assessed the first time the first-look item occurs in training.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (4) **Remediation.** First-look manoeuvre proficiency assessment is considered a no-jeopardy event, subject to the requirement that any manoeuvres unsuccessfully accomplished be trained to proficiency prior to the LOE.
- (b) **Training Activity.** Continuing qualification curriculums should have a proper balance of training and evaluation. For a national flight crewmember continuing qualification curriculum profile, see Figure 3-4. Continuing qualification curriculums should typically outline a uniform timetable of the following activities:
- (1) **Continuing Qualification Ground Training Activities.** Continuing qualification training includes ground instruction and evaluation for crewmembers, dispatchers, instructors, examiners, and other operations personnel. This training includes a review of the information covered in indoctrination and qualification training, updated as is appropriate.
 - (2) **Continuing Qualification Flight Proficiency Training.** Pilots, flight engineers, and those instructors and examiners who conduct flight training or flight evaluations will complete proficiency training designed for their respective duty position. This training may be conducted in an approved flight-training device or flight simulator. Training in an aircraft is discouraged, but may be approved by the BCAA on a case-by-case basis. Flight proficiency training permits pilots and engineers to experience and practice the procedures and manoeuvres that are not normally encountered in day-to-day flight operations, such as alternate, abnormal, and emergency flight events. The train-to-proficiency strategy must include enough practice to ensure that skills are maintained over the entire training interval.
 - (3) **Special Qualification Training.** These training segments are used for the same purposes as in qualification curriculums.
- (c) **Validation/Evaluation/Remediation.** Continuing qualification must include validation/evaluation in all events and major subjects required for original qualification. This requirement is met through proficiency evaluations and line checks.
- (1) **Manoeuvres Validation.** The manoeuvres validation session in the continuing qualification curriculum allows assessment and attainment of technical proficiency in the training programme prior to evaluation in the LOE. In continuing qualification curriculum training, repeats are allowed and are not counted as an evaluation repeat. In a continuing qualification curriculum, manoeuvres validation must be successfully completed within the time limits of the standard company scheduled simulator session (national norm is 2 hours per crewmember) or an additional training period is required. If an individual requires additional training periods to be able to demonstrate proficiency, consideration should be given to placing the individual in special tracking.
 - (2) **LOE.** The LOE is the primary proficiency evaluation. The LOE is conducted in a simulation device approved for its intended use in the AQP. Under extenuating circumstances, the AQP proficiency evaluation may be accomplished in an aircraft, subject to BCAA approval. The purpose,

CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

administration, and remediation strategy for the continuing qualification curriculum LOE is the same as for a qualification curriculum.


- (3) **Line Check.** The PIC annual line check is considered a proficiency assessment, conducted by an approved examiner, during actual revenue flight operations. It also may be conducted during an operationally oriented flight such as ferry flights or proving flights. During line checks, each person performing duties as a PIC, second-in-command (SIC), or flight engineer must be individually evaluated as to:

- Proficiency in the particular aircraft, crew position, and type of operation
- Skill and ability to operate effectively as part of a crew

If a pilot receives an unsatisfactory overall performance rating on a line check, the pilot must be removed from continued line operations until the approved remediation has been successfully completed.

FIGURE 3-4.

EXAMPLE OF AN AQP FOUR-DAY FLIGHTCREW CONTINUING QUALIFICATION CURRICULUM FOOTPRINT



- (d) **Flight Crewmember Recent Experience.** The applicant's AQP documentation should show compliance with either the recent experience requirements in ANTR-OPS 1 or alternative equivalent currency activities under AQP. The currency requirements, if not met during line operations, may be re-established through a flight currency module specified in the continuing qualification curriculum. Currency


CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

activities for instructors and examiners will be specified in each AQP. These instructor and examiner activities should enable each instructor or examiner to maintain proficiency in teaching and evaluating the events he/she is authorised to perform.

- (e) **Cycles and Evaluation Period.** The time period during which all proficiency objectives are trained, validated, or evaluated for all crewmembers is called a “Continuing Qualification Cycle.” Figure 3-5 illustrates a continuing qualification cycle. The initial approval for a continuing qualification cycle is no more than 24 months in duration, divided into two 12-month evaluation periods. All critical proficiency objectives are accomplished during each evaluation period, and all currency proficiency objectives are accomplished during each continuing qualification cycle. It is important to remember that criticality and currency do not pertain solely to terminal proficiency objectives (TPO), but can also apply to supporting proficiency objectives (SPO), dependent on the applicant’s task factors analysis. Refer to the chart in Figure 2-3.
- (1) **Schedule.** The continuing qualification cycle footprint should provide sufficient detail. Elements of ground training activities, flight training activities, proficiency and line checks, and currency activities are specifically identified. The schedule for the cycle should specify the period between each type of activity. Developing a continuing qualification activity schedule involves selecting, revising, and arranging modules (with related proficiency objectives) from indoctrination and qualification curriculums. These modules are regularly revisited to maintain both individual and crew proficiency. Each continuing qualification curriculum will identify the frequency of training sessions for each person qualified under an AQP.

FIGURE 3-5.

CONTINUING QUALIFICATION CYCLE





CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (2) **Training Sessions.** Each evaluation period must include at least one training session, but may include more. Initially, training sessions cannot be more than 12 months (plus or minus 1 month) apart.
- (3) **Line Check.** For PICs, a line check must be scheduled in the calendar-month that includes the midpoint of the evaluation period. However, to allow flexibility, the line check may be completed during the month after or the month before the midpoint month.
 - a. With the BCAA's approval, a no-notice random line check strategy may be used in lieu of the line check required by ANTR-OPS 1. The licence holder who elects to exercise this option must ensure the random line checks are administered so the flight crewmembers are not notified before the evaluation. In addition, the certificate holder must ensure that each PIC receives at least one random line check every 24 months. At a minimum, the number of random line checks administered each calendar year must equal at least 50 percent of the certificate holder's PIC workforce in accordance with a strategy approved by the review team for that purpose. In addition, the random line checks must be conducted over all geographic areas flown by the certificate holder in accordance with a sampling methodology approved by the review team for that purpose.
 - b. During the random line checks, each person performing duties as a PIC, SIC, or flight engineer for that flight must be individually evaluated to determine whether the person remains adequately trained and currently proficient with respect to the particular aircraft, crew position, and type of operation in which he or she serves, and the person has sufficient knowledge and skills to operate effectively as part of a crew. The examiner must hold the certificates and ratings required of the PIC.
- (4) **Proficiency Evaluations.** For PICs, SICs, flight engineers, and other persons covered by an AQP, a proficiency evaluation must be completed during each evaluation period. Typically, the proficiency evaluation will occur during a required training session; however, if more than one training session is completed during an evaluation period, the proficiency evaluation may be divided among training sessions or otherwise allocated to one or more such sessions.
- (f) **Extensions.** The BCAA will consider approving extensions to the duration of continuing qualification cycle and evaluation period if evidence substantiates that the extension will maintain or increase the level of safety for that operator. To obtain approval, an applicant must be able to show that individuals subject to the AQP are able to maintain their knowledge and skills under the already approved schedules. In addition, it must show that a rational basis exists for believing that no loss of knowledge, skill, or ability, which could compromise safety, will result from an extension. An extension will be allowed to continue, or an additional extension will be granted, if an operator's data analysis and an independent BCAA evaluation verify that the extension is an appropriate means to maintain or increase an individual's level of competency.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Note: A continuing qualification cycle may be extended up to a maximum of 36 months.

- (g) **Validation.** The continuing qualification cycle and evaluation period are subject to continued demonstration of overall effectiveness. The demonstration will be dependent on the data submitted to the BCAA by the participant and additional analysis accomplished by the participant. To ensure adequate individual and crew qualification, the participant must demonstrate that its AQP has the capacity to monitor individual proficiency.
- (h) **Dual Qualification.** An individual is deemed “dual qualified” if, during the continuing qualification cycle following an AQP proficiency evaluation, the individual performs flight crew duties in that aircraft type after becoming qualified and operating another aircraft type during that same continuing qualification period. If maintaining qualification in more than one aircraft type in accordance with the definition of “dual qualification” above, the individual will have one aircraft type designated as “primary” and other aircraft types designated as “secondary.”
- (1) **Training Cycle.** A person, who is qualified on more than one aircraft type, or in more than one duty position on different aircraft types, should be simultaneously enrolled in a separate continuing qualification curriculum for each assigned aircraft and duty position. For each aircraft type or duty position in which he/she is maintaining qualification, the individual must accomplish the corresponding AQP continuing qualification curriculum. Those training items that are not “fleet specific” in nature need only be addressed in the “primary” aircraft’s AQP continuing qualification programme.
- (2) **Line Check.** In addition, the individual must accomplish at least one line check during the continuing qualification cycle in the “primary” aircraft. The individual should receive a line check on a different aircraft type each successive year so he/she is given a line check on all aircraft types prior to re-accomplishing a line check on a given aircraft type.
- (3) **Multiple Duty Positions.** A person assigned simultaneously as a flight crewmember, instructor, and/or examiner on the same aircraft, may be enrolled in a continuing qualification curriculum, which combines the activities necessary to maintain skill and proficiency in all duty positions.


3.1.5 Secondary Curriculums

Developing a secondary curriculum entails selecting, revising, and arranging modules (with related proficiency objectives) from all three primary curriculums. In all cases, the TPOs, SPOs, and EOs must include CRM principles and include the use of line operational simulation (LOS) for training and evaluation. Figure 3-6 shows the relationship of primary AQP curriculums to the secondary curriculums.

CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 3-6

AQP SECONDARY CURRICULUMS



- (a) **Transition Curriculum.** This curriculum is applicable for an employee who has been previously trained and qualified in a specific duty position by the certificate holder and is being assigned the same duty position on a different aircraft. Under ANTR-OPS 1, the new aircraft must be in the same aircraft group or the qualification curriculum must be used. In a transition curriculum, the same qualification standards apply as found in the qualification curriculum. However, the training received may be abbreviated, based on an analysis of the training/validation/evaluation requirements of the qualification curriculum compared to an assessment of the currency, knowledge, skills, and qualifications of the individual. In most cases, the training received would be from modules extracted from the qualification curriculum for that particular aircraft. For example, if both aircraft used the same Flight Management System (FMS), training may be tailored to be aircraft specific (weights, fuel burn, etc.) and proficiency validated through testing rather than requiring the student to sit through the entire FMS curriculum segment.
- (b) **Upgrade Curriculum.** This curriculum is for an employee who has been previously trained and qualified as either a SIC or flight engineer for the certificate holder and is being assigned as either a PIC or SIC, respectively, for the same aircraft type in which he or she was previously trained and qualified. Elements or training modules for this curriculum may be found in all three primary curriculums. In upgrade training, the same qualification standards apply as found in the qualification curriculum. However, the training received may be abbreviated, based on an analysis of the training/validation/evaluation requirements of the qualification and continuing qualification curriculums compared to an assessment of the currency, knowledge, skills, and qualifications of the individual. For example, if the individual is a current first officer instructor/examiner already type-rated in the aircraft, the training/validation/evaluation requirements of continuing qualification followed by operating experience might be appropriate. Another example, if the individual is current in the aircraft as an SIC, proficiency in training modules such as systems, FMS, and emergency drills may be validated through testing. Other training such as



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

seat dependent training, command authority, and CRM may be trained and evaluated using a combination of classroom and LOS methodology.

Note: Downgrade. Seat-dependent task training is required when a PIC is reassigned as an SIC on the same type aircraft. It may be appropriate to provide modules from several different secondary curriculums (i.e., transition and requalification) if the flight crewmember has never served as an SIC on that type aircraft. The training required when the downgrade is from PIC to SIC from one type aircraft to another type aircraft will depend on whether the crewmember was previously qualified as an SIC in that type. If previously qualified in the duty position and type, a requalification curriculum based on time away is appropriate. If only previously qualified in the duty position, transition is appropriate.

- (c) **Requalification Curriculum.** This curriculum is for an individual who has not met the requirements of a continuing qualification curriculum, for whatever reason, and becomes unqualified for the duty position. The individual must be requalified under a secondary curriculum to resume serving in that duty position. An AQP applicant should establish non-qualification duration limits based on a currency analysis beyond which an individual would be required to repeat some or all of the indoctrination and qualification curriculum in addition to the continuing qualification curriculum to requalify.
- (d) **Refresher.** This curriculum is for an individual who has not met the time requirements of consolidation. The individual must be requalified using this secondary curriculum to resume serving in that duty position.

3.2 LICENSING

3.2.1 General

AQP regulatory guidance provides an alternative practical means to licence pilots, flight engineers and aircraft dispatchers. AQP regulatory guidance also provides for the development of alternative training and evaluation for cabin crews and operations personnel.

3.2.2 AQP Training and Evaluation for Licensing

An applicant for AQP licensing must be eligible in accordance with the applicable requirements of ANTR-FCL, except that an operator may develop an alternative training and evaluation strategy if approved by the BCAA. The AQP training and evaluation proposed by the operator must be an equivalent or better measure of flight crew competence and operational proficiency than that provided by ANTR-OPS 1.

3.2.3 Completion of the Qualification Curriculum

An applicant for a BCAA licence under an AQP must successfully complete the appropriate qualification curriculum.

- (a) **Application.** There is a signature requirement for licence certification under AQP on the back of the application form. Signature by the Designated Examiner constitutes



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

verification that all of the requirements under the specific provisions of a certificate holder's AQP qualification programme have been satisfactorily accomplished.

- (b) **Demonstration of Individual Skills.** Applicants for licensing and/or qualification, including flight dispatchers, must show competence in required technical proficiency objectives and CRM/DRM in actual or simulated operational scenarios that assess both types of skills together.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

CHAPTER 4

AQP APPROVAL AND DOCUMENTATION

4.1 DOCUMENTATION REVIEW AND APPROVAL

4.1.1 Overview

This section establishes how the BCAA reviews and grants approval, or withdraws approval, for all or part of an Advanced Qualification Programme (AQP). Specific document content is discussed in Chapter 2.

- (a) **Approval Authority.** Except for the three items listed below, notification of approval for AQP documents and their revisions is a joint signature responsibility of the BCAA Chief Aircraft Operations Section and the Operations Inspector, based on recommendations from the task manager. The exceptions are:
- (1) Application
 - (2) Line operational simulation (LOS) scenario content -- Operations Inspector signature approval.
 - (3) Phase V curriculum outline revisions -- Operations Inspector signature approval.
- (b) **Approval Process.** All AQP documents and subsequent revisions are reviewed by the task manager. The task manager reports to the Chief Aircraft Operations Section and to the Operations Inspector with his recommendations. Based on the acceptance of the task manager recommendations, the Chief Aircraft Operations Section will recommend to the Director a response letter for signature and delivery to the operator.

4.1.2 Review Process

Chapter 1 of this CAP discusses the roles and responsibility of the task manager and any review team personnel involved with AQP. The task manager prefers to interact closely with applicants as AQP documentation is developed. Experience has shown that is more efficient for the task manager to discuss plans and review drafts of document sections early in the development process.

4.1.3 Approval Process


Applicants develop, implement, and operate the AQP in five sequential phases. BCAA approval of each phase marks the completion of that phase and entry into the next. Developing and implementing an AQP requires a commitment of resources for both the BCAA and a certificate holder. The BCAA and the certificate holder must use their resources in an effective and efficient manner. The BCAA has established a transition time criterion in order to provide a clear delineation of BCAA expectations regarding reasonable progress towards AQP implementation. Accordingly, continuation in AQP for applicants who do not initiate phase IV within the 30th month of phase I approval, as indicated in figure 4-1, will be subject to a review and analysis of their progression towards completing AQP. If circumstances do not warrant an extension, AQP and the Single Visit Training Programme Plan approval (if applicable) may be withdrawn.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 4-1

FIVE PHASES OF AQP REVIEW AND APPROVAL



Note: ERT means the task manager.

4.1.4 Phase Approvals

After concluding a joint meeting with the potential applicant, the task manager and the Operations Inspector /training centre programme manager (TCPM) will form the core review team. The purpose of forming the review team prior to accepting the phase I application is to have BCAA resources available to help the applicant during the development process. In reviewing all phases of AQP development, the review team will:

- Evaluate documents based on the guidelines in chapters 2 and 3
- Provide suggestions for improvement, if appropriate
- Make recommendations regarding approval



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

(a) **Phase I -- Initial Application.** Approval of the initial application marks the certificate holder's formal entry into the AQP. The steps for the applicant are:

- Simultaneously submit the application at Appendix 2 to the task manager
- Address comments and recommendations of the review team

After determining that the applicant's submittal is satisfactory, the Chief Aircraft Operations Section in collaboration with the Operations Inspector will recommend approval of the application. The approval will permit the applicant to proceed to phase II.

(b) **Phase II -- Curriculum Development.** Curriculum development follows a sequential order of programme development and submission: job task analysis, qualification standards, instructional systems development methodology, curriculum outlines, and Implementation and Operations Plan (I&O Plan). After all phase II- required documents have been reviewed by the review team, the Director will issue a letter of approval to the applicant, with permission to begin phase III development.

(c) **Phase III -- Small Group Tryout.** In this phase, the applicant will implement the AQP on a limited scale as defined in the I&O Plan. The applicant should provide the review team a schedule of phase III activities at least 30 days before starting the events.

(1) **Review and Surveillance.** review team activities in this phase will consist of observing, monitoring and participating in the AQP training programmes. Particular emphasis will be placed on:

- a. Instructor/examiner training and observation.
- b. Training scenarios.
- c. Validation.
- d. Line operational evaluation (LOE).

(2) **Programme Operation.** Proficiency data, maintenance plan, quality assurance, data collection, analysis, and reporting will be evaluated for consistency and accuracy.

(3) **I&O Plan Review.** Once phase III is concluded, the applicant will provide the review team with an updated I&O Plan. Based on the recommendations of the review team, the task manager and the Operations Inspector will approve the completion of phase III. Initial approval permits the applicant to execute the updated I&O Plan through one complete cycle of the continuing qualification cycle (usually 24 months).

Note: The Operations Inspector and certificate holder must add an AQP entry into the operations specifications (Op Specs).

(d) **Phase IV -- Initial Operations.** In this phase, the applicant will implement the AQP as defined in the updated I&O Plan through one complete cycle of the curriculum.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (1) **Review and Surveillance.** Review team activities in this phase will consist of surveillance of AQP operations and analysis of data collection results. Joint review team and applicant reviews will be conducted periodically. These meetings will provide both parties the chance to analyze results and discuss programme concerns. At the midpoint in phase IV, the first annual report will be submitted to the review team. A final joint review and annual report will be accomplished prior to phase IV Initial Approval expiration (usually 24 months). Focus areas for these reviews are:
 - a. Data Management:
 - 1 Collection.
 - 2 Analysis.
 - 3 Standardisation.
 - 4 Observations.
 - 5 Additional training.
 - 6 First-look.
 - 7 Programme feedback.
 - b. Recordkeeping.
 - c. Adherence to I&O Plan.
 - d. Modifications to the programme.
 - e. Qualification standards that are reliable and valid.
 - f. Maintenance of the AQP.
 - g. currency of the programme audit database.
 - h. Instructor/examiner programme.
 - i. Special tracking.
 - j. Transition to AQP (non-AQP fleets)
- (2) Once the final joint review has been completed, the applicant will update the I&O Plan to include the changes recommended by the review team. The successful completion of this phase, and approval of the commensurate revisions to the approved AQP documents and reports, will qualify an applicant for entry into the final phase of the AQP process: phase V, Continuing Operations. Based on the recommendations of the review team, the Chief Aircraft Operations Section and the Operations Inspector will recommend the approval of phase IV.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (e) **Phase V -- Continuing Operations.** In this phase, BCAA oversight of the AQP is maintained under a BCAA surveillance programme. The certificate holder will maintain the AQP through documentation changes, programme revisions, data analysis, and will continue to submit monthly data and an annual AQP report.

4.1.5 Method of Granting Approval

- (a) **Initial (Phase IV) or Provisional (Phase III).** The Chief Aircraft Operations Section will recommend to the Director the initial phase IV AQP approval. The approval letter will include at least the following information:

- (1) The specific identification of the curriculums for an AQP air transport operator or training centre curriculum segments, initially or provisionally approved, including a list of effective pages (LEP) and revision control dates (date of revision for any page).
- (2) A statement that initial or provisional approval is granted, and for initial approval, the effective and expiration dates.
- (3) Any specific conditions affecting the approval.
- (4) A requirement that the applicant provide the BCAA with advanced notice of scheduled activities so evaluations may be planned.

- (b) **Final Approval.** Based on the results of evaluations accomplished during phase IV, the period of initial approval, the Chief Aircraft Operations Section will recommend (or not recommend) final approval of an AQP.

- (1) **Stamped Approval Endorsement or Acceptable Substitute.** For initial and final approval, and revisions thereafter, the original LEP of all AQP Programme Audit Database (PADB) documents are stamp-approved, dated, and signed by the Operations Inspector. The approval stamp should be a duplicate of the following:

BCAA FINAL APPROVAL EFFECTIVE DATE:

NAME:

SIGNATURE:

Note: As approved changes are made to AQP PADB documents, the “Final Approval” endorsement will be re-accomplished on each LEP.

- (2) **Approval Letter.** All letters of final approval will be signed by the Director Aeronautical Licensing based on a recommendation from the Chief Aircraft Operations Section. The letter will specifically identify the subject curriculums, contain a statement that “final approval is granted,” and provide the effective date of approval.

Note: The final approval does not expire.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

4.1.6 Revision Control Process

The development and maintenance of an AQP necessitates revisions to documents. Due to the composition and geographic location of the review team, it is imperative that a systematic revision process be followed.

- (a) **Revisions.** AQP document revisions are submitted to the Chief Aircraft Operations Section and the Operations Inspector. In phases II through IV, the revision is reviewed by the review team and approved using the notification process for the curriculum phase identified in Figure 4-1. The process is identical in phase V, except that review and approval of revisions to the curriculum outline are made directly by the Operations Inspector. Copies of all revisions in all phases, including the curriculum outline, will be provided to both the Chief Aircraft Operations Section and the Operations Inspector. Each revision must indicate which AQP documents are being revised, and must include the following items:

- (1) A brief synopsis of each change and what pages/items are affected.
- (2) Change bars or acceptable substitute identifying the text/chart that has been changed.
- (3) The date of the revision and revision number on each page or graphic.
- (4) The LEP.

Note: If an operator chooses to integrate the required AQP documents into an established manual structure, each document's location must be identified and each revision request must identify the AQP document that is being revised and which manual contains the document.

- (b) **Implementation.** A revision to an approved portion (initial or final) of the AQP cannot be implemented until the applicant receives BCAA approval.

4.2 WITHDRAWAL OF APPROVAL

4.2.1 General

The BCAA may withdraw initial, provisional, or final approval at any time the AQP is not in regulatory compliance, does not provide for safe operations, does not effectively prepare personnel to meet qualification objectives, or when the required data is not being provided or maintained. Before withdrawing approval, the BCAA will make reasonable efforts to convince an applicant to correct the deficiencies.

4.2.2 Initial or Provisional

The BCAA will withdraw initial or provisional approval by letter. The letter will identify the affected curriculums, state the reasons for the withdrawal, and state the effective date of the withdrawal. An applicant who receives a letter of withdrawal may revise or refine the curriculum and resubmit it for initial or provisional approval.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

4.2.3 Final Approval

The BCAA will withdraw approval by letter. The letter will identify the affected curriculums, state the reasons for the withdrawal, and state the effective date of the withdrawal (except in an emergency, not less than 7 days after receipt of the letter). The letter will advise the certificate holder that withdrawal may be appealed and provide instructions on how to appeal.

4.2.4 Appeal Withdrawal of Final Approval

An operator should petition the Director Aeronautical Licensing for reconsideration within 30 days after receiving withdrawal notification. The petition should be in writing and should explain in detail why the operator believes the withdrawal should not occur.

- (a) **Denial of Appeal.** The Director may immediately deny the petition after considering all relevant information presented if the Director believes that an emergency exists that directly affects aviation safety. In this case, the Director will inform the operator, by letter, of the decision to deny the appeal due to the existence of an emergency. The letter will state that an emergency exists and describe the deficiencies and the actions necessary to correct them.
- (b) **Stay of Withdrawal.** If the Director does not believe that an emergency exists, the Director shall carefully consider both the operator's petition for appeal and the BCAA's reason for withdrawal of approval. In this case, the operator's petition, provided it goes out within 30 days, stays withdrawn, and the operator may continue to use the AQP curriculum pending the decision of the Director. The Director may find it necessary to conduct additional evaluations of the operator's AQP.
- (c) **Director's Final Decision.** In any case, the Director will make a final decision within 60 days of receiving the operator's petition. The Director may rescind or modify the letter of withdrawal or uphold the withdrawal. If the decision is to modify or uphold the withdrawal, the operator will be notified by letter. The letter will contain the reasons for denying all or part of the petition.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

CHAPTER 5

INSTRUCTORS AND EXAMINERS

5.1 INSTRUCTOR/EXAMINER PROGRAMMES

5.1.1 General

Instructors, examiners, and supervisors are the backbone of the Advanced Qualification Programme (AQP). The applicant must devote the appropriate time and resources to the qualification and continuing qualification of these key personnel.

5.1.2 Instructor/Examiner Curriculums

Each AQP (including provisional AQP curriculums for training centres) must provide instructor, examiner, and supervisor indoctrination, qualification, and continuing qualification curriculums. These requirements include a separate job task analysis (JTA), qualification standards, curriculum(s), and curriculum outlines focusing on the instructor/examiner duty positions. AQP regulatory guidance states, in part, that an AQP provides for approval of an alternate method for qualifying, training, certifying and otherwise ensuring competency of instructors and examiners required to be trained or qualified under ANTR-OPS 1. AQP entails no changes to the existing policies and procedures as they apply to the eligibility, approval, and surveillance requirements for instructors, examiners (check airmen).

Note: The qualification standards document for instructor/examiners does not need to include conditions or a criticality/currency analysis.

- (a) **Definitions.** AQP regulatory guidance defines an examiner as a person who has satisfactorily completed training and evaluation that qualifies that person to evaluate the performance of crewmembers, instructors, other examiners, aircraft dispatchers and other operational personnel, as appropriate. In an effort to remain aligned with traditional examiner policy and procedure, the AQP term “examiner” is considered synonymous with examiner as defined in CAP 10. However, for cabin crew and dispatcher AQP, the word “examiner” will replace the traditional use of the word “supervisor” as an individual that evaluates.
- (b) **Qualification Training.** AQP regulatory guidance contains limited guidance on the instructional content of each curriculum. Training requirements for each curriculum are derived from a thorough and accurate task analysis. Typical subject areas might include as appropriate:
- (1) **Instructor Indoctrination Curriculum.**
 - a. The learning process.
 - b. Elements of effective teaching.
 - c. Student evaluation, quizzing, and testing.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- d. Overview of AQP programme development, implementation, and operation policy.
- e. Lesson preparation and application.
- f. Classroom instructing techniques.
- g. Techniques for instructing in the cockpit environment.
- h. Standardisation and rater/referent reliability.
- i. Resource management (CRM/DRM) and human factors training.
- j. How to conduct training modules for students with varying backgrounds and
- k. varying levels of experience and ability.
- l. Instructor responsibilities.

(2) Instructor Qualification Curriculum.

- a. Effective use of, and qualification in, specific flight training devices, flight simulators, and aircraft.
- b. Limitations on use of training equipment.
- c. Evaluation of performance against objective standards.
- d. Effective pre-flight and post flight instruction.
- e. Effective analysis and correction of common errors.
- f. Teaching/facilitation of CRM/DRM skills.
- g. Performance and analysis of standard flight events and procedures.
- h. Safety considerations in the training environment.
- i. Data-gathering procedures.
- j. Standardisation and rater/referent reliability.

(3) Differences Between Traditional and AQP for Existing Instructors.

- a. Overview of AQP programme development, implementation, and operation policy.
- b. CRM/DRM and human factors training.
- c. Standardisation and rater/reverent reliability.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- d. Data-gathering procedures.
- e. Effective use of, and qualification in, specific flight synthetic training devices and aircraft used in the AQP.
- f. Limitations on use of training equipment used in the AQP.
- g. Evaluation of performance against objective standards.

(4) **Examiner Indoctrination Curriculum.**

- a. Evaluation policies and techniques.
- b. The role of the examiner.
- c. Administrative procedures.
- d. General safety considerations.
- e. Evaluating human factors and CRM/DRM skills.
- f. Standardisation and rater reliability.

(5) **Examiner Qualification Curriculum.**

- a. For each crewmember position requiring a particular evaluation the method of conducting:
 - 1 Line check.
 - 2 In-flight proficiency evaluations if required.
 - 3 Proficiency evaluations in FSTDs.
 - 4 Special purpose evaluations (e.g., long-range navigation).
- b. The standards for the evaluations in the previous paragraph.
- c. The methods and standards associated with airman certification evaluation.
- d. How to conduct evaluations while simultaneously serving as pilot-in-command (PIC), second-in-command (SIC), or safety pilot.
- e. Safety considerations for the various types of evaluations.
- f. Safety considerations particular to the make, model, and series aircraft (or variant).
- g. How to evaluate instructors/examiners.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- h. Company/BCAA policies with regard to the conduct of evaluations.
 - i. Administrative requirements particular to evaluations.
 - j. Evaluating CRM/DRM skills.
 - k. Briefing and debriefing techniques.
 - l. Data-gathering procedures.
- (6) **Differences between Traditional and AQP for Existing Examiners.**
- a. Overview of AQP programme development, implementation, and operation policy.
 - b. CRM/DRM and human factors training/evaluating.
 - c. Standardisation and rater reliability.
 - d. Data-gathering procedures.
 - e. Effective use of, and qualification in, specific flight training devices, flight simulators, and aircraft used in the AQP.
 - f. Limitations on use of training equipment used in the AQP.
 - g. Evaluation of performance against objective standards.
 - h. Briefing and debriefing techniques.
 - i. How to evaluate instructors/examiners.
 - j. Company/BCAA policies with regard to the conduct of evaluations.
 - k. Administrative requirements particular to evaluations.
- (c) **Qualification -- Evaluation and Observation.** Every instructor/examiner must receive a validation/evaluation at the end of each curriculum. These methodologies must be described in the test and evaluation strategy within the instructor/examiner qualification standards..
- (d) **Continuing Qualification.** Instructors/examiners should be given an opportunity to operate in all positions in which they are authorised to perform duties. Flight instructors/examiners should demonstrate the ability to brief and debrief, fly and instruct in both pilot seats and operate the simulator, if appropriate. If possible, instructors/examiners should focus on the training, validations and evaluations that are under development for the next evaluation period. This will allow the instructors/examiners to become familiar with all aspects of the periods they will be expected to teach/evaluate the following year, help ensure the development of a polished continuing qualification programme and give the instructors/examiners an opportunity to maintain their own proficiency using scenarios they have not seen.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Each training period should be conducted by one of a small core of the most experienced instructors/examiners. Each instructor/examiner continuing qualification curriculum segment should include the requirements listed below. Requirements (paragraphs (3) and (4) below) should be defined in the test and evaluation strategy in the instructor/examiner qualification standards:

- (1) Basic crew position and or specialized flight instructor/examiner continuing qualification.
- (2) Ground and flight training to enhance, upgrade, and maintain each instructor/examiner's knowledge, skills, and abilities.
- (3) A schedule for recency of instructor/examiner's experience.

Note: The programme must define the minimum requirements each category of instructor/examiner will accomplish to stay current in their position. Instructors must maintain a high level of competency, so the minimum standard must be sufficient to maintain their proficiency. Examiners will perform an established minimum number of each validation/evaluation that they are qualified to administer.

- (4) A schedule for critical examination of each instructor/examiner's abilities and adherence to prescribed standards.
- (e) **Instructor and Examiner CRM Training and Evaluation.** All instructors and examiners will receive instruction and evaluation in CRM/DRM objectives and training methods, as appropriate.

5.1.3 Authorised Evaluation Personnel by AQP Event

The table in Figure 5-1 illustrates the level of authorisation needed for an individual to either train, validate, or evaluate an AQP event.

Terms

TRB Training Review Board TRE Type Rating Examiner

TRE-V Type Rating Examiner with Validation Authority (AQP Specific Term)

TRE-L Type Rating Examiner with LOE Administration Authority (AQP Specific Term)

TRE-A Type Rating Examiner with Aircraft Training Authority (AQP Specific Term) TRI

Type Rating Instructor

TRTO Type Rating Training Organization

Instructor

Evaluator

SFI – Synthetic Flight Instructor

SFE – Synthetic Flight Examiner



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 5-1

AUTHORISED EVALUATION PERSONNEL BY AQP CURRICULUM

FLIGHT CREW QUALIFICATION CURRICULUM

Event	Instructor	Evaluator	Designee	BCAA Inspector
Knowledge validation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Procedures validation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Maneuvers validation	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
LOE For Qualification	No	No	Yes	Yes
LOE For Certification	No	No	Yes	Yes
Operational Experience	No	Yes	Yes	If qualified*
Line Check	No	Yes	Yes	If qualified*

FLIGHT CREW CONTINUING QUALIFICATION CURRICULUM

Event	Instructor	Evaluator	Designee	BCAA Inspector
LOFT/Maneuvers Training	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Maneuvers Validation	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
LOE	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Line Check	No	Yes	Yes	If qualified*

5.2 CERTIFICATION PERSONNEL

5.2.1 Training

Historically, training captains and Examiners used a manoeuvre-based evaluation scenario derived from the practical test standards (PTS). AQP replaces the traditional manoeuvre-based certification check ride with a scenario-based line operational evaluation (LOE). Due to the unique characteristics and detailed scripting of LOEs, all personnel approved to conduct certification are required to complete AQP examiner training. This training may consist of the indoctrination and qualification curriculums for new personnel, or a differences module for pilots who are already qualified under the operator's traditional programme.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

5.2.2 Authorised Certification Personnel

Terms

TRB Training Review Board TRE Type Rating Examiner

TRE-V Type Rating Examiner with Validation Authority (AQP Specific Term)

TRE-L Type Rating Examiner with LOE Administration Authority (AQP Specific Term)

TRE-A Type Rating Examiner with Aircraft Training Authority (AQP Specific Term) TRI

Type Rating Instructor

TRTO Type Rating Training Organization

SFI – Synthetic Flight Instructor

Principal operations inspectors Operations Inspector will only authorise examiners who have completed the prerequisite AQP examiner training to conduct pilot examination activities.

5.3 QUALITY ASSURANCE AND STANDARDISATION

5.3.1 Quality Assurance

To continuously improve the quality of AQP training, an AQP applicant should develop a quality assurance programme to continually evaluate training programmes, instructors, and examiners. The most experienced personnel should staff the programme. Their duties would include observing training, validation, and evaluation events in order to:

- (a) Critique performance.
- (b) Recommend change.
- (c) Provide feedback to the entire training organisation at regular intervals.

5.3.2 Standardisation

A Standardisation programme is necessary to establish uniform grading criteria, address reliability between instructors/examiners, and develop remediation procedures. The AQP data collection and analysis is incumbent upon reliable and valid instructor/examiner grading judgments. The programme must provide rater reliability training during the qualification, continuing qualification and differences curriculums. There are numerous techniques currently used to address rater reliability.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

CHAPTER 6

TRAINING CENTRES

6.1 INTRODUCTION

6.1.1 Background

This chapter provides guidance to any certificated TRTO. For the purposes of this chapter, a training centre is any entity that offers training to a certificate holder by contract or other arrangement. Under an Advanced Qualification Programme, the BCAA allows certificated air transport operators seeking to accomplish training under the AQP to employ the services of a training centre for that purpose. However, that training center must use the BCAA AOC holders approved AQP program and not the generic TRTO training program.

6.2 PROVISIONAL APPROVAL - APPLICATION AND DEVELOPMENT

6.2.1 Provisional AQP

The major difference between the development of an AQP by a training centre and by an air transport operator is that the training centre can develop generic AQP documentation and individual curriculum segments through phase II. This documentation is given a provisional approval. A provisional AQP allows a training centre to accomplish frontend AQP development through phase II and to offer its services as an approved AQP provider before establishing a contract or other arrangements with a specific certificate holder.

- (a) **Additional Development.** A provisional AQP cannot be employed for use with a specific certificate holder without additional development to tailor the programme to the needs of a specific air transport operator.
- (b) **Multiple Provisional AQPs.** Training centres should consider developing multiple provisional AQPs to shorten the time required to adapt the AQP to the needs of an air transport operator. For example:
 - (1) Types -- different makes, models, series.
 - (2) Trainee demographics – low-time versus high-time pilots.

Flight operations -- short haul regional airlines versus long haul major airlines or supplemental air transport operators.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

6.3 REQUEST AND APPROVAL FOR USE OF TRAINING CENTRES

6.3.1 Request

A certificate holder that wishes to use a training centre for AQP training must submit a Letter of Request directly to the Chief Aircraft Operations Section using form ALD/OPS/F087. The letter of request should specify the training centre, identify the AQP curriculum, and cite the time frame for which such AQP services would be conducted. The training centre may only use the BCAA AQP approved program for the AOC holder.

6.3.2 Development

The AQP analysis and documentation required for a training centre is the same as that of the AOC holder.

- (a) **Documentation.** All documentation must be consistent with the multi-phased development process outlined in this guidance, and must be specific to the certificate holder for whom such training will be conducted.
- (b) **Extended Review Team (review team).** AQP documentation developed by a training centre for a specific certificate holder will be submitted directly by the training centre to the Chief Aircraft Operations Section for review and approval.
- (c) **Notification of Approval.** The Chief Aircraft Operations Section will notify the certificate holder by a letter of approval for the training centre to conduct AQP training in accordance with the AOC holders program.

6.4 TRAINING CENTRE'S INSTRUCTORS AND EXAMINERS

6.4.1 Qualification

Training centre instructors and examiners are subject to the same training requirements as discussed in Chapter 5 and must be observed and approved by the BCAA by name.

6.4.2 Instructor/Examiner Curriculum Approval

Training centres seeking to offer an AQP curriculum to certificate holders must obtain approval of their AQP qualification and continuing qualification programmes for instructors and/or examiners. Each examiner must be observed and specially approved by a type rated BCAA inspector.

Documentation. The documentation required for such approval is identical to that required for certificate holders.

Notification. Notification of provisional approval for instructor and/or examiner AQPs is initiated by the Chief Aircraft Operations Section and follows the same process as identified in paragraph 6.3.2.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

6.5 DATA COLLECTION AND RECORDKEEPING

6.5.1 Data Collection

AOC holders who obtain an approved AQP through a training centre are responsible for verifying compliance with all of the requirements of their approved programme, and for reviewing the results of the data collection and analysis on a continuing basis. AQP data collection, analysis, and reporting requirements for training centre administered AQPs are identical to those that apply to AQPs administered by individual certificate holders for their own employees.

6.5.2 Data Submission

Either the training centre or the certificate holder may transmit the required data to the BCAA. The party that is delegated for this action must be clearly identified in the training centre's approved AQP Implementation and Operations Plan (I&O Plan) for a specific air transport operator. The certificate holder is responsible for complying with the requirements contained in this CAP that pertain to the submission of AQP data to the BCAA.

6.5.3 Record Keeping

AQP recordkeeping is identical to that required under traditional ANTR training programmes. However, for AQP, records must clearly indicate that the training employed to qualify pilots, other flight crewmembers, instructors, or examiners was accomplished under an AQP curriculum. When a certificate holder arranges for use of a training centre to conduct an AQP, the responsibility remains with the certificate holder to assure that the training records required under ANTR-OPS 1 are maintained.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

CHAPTER 7

TRANSITION TO AQP

7.1 OVERVIEW

7.1.1 General

Most Advanced Qualification Programmes (AQP) have been built using one of two approaches. Some applicants have elected to continue training with their traditional programme while they developed their AQP. Other applicants have requested an optional exemption to traditional training, commonly called a Single Visit Exemption (SVE).

7.1.2 Entering AQP from an Established Training Programme

Applicants entering AQP may begin with a qualification curriculum or a continuing qualification (CQ) curriculum, or both.

- (a) **Qualification Curriculum.** Entering AQP with a qualification curriculum may also require the development of an indoctrination curriculum. Having both curriculums in place allows an applicant to train new hires and provide qualification training for its established crewmembers and other operational personnel (if applicable).
- (b) **CQ Curriculum.** If an applicant develops a CQ curriculum using a 12-month training interval, a transition plan must be developed to address the modification of pilots-in-command (PIC), seconds-in-command (SIC) and flight engineers (FE) base months. Participants should transition to a training cycle that puts PICs/SICs/FEs in the same training cycle (annually or more frequently) to maximize simulator resources and to provide effective crew pairing. The transition plan might include:
 - (1) PICs scheduled for a 6-month flight training session may receive a line check during the 3-month eligibility period in lieu of the training. The AQP CQ will occur during their base month (plus or minus 1 month), 6 months later.
 - (2) PICs scheduled for a recurrent proficiency check during their base month will receive the AQP CQ.
 - (3) SICs and FEs (if applicable) scheduled for proficiency checks will receive the AQP CQ.

7.1.3 Transitioning to AQP using the SVE

The BCAA grants an exemption in the public interest and with the assurance that a level of safety equivalent to the regulations from which an exemption is sought will be maintained. The exemption that is issued will contain specific conditions and limitations. It is granted for a 2-year period and may be renewed subject to BCAA determination of reasonable progress towards AQP implementation. The SVE allows all fleets to transition to a training cycle that puts PICs, SICs, and FEs in the same training cycle (annually or more frequently). The transition period may require 6 months to place all crewmembers into the Single Visit Training Programme (SVTP) and 12 months to complete the training cycle. The SVE applies the following conditions to recurrent training:



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (a) A certificate holder must maintain an SVTP for crewmembers, instructors, and examiners. The certificate holder must submit revisions to its SVTP through its principal operations inspector Operations Inspector for approval prior to the execution of privileges under the exemption. Notification of approval for the certificate holder to implement its SVTP will be approved by the Operations Inspector.
- (b) A certificate holder must maintain a master AQP transition schedule for BCAA approval, and submit quarterly written progress reports thereafter, in a format approved by the BCAA, to the Chief Aircraft Operations Section with a copy to the Operations Inspector. The BCAA will monitor the progress of the transition toward AQP in accordance with the certificate holder's approved schedule. Maintaining reasonable progress toward the certificate holder's AQP transition is an explicit condition of the exemption.
- (c) A certificate holder must conduct a pre-training "first-look" evaluation for all pilots that addresses at least a subset of tasks, manoeuvres, or procedures included in ANTR-OPS 1. The certificate holder must collect firstlook data before the repeated execution of any such first-look item in a FSTD. First-look manoeuvres must not be briefed in advance of the first execution of such manoeuvres. The certificate holder may elect to obtain first-look evaluation data by measuring the proficiency of first- look items the first time they occur during normally scheduled recurrent training in a flight simulator or FSTD, or by scheduling a special first-look evaluation session for first-look items, or a combination thereof. The list of first-look items must be submitted through the certificate holder's Operations Inspector to the Chief Aircraft Operations Section for approval before its use. The first-look items will be selected for each typeof aircraft and will focus on at least one of the following categories:
- (1) The execution of manoeuvres, tasks, and/or procedures under degraded modes of operation.
 - (2) The execution of such items under emergency conditions; or
 - (3) Those manoeuvres, tasks, and/or procedures likely to be sensitive to loss of proficiency because of infrequent practice. Unless otherwise authorised by the Chief Aircraft Operations Section with the concurrence of the Operations Inspector, first- look items must be maintained therein until this exemption expires. Thecertificate holder must apply the same measurement methodology and rating criteria to the evaluation of first-look proficiency as that condition specifiedin paragraph 7.1.3(f) below for proficiency evaluations.
- (d) A certificate holder must ensure all flight crewmembers are trained and evaluated to proficiency at their duty position, except that type-rated first officers may occupy either pilot position for training purposes. This training and evaluation must be conducted during an SVTP that includes at least the following:
- Annual recurrent ground school instruction that includes all mandated- training requirements and that integrates, where applicable, Crew Resource Management (CRM) and human factors into recurrent ground school subject lessons.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (e) Annual training to proficiency for each flight crewmember in a flight simulator or FSTD. As a minimum, proficiency training must be provided for all tasks, manoeuvres, or procedures on which performance is found to be unsatisfactory during the first-look evaluation and must continue until satisfactory performance is observed.
- (f) Annual recurrent training conducted every 12 months, plus or minus 1 month, in a FSTD approved for its intended use by the Operations Inspector. This training must be composed of at least two SVTP sessions on 2 separate days, conducted to the maximum extent feasible using a full-crew complement that consists of a captain, first officer, and, where applicable, a second officer. When a full crew is available for training, an SVTP session duration of at least 4 hours per day, including reasonable time for breaks, must be employed, to include line oriented flight training (LOFT), the scenario duration of which must be at least 60 uninterrupted minutes. Multiple flight segments representative of the certificate holder's flight operations may be included in the LOFT. When a full-crew complement is not available, the content and duration of the training must be determined in accordance with a crew-pairing decision rule approved by the Operations Inspector in accordance with the condition spelled out in paragraph 7.1.3(k) below, but in no case must the duration of such training be less than 2 hours per day, including a reasonable time for breaks, to include LOFT or Special Purpose Operational Training (SPOT), the scenario duration of which must be at least 60 uninterrupted minutes. All LOFT and SPOT scenarios must be approved by the Operations Inspector for their intended use. SVTP sessions may consist of LOFT, SPOT, line operational evaluation (LOE), support training, and proficiency checks, as well as individual manoeuvres or events, as required for training or checking, subject to the conditions specified herein.
- (g) A certificate holder must ensure all proficiency evaluations consist of manoeuvres, tasks, and procedures approved by the BCAA. The certificate holder may elect to propose fixed and variable items to be required on proficiency evaluations, as follows:
- (1) Approved fixed items must be included on all proficiency evaluations on a given duty position in a given aircraft for the duration of this exemption, and
 - (2) Approved variable items may be changed at the discretion of the certificate holder and must be selected based on operational need. Before the execution of this option, the content of fixed and variable items, together with any associated examiner proficiency assessment rating forms, must be submitted through the Operations Inspector to the Chief Aircraft Operations Section for approval. Any fixed and variable manoeuvre list proposed by the certificate holder must incorporate specifications of the applicable duty position (e.g., PIC, SIC, or both, and FE) and pilot role (pilot flying, pilot not flying, or both) to be addressed in the proficiency evaluation for each such manoeuvre.
- (h) Proficiency evaluations must be accomplished to the maximum extent feasible in a crew-oriented setting with a PIC and SIC. For all tasks, manoeuvres, or procedures to be included on proficiency evaluations, a certificate holder must identify the performance standards to be employed. The specification of performance standards must be submitted through the Operations Inspector to the Chief Aircraft Operations Section for approval before implementation of the certificate holder's proposed SVTP.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (i) A line check that consists of at least one flight segment and that incorporates both a technical and CRM debriefing facilitated by a line examiner must be conducted for all PICs not more than 6 months, plus or minus 1 month, following the PIC proficiency check.
- (j) For first-look evaluations, proficiency evaluations, and line checks, a certificateholder must develop and implement the following:
 - (1) A proficiency rating scale methodology (e.g., Unsatisfactory = 1; Repeated (Once Only) = 2; Debriefed (No Repeats) = 3; Satisfactory = 4; and Outstanding = 5), and
 - (2) A reason categorization system for use by examiners to categorize the principal reason for below satisfactory performance (e.g., system knowledge, procedural knowledge, execution, communication/coordination, situation awareness, flight management/flight guidance system operation, and teamwork). A certificate holder must submit its proposed rating scale methodology and reason categorization system through its Operations Inspector to the Chief Aircraft Operations Section for approval before the implementation of its SVTP.
- (k) Notwithstanding any other condition of this exemption, a certificate holder must ensure that each person serving as a required flight crewmember on more than one airplane type complies with the provisions of ANTR-OPS 1, Subpart N.
- (l) Any certificate holder PIC who fails a proficiency check must:
 - (1) Receive additional training to proficiency,
 - (2) Demonstrate within-standards performance on a subsequent proficiency check before returning to flight duty,
 - (3) Satisfactorily complete an additional line check not more than 3 months following an unsatisfactory proficiency check, and
 - (4) Satisfactorily complete an additional proficiency check not more than 6 months following an unsatisfactory proficiency check.

Note: The provisions of this condition must not establish a new anniversary date for training or checking.
- (m) A certificate holder must ensure every flight crewmember who fails to complete any training or evaluation satisfactorily under this exemption does not serve as a required flight crewmember until such deficiencies have been corrected and the crewmember has been retrained or satisfactorily re-evaluated as appropriate on those items.
- (n) Training to be accomplished under the exemption must specifically include CRM conducted in a flight simulator.
- (o) Before implementation, a certificate holder must submit a documented methodology for developing and administering LOS scenarios (LOFT, SPOT, or LOE) through its



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Operations Inspector to the Chief Aircraft Operations Section for approval. That methodology must ensure that identical LOFT/LOE scenarios are not employed in two successive training sessions for a given crew, and pilots are not exposed to identical LOFT/LOE scenarios in 2 successive years.

- (p) A certificate holder must ensure that first-look, LOS, and proficiency evaluations are accomplished in a flight simulator meeting the requirements of ANTR FSTD A and are approved for its intended use by its Operations Inspector. Notwithstanding the provisions of this exemption, if a proficiency evaluation required by this exemption is not accomplished in a level B (phase I) or higher flight simulator, then two landings must be accomplished in the appropriate aircraft. This requirement must be accomplished within the eligibility period for the proficiency evaluation involved.
- (q) Data on pilot performance conducted under the provisions of this exemption must be collected by the certificate holder and reported to the BCAA, as specified in paragraph 7.1.3(v) below, beginning on implementation of its SVTP. Performance evaluation and data reporting must be accomplished in accordance with the following categories and the provisions of the condition in paragraph 7.1.3(f) above:
- (1) By aircraft make, to include separate categorization by series and variant if, according to the criteria specified in ANTR-OPS 1 significant operational differences exist.
 - (2) By crew position (PIC, SIC, and/or FE) and SVTP flight simulator sessions. Each crewmember's data must be reported as a single identifiable electronic record, with appropriate identifiers to distinguish crew position to permit tracking individual performance, where possible, from first-look evaluation through proficiency training and evaluation. The certificate holder must report additional training sessions beyond normally programmed training for any crewmember. The certificate holder must report the occurrence of seat position substitution by an instructor or other qualified pilot, or crewmembers in training who are occupying other than their normal seat position.
 - (3) By individual task, manoeuvre, or procedure, as applicable.
- (r) **First-Look.** Rating scale and reason code data must be obtained on the first occurrence of subset manoeuvres in accordance with the provisions of conditions in paragraphs 7.1.3c) and 7.1.3(f) above.
- (s) **Proficiency Training.** Data must be obtained on the number of manoeuvre repetitions required during training to proficiency on first-look items on which initial performance is below standard.
- (t) **Proficiency Evaluation.** Rating scale data must be obtained for each repetition of a proficiency evaluation manoeuvre, task, or procedure to include reason codes for each occurrence of performance below standard, in accordance with the provisions of the condition in paragraph 7.1.3(f) above. Proficiency must be measured against the specifications for performance standards developed in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 7.1.3(j) above. Certificate holder must report unsatisfactory proficiency evaluations.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (u) **Line Check.** Line checks must employ the same evaluation methodology as specified under this exemption for proficiency checks, with the exception that such data will be limited to the PIC tasks, manoeuvres, and procedures that occur during the flight segments observed, in accordance with the provisions of the condition in paragraph 7.1.3(e) above. The certificate holder must report unsatisfactory line checks.
- (v) The certificate holder must provide the BCAA with de-identified raw data (i.e., individual pilot performance assessment data on which name identification has been removed) on a monthly basis, in accordance with the categorization requirements specified in the condition in paragraph 7.1.3(m) above. For this purpose, the certificate holder must convey these data via IBM-compatible diskettes or electronic media. The data format, together with a written description of the electronic record structure (to include database file relationships and data record field definitions), should be forwarded to the Chief Aircraft Operations Section for approval. The certificate holder must obtain approval for any subsequent changes thereto, not less than 30 days before the implementation of any such changes.
- (w) In addition to any other reporting requirements specified herein, the certificate holder must submit an annual SVTP report documenting training and checking effectiveness to the certificate holder's Operations Inspector using a method and format acceptable to the BCAA. These reports must:
- Document the certificate holder's own analysis of data acquired under this exemption
 - Identify any trends, common problem areas, or potential deficiencies
 - Include a description of any corrective steps taken or warranted
- During the second year of the exemption, the reports must incorporate comparisons of data between equivalent periods in the preceding year.
- (x) SVTP review meetings must be scheduled to occur on an annual basis, unless sooner requested by the BCAA or by the certificate holder, to be attended by representatives of the certificate holder, the certificate holder's Operations Inspector at a location and on a date to be determined by the Operations Inspector. The purpose will be to review:
- (1) Compliance with the terms and conditions of this exemption.
 - (2) Data collection issues.
 - (3) Data results including, in particular, the identification of trends, as well as any associated action taken or warranted.
 - (4) The status of the certificate holder's progress toward transitioning its aircraft fleets out of this SVE into AQP.

CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS


7.2 THE PETITION FOR EXEMPTION

7.2.1 General

Figure 7-1 illustrates sequence of events for obtaining and applying the SVE.

FIGURE 7-1

SINGLE VISIT EXEMPTION PROCESS (SVE)



7.2.2 The Petition Process

Any interested person may petition the BCAA to issue, amend, or repeal a rule. Each petitioner must submit, unless good cause is shown, the petition at least 120 days before the proposed effective date of the exemption.

7.2.3 The Petition

The petition should include a citation of each rule from which relief is requested, and a brief description of the general nature of the relief requested. In addition to identifying the regulation, the petition must explain in detail the interest of the petitioner in seeking the exemption. It must show why granting the exemption would be in the public interest and how safety will not be compromised. For the SVE, the applicable regulations are:

- (a) **Interest of the Petitioner.** The petition must explain the interests of the petitioner in the action requested, the nature and extent of the relief, and a description of each aircraft or person to be covered by the exemption.
- (b) **Public Interest.** The petition must present information, views, or arguments to support the action sought and the reasons why the granting of the request would be in the public interest.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (c) **Safety Considerations.** The petitioner should state the reason(s) why the exemption would not adversely affect safety.
- (d) **Safety Equivalency.** Explain why the action taken would provide a level of safety equal to that provided by the rule from which the exemption is sought.

7.2.4 Granting of the Petition

Upon being granted the petition through issuance of the exemption and with BCAA approval of the SVTP plan, the applicant may transition specific fleets or all fleets of aircraft to the SVTP. The applicant will stipulate which fleets in the SVTP plan. The process time expected for standard (generic) petitions is 90 days. Deviations from the standard single visit training petitions are not recommended.

Note: Deviations from the standard SVE petition may entail a lengthy process time. Therefore, the petitioner may want to consider submitting a separate petition for such deviations.

7.2.5 Renewal Requirements for the SVE

- (a) The exemption is issued for an initial 24-month period. The BCAA expects that the applicant will be ready to enter phase IV of the AQP between 24 and 30 months. If the applicant knows that any fleet will not be ready to enter phase IV before the exemption expires, they may submit a petition for renewal. The renewal petition should be submitted no later than 180 days prior to exemption expiration. The following statements should be included in petitioner's renewal request:
- The petitioner should state the exemption number and request an extension for 2 years from the original expiration date.
 - If applicable, the petitioner should state that all of the conditions that existed in the original petition are still in effect, and will remain so for the entire period of the extension.
 - The petitioner should state that the request is in the public interest because the petitioner's approved SVTP will continue to maintain an equivalent or better level of safety to the training programmes it replaced.
- (b) The BCAA decision towards renewal will be based on a review and analysis of the applicant's reasonable progression towards completing AQP. Once the applicant has entered phase IV on all its fleets, the exemption will be allowed to expire unless it includes the random line check. In that case it will be necessary to renew every 2 years or petition for the Random Line Check Exemption separately.

7.3 SVTP

7.3.1 Overview

The SVE requires that the applicant develop an SVTP that complies with each of the conditions and limitations of the exemption. The SVTP serves as a blueprint of the steps and procedures that an AQP applicant will implement to transition from a traditional training



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

programme to a programme as authorised in the exemption. The applicant must submit the initial SVTP and any subsequent revisions through its Operations Inspector to the Chief Aircraft Operations Section for approval prior to the execution of training under its SVTP or its revisions.

7.3.2 Contents

The conditions and limitations set forth in the grant of exemption will specify the requirements and provide the details necessary to conduct an SVTP. An example of a generic grant of exemption and SVTP is available from the Chief Aircraft Operations Section.

7.3.3 SVTP Proficiency Check

In accordance with the SVE grant, a proficiency check must be conducted at least every 12 months, plus or minus 1 month, for each crewmember in a flight simulator or in an aircraft, approved for its intended use by the Operations Inspector. The applicant must ensure that all proficiency checks consist of manoeuvres, tasks, and procedures approved by the BCAA. In lieu of a traditional proficiency check, as allowed by the grant, the applicant may propose a checkride consisting of fixed and variable manoeuvres.

(a) **Manoeuvres: Fixed and Variable.**

- (1) **Fixed Manoeuvres.** These are a subset of flight manoeuvres that are identified by the applicant as being critical to evaluating the proficiency of each pilot. Any fixed manoeuvre items proposed by the applicant will specify applicable duty position (PIC, SIC, or both, and where applicable, FE), and pilot-flying role (pilot-flying (PF) or pilot-not-flying (PM)) to be addressed in proficiency checks for each manoeuvre. A typical proficiency check should include four to six fixed manoeuvres for the PIC and three to four fixed manoeuvres for the SIC. Figure 7-2 contains a sample of fixed manoeuvres.
- (2) **Variable Manoeuvres.** These are flight manoeuvres that are identified by the applicant as being fundamental to their operations. A typical proficiency check would include two or more variable manoeuvres for each pilot. There are two approaches for determining how variable manoeuvres will be used.

The first approach is to identify the variable manoeuvres that each pilot will be evaluated on during a period (1 year) and gather data on these manoeuvres.

A second approach is to have a list of variable manoeuvres that can be sampled evenly throughout the period. The advantage of the second method, particularly for an applicant with a large number of pilots, is that more manoeuvres can be sampled and a more accurate picture of the overall proficiency of the flight crews can be obtained. Another advantage to sampling variable manoeuvres is that it allows company examiner the flexibility to adjust proficiency checks based on company needs. The use of sampled variable manoeuvres requires tight control in the scheduling of these events to ensure that each manoeuvre is sampled evenly and that each pilot is administered the same number of manoeuvres. Figure 7-2 contains a sample of variable manoeuvres for an operator that uses a sampling methodology.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (b) **Proficiency Check Administration.** Proficiency checks must be accomplished to the maximum extent feasible in a crew-oriented setting with a PIC, SIC, and where applicable, an FE. The applicant must identify the performance standards to be employed on all tasks, manoeuvres, and procedures. The specification of performance standards must be submitted, through the Operations Inspector, to the Chief Aircraft Operations Section for approval prior to implementation of the applicant's proposed SVTP.

7.3.4 Approval of the SVTP

Upon acceptance by the BCAA, the Chief Aircraft Operations Section will recommend the issuance of a letter of approval to the applicant.

Note: It is the responsibility of the Operations Inspector to approve all LOFT scenarios.

7.3.5 Revisions

Changes to the programme (adding different aircraft) and any revisions to the documents must coincide with the conditions set forth in the exemption and must have an acceptable revision control methodology. Revisions submitted should include:

- (a) A brief synopsis of each change and what pages/items are affected.
- (b) Change bars, or acceptable substitute, identifying the text/chart that has been changed.
- (c) The date of the revision and revision number on each page or graphic.
- (d) The List of Effective Pages.

7.3.6 Implementation of the SVTP

On the implementation date, the flight crewmember transition should proceed as follows:

- (a) PICs scheduled for a 6-month flight training session may receive a line check during the 3-month eligibility period in lieu of the training. The single visit training sessions will occur during their base month (plus or minus 1 month), 6 months later.
- (b) PICs scheduled for a recurrent proficiency check during their base month will receive the single visit training sessions.
- (c) SICs and FEs (if applicable) scheduled for proficiency checks will receive the single visit training sessions.

Note: The pairing of flight crewmembers into training cycles is one of the required features of the SVTP and may necessitate changing the base month for some individuals.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

7.3.7 Data Submission and Reporting Requirements

The monthly submission of performance/proficiency data, a quarterly progress report towards AQP, and annual SVTP report are conditions of the SVE. The annual SVTP report will:

- (a) Document training and checking effectiveness through analysis of data acquired.
- (b) Incorporate comparisons of data between equivalent periods in the preceding year.
- (c) Identify any trends, common problem areas; or potential deficiencies.
- (d) Include a description of any corrective steps taken or warranted.

7.3.8 SVTP Review Meeting

This annual meeting is called by the Operations Inspector, who specifies the time and location. Attendees include the applicant and other BCAA personnel responsible for SVTP oversight. Agenda items include:

- Compliance with terms and conditions of the exemption
- Data collection and analysis
- Data results, including the identification of trends, as well as any associated action taken or warranted
- Discussion of quarterly progress reports and adherence to the Master AQP Transition Schedule (MATS)
- LOFT and event set design problems and suitability
- Methods that are used to avoid repetition of LOFT/LOS scenarios
- Discussion of SVTP proposed and past changes
- AQP challenges and difficulties
- Suggested improvements
- Performance standards and instructor/evaluation calibration



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 7-2

SAMPLE OF PROFICIENCY CHECK FIXED AND VARIABLE MANEUVERS

Fixed:	PIC PF; SIC PM; FE SUPPORT
1	ILS To Cat I Minimums
2	Takeoff With Engine Failure After V_1 Before V_2
3	Engine-Out ILS Approach and Missed Approach
4	Engine-Out Landing
5	Non-Precision Approach
6	Rejected Takeoff
7	Low Visibility Taxi and Takeoff
8	CATII/III Approach

Fixed:	PIC PM; SIC PF; FE SUPPORT
1	ILS To CAT I Minimums and Missed Approach
2	Takeoff With Engine Failure After V_1 Before V_2
3	Engine-Out ILS Approach and Landing
4	Non-Precision Approach (VOR, NDB, LOC, LOC/BC, LDA, SDF, ASR, RNav/FMS, GPS)

Variable:	PIC, SIC, FE SUPPORT
1	APU Fire
2	Asymmetrical Inboard Trailing Edge Flaps
3	Asymmetrical Outboard Trailing Edge Flaps
4	Emergency Evacuation
5	Engine Fire/Severe Damage
6	Essential Power Failure Light ON
7	Fuel Dumping-Main Tanks
8	Generator Field and Generator Breaker Tripped
9	GPWS In-flight Escape Maneuver
10	Holding
11	Landing and Landing Rollout in Crosswind
12	Loss of all Generators
13	Manual Landing Gear Extension
14	Pack Trip Off Light ON
15	Perform Bleed Air Trip Off Light ON procedure
16	Rapid Decompression
17	Rejected start/Overtemp
18	Rejected start procedure-No ignition
19	System A Restoration/Loss
20	System B Overheat Light ON
21	System B Restoration/Loss
22	Two Engine Inoperative Approach
23	Wheel Well Fire
24	Windshear avoidance and precautionary procedures-On Approach
25	Windshear avoidance and precautionary procedures-On Takeoff (after V_1)

Fixed and Variable:	Flight Engineer (Where Applicable)
1	Duties During Engine-Out Takeoffs and Landings
2	Duties During CATII/III Approach
3	Duties During Rejected Takeoff
4	Normal, Abnormal and Emergency Procedures
5	Aircraft Systems Knowledge and Procedures



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

CHAPTER 8

AQP DATA MANAGEMENT

8.1 INTRODUCTION

8.1.1 Overview

This chapter provides general guidance for the management of performance/proficiency data within an Advanced Qualification Programme (AQP) and a Single Visit Training Programme (SVTP). It also defines the minimal requirements for the collection and submission to the BCAA of de-identified curriculum data. Guidance for data management is found in the AQP Data Management Guide that is produced by the Air Transport Association's (ATA) Data Management Focus Group.

- (a) **Regulatory Requirement.** Data collection and analysis processes acceptable to the BCAA that will ensure that the certificate holder obtains performance information on its crewmembers, instructors, and examiners that will enable the certificate holder and the BCAA to determine whether the form and content of training and evaluation activities are satisfactorily accomplishing the overall objectives of the curriculum.

Note: The BCAA uses de-identified data for programme monitoring, not for individual crewmember, dispatcher, or other operations personnel monitoring.

- (b) **Validation.** The principal goal of the AQP is true proficiency-based training and qualification. This proficiency-based (expressed as performance objectives) is systematically developed and maintained, then continuously validated through the collection and analysis of performance/proficiency data. Data collection and analysis is a fundamental part of AQP and is also required for air transport operators operating under an approved SVTP.

8.1.2 Definitions

In AQP, data is classified into the two broad categories of individual qualification records and performance/proficiency data.

- (a) **Individual Qualification Records (Crew Records).** These are identifiable records maintained in sufficient detail on each crewmember, instructor, and examiner who is qualifying or has qualified under an AQP. These records show how and when the individual satisfied the requirements of each curriculum and therefore each assigned duty position. Air transport operators may maintain a manual recordkeeping system, or a computerized recordkeeping system, based on the ANTR-OPS 1 recordkeeping requirements as the recordkeeping process in AQP does not differ from traditional recordkeeping requirements.
- (b) **Performance/Proficiency Data.** This is de-identified information that represents the results of an individual's ability to successfully demonstrate the performance objectives of each curriculum. This information is captured during validation and evaluation gates as a crewmember progresses through an AQP curriculum. This data is collected from each crewmember's performance and is stored in aggregate in a



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Performance/Proficiency Database (PPDB). This data is used to analyze training programmes and/or groups of participants, not for tracking individual accomplishment. Successful collection and analysis of this data will identify and correct problems, validate AQP curriculums, and identify developing trends.

8.2 DATA MANAGEMENT

8.2.1 Overview

In AQP, data management is a continual process of data collection, entry, validation, and submission.

8.2.2 Data Collection -- SVTP and AQP

- (a) **SVTP.** Collecting SVTP data essentially is a data collection process superimposed over the traditional recurrent training and line check programme. SVTP data is collected for flight manoeuvres performed during first-look sessions, proficiency, and line checks. This data consists of grades using a rating scale with associated reason codes as required in the certificate holder's Single Visit Exemption (SVE) and contained in the individual carrier's approved SVTP. Figure 8-1 illustrates the single visit data collection fields required for submission to the BCAA.
- (b) **AQP.** AQP data collection is required for all AQP curricula as defined by each carrier's approved AQP data collection and analysis section of the certificate holder's Implementation & Operations Plan (I&O Plan). AQP data is collected at each validation or evaluation gate. This data consists of graded proficiency objectives using a rating scale with associated reason codes (if applicable). Data collection requirements for the AQP will vary with the curriculum, the type of curriculum activity (training, validation, or evaluation), the type of participant (crewmember, instructor, or examiner), and the overall management objectives for use of the data. Figure 8-1 illustrates the AQP data collection fields required for submission to the BCAA. All performance data collected on each proficiency objective must be relative to the applicable AQP qualification standards defined for the training and evaluation activities.

Note: For each crewmember in a qualification or continuing qualification curriculum, the Chief Aircraft Operations Section must be able to associate the data records applicable for that crewmember in that curriculum through logical grouping of the records, or linkage by a common de-identified index number (but not by name).

8.2.3 Data Entry

All performance data collected throughout the SVTP and AQP is entered into the carrier's PPDB. Typically, this is an electronic database for ease in analysis, comparison, and reporting purposes. Considerations for data entry include the method, the hardware/software required for data input, and the hardware/software required for data storage and manipulation. Database design is at the discretion of the carrier, providing that the design can generate the required report tables specified.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

8.2.4 Data Submission

The BCAA has established the minimal requirements for the submission of de-identified data by curriculum. Figures 8-1 through 8-4 summarize the submission requirements in three tables. The three tables are the Proficiency Data Report Table (PDRT), the Skill Reason Table (SkIRsn) and the Training Objectives Report Table (TORT). The information in these tables is downloaded from the carrier's PPDB. The submissions are forwarded to the VSP manager electronically in 1 calendar-month blocks for both the SVTP and AQP using a Microsoft Access (.mdb) format within 2 months of collection. The BCAA will analyze the de-identified data using standard automated queries and reports to identify AQP performance trends. Figures 8-2, 8-3, and 8-4 contain specifications for each field in the three tables.

- (a) **PDRT.** The PDRT contains a listing of 23 fields that are reported for every measured item, providing a separate record for each. A measured item is a manoeuvre, task, procedure, or event set, and is the main component for data analysis. These fields provide a record of the results of the performance of each measured item along with supporting data for reporting and analysis. Certain supporting data fields (airline designator, curriculum, etc.) repeat for each record and can be automatically generated from a query/software routine. Each field in the PDRT must contain an entry, alpha/numeric, numeric, or text. Figure 8-2 contains the specifications for each field, defines the meaning of each field item, and provides examples of the field values.
- (b) **SkIRsn.** An unsatisfactory rating (Mrate) of a measured item requires that a reason be entered to explain the rating. All reasons are entered in the SkIRsn in field No. 24, Skill Reason Text. This table allows the carriers to report more than one Skill Reason Text for an unsatisfactory rating of a measured item without having to enter multiple records. There are only two fields in this table.
- (1) The first field is Field No. 5 (SkIRsn), which is the same as in the PDRT and provides the linkage between the two tables. For each record in the PDRT where one or more Skill Reasons need to be reported, an identifier is entered in the SkIRsn field that is unique to the measured item. This identifier can be a concatenation of the fields that make it unique. For instance: Fleet+EvalType+CmID+Mitem. As long as the identifier is unique to the record, it is the carrier's discretion to determine which fields are used.
- Note: If this approach is used, care must be taken to ensure that none of the component field contains an NA value.*
- (2) The second field in the SkIRsn Table is the Skill Reason Text, the field that will contain descriptive text for each reason relevant to a particular measured item. For example: Systems Knowledge, Procedural, CRM, Technical Knowledge, etc.
- (c) **TORT.** This table is comprised of training objective(s) associated with each measured item. It provides a means of auditing the relationship between the measured item and the task analysis, qualification standards, and the curriculum. There are four fields in this table. The TORT links to the PDRT through the first field, Measured Item ID (MItemID). The second field, Objective ID (ObjID) is an



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

identifier assigned to each terminal proficiency objective (TPO) or supporting proficiency objective. The Objective ID may be the same as the Measured ID if it is a single TPO or SPO. However, in the case of a measured item that is an event set, there may be several training objective identifiers associated to the Measured ItemID. The third field, Objective Title (ObjTitle) is a text description of the training objective. The last field in this table is the Objective Type (ObjType) that identifies the training objective as a TPO or SPO.

- (d) **The File Naming Convention.** Data submissions must use the file-naming format (xyzmmyya.mdb) as shown in the example below:

8.3 DATA ANALYSIS AND REPORTS

8.3.1 Overview

The primary users of data reports are internal carrier personnel and the BCAA. Chapter 3, section 7 discusses the specific reporting requirements to the BCAA.

- (a) **Air transport operator.** AQP requires that the data collection conducted by the air transport operator for its own use in monitoring curricula will support more analytical detail and diagnostic functions than the data collected for submission to the BCAA. The BCAA expects the certificate holder to do an in-depth analysis of the effectiveness of the training. Reporting of data is based on the analysis of the PPDB to provide information on the curriculum and participant groups (crewmembers, instructor/examiners). Once the data is collected and entered into the PPDB, an analysis should be performed on the aggregate information. Statistical analysis of the proficiency data enables carriers to make an internal assessment of their performance. Carriers should tailor these processes and techniques to suit their own requirements. Each carrier's data collection and performance assessment processes should be refined over time, based on their own practical experience. That is, the measures and processes should be optimized on an iterative basis to provide the degree of discrimination in crewmember performance needed to establish effective quality control over AQP curricula. See the ATA's Data Management guide for a more extensive discussion on reports and their use.
- (b) **BCAA.** The data submissions to the BCAA are primarily ratings and reason codes associated with performance measures taken at validation and evaluation gates and supporting data. The data, presented to the BCAA in the three tables previously discussed is analyzed using the Data Analysis Reporting Tool (DART). This tool allows principal operations inspectors Operations Inspector and BCAA personnel to conduct trend analysis to monitor overall programme effectiveness.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 8-1. DATA SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

Training Program Curriculum				Single Visit Recurrent			Advanced Qualification Program									
Table	#	Field	Short Name	FL	PC	LC	Qualification					Continuing Qualification				
				FL	PC	LC	SV	PV	MV	LOE	LC	FL	MV	LOE	LC	
Proficiency Data Report Table	1	Measured Item	MItem	Req.	Req.	Req.	Des	Des	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	
	2	Measured Item ID	MitemID	N/A	N/A	N/A	Des	Des	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	
	3	Measured Item Rating	Mrate	Req.	Req.	Req.	Des	Des	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	
	4	Rating Meaning	Rmean	Des	Des	Des	Des	Des	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	
	5	Skill/Reason	SklRsn	Req.	Req.	Req.	N/A	N/A	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	
	6	PF/PNF	PFPNF	Req**	Req.	Req.	N/A	N/A	DES	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req**	DES	Req.	Req.
	7	Is Currency Item?	Currcy	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Req.	N/A	N/A	Req.	Req.	N/A	N/A	
	8	Is Critical Item	Crit	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Req.	N/A	N/A	Req.	Req.	N/A	N/A	
	9	Crew ID	CrewID	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req***	Req***	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.
	10	Evaluation Date	EvalDate	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.
	11	Airline Designator	AirDsgn	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.
	12	FAA Fleet Designator	Fleet	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.
	13	Training Program	TrPgm	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.
	14	Curriculum	Curr	N/A	N/A	N/A	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.
	15	Evaluation Type	EvalType	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.
	16	FAA Simulator ID	SimID	DES	DES	N/A	N/A	N/A	Req.	Req.	N/A	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	N/A
	17	Evaluator ID	EvaltrID	DES	DES	DES	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.
	18	FAA Inspector ID	FAAID	DES	DES	DES	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.
	19	Random LC Geo. Area	GeoArea	N/A	N/A	Req.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Req.
	20	Comments	Comment	Des	Des	Des	Des	Des	Des	Des	Des	Des	Des	Des	Des	Des
	21	Crewmember ID	CmID	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.
	22	Crew Position	CrewPos	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.
	23	Evaluation Rating Type	EvalRtg	N/A	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	N/A	Req.	Req.	Req.
Skill Reason Table	5	Skill/Reason	SklRsn	Req.	Req.	Req.	N/A	N/A	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	N/A	Req.	Req.	
	24	Skill Reason Text	SklRsnText	Req.	Req.	Req.	N/A	N/A	Req.	Req.	Req.	Req.	N/A	Req.	Req.	



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 8-1. DATA SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS (Continued)

Training Objectives Report Table	2	Measured Item ID	MItemID	N/A	N/A	N/A	Des	Des	Req	Req	Req	Req	Req	Req	Req
	25	Objective ID	ObjID	N/A	N/A	N/A	Des	Des	Req	Req	Req	Req	Req	Req	Req
	26	Objective Title	ObjTitle	N/A	N/A	N/A	Des	Des	Req	Req	Req	Req	Req	Req	Req
	27	Objective Type	ObjType	N/A	N/A	N/A	Des	Des	Req	Req	Req	Req	Req	Req	Req

Req - A required entry.

N/A - If no value is to be entered, use N/A. Leave no blank fields.

Des - Desired. This is optional information that is not required, but desired for submission. If not submitted, then N/A must be entered as the value.

* - See Notes in Data Reporting Format - Figure 8-2.

** - If there is no crew pairing for Systems or Procedures Val, submit the single CmID (No. 21) for the crewmember.

SVT - Single Visit Training

Qual - AQP Qualification Curriculum

CQ FL PC - AQP Continuing Qualification Curriculum

SV - First Look Maneuvers

- Proficiency Check

- Systems Validation

PV - Procedures

MV Validation

LOE - Maneuvers

LC Validation

- Line

Operations

- Evaluation -

Line Check



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 8-2. PERFORMANCE DATA REPORT TABLE (PDRT)

Table	No.	Field	Description	Short Name	Type	Field Size	Example(s)	Notes
PDRT	1	Measured Item	The task, maneuver, procedure, or event set statement.	Mitem	Text	80	Perform Engine Failure Procedure	1) This field will be N/A for Qual. SV and PV because these validations are usually graded pass/fail and recorded in field No. 23.
	2	Measured Item ID	An alphanumeric used to identify the task, maneuver, procedure or event set being graded.	MitemID	Text	12	1.2.1.3 or KK73456	1) This value is associated with a TPO, SPO, or event set. When it is a TPO or SPO, use the related number from the hierarchical numbering system. When it is an event set, enter the event set identifier. 2) This field will be N/A for Qual. SV and PV because these validations are normally graded in aggregate (pass/fail), and recorded in field No. 23.
	3	Measured Item Rating	The numeric rating assigned on the <u>first</u> attempt for each measured item.	Mrate	Numeric	1	3	1) Values depend on rating scale. 2) Do not provide records that are missing MRate: Provide only performance related information. Do not provide "Incomplete". 3) Reported rating must refer only to the first attempt of the measured Item, not the terminal performance. 4) * Use 9 instead of N/A for Qual. SV and PV. This is a numeric only field.
	4	Rating Meaning	A description of the Measured Item Rating	Rmean	Text	40	Satisfactory	1) The text meaning of each number in the rating scale.
	5	Skill/Reason	Link between the PDRT and SKLRSN tables	SkIRsn	Text	90	B-737-LOE-5555-Taxi	1) This field is a unique record identifier that must be provided for Unsatisfactory Measured Item ratings (MRate) to provide a link to the Skill Reason table for single or multiple reasons for a failure. 2) This identifier can be a combination of the fields that make it unique. For example: Fleet+EvalType+CmID+MItem. As long as the identifier is unique to the record, it is the carrier's discretion to determine which fields are used. 3) If Mitem is satisfactory, insert N/A in this field.
	6	PF/PNF	Indicates whether the pilot performing the Measured Item was flying or not flying.	PPNF	Text	3	PF or PNF	1) No entry requirement for FE, FA or DISP. 2) N/A for Qualification Curriculum Systems and Procedures Validation 3) * For FL, it is desired and encouraged that PNF data be submitted along with PF data. However, if significant grade sheet changes are necessary to accommodate this, only the standard PF items need be identified. For these airlines, the value entered for all FL Measured Items will be "PF". 4) This field is desired for Qual. and CQ Maneuvers Validation, however, if PNF is not submitted then the value will be "PF".



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

No.	Field	Description	Short Name	Type	Field Size	Example(s)	Notes
7	Is currency Item?	Indicates whether the Measured Item is a currency item.	Currency	Text	3	Yes, No or N/A	1) N/A is used when the Measured Item is an Event Set.
8	Is Critical Item	Indicates whether the Measured Item is a critical item.	Crit	Text	3	Yes, No or N/A	1) N/A is used when the Measured Item is an Event Set.
PDRT	9	Crew ID	The de-identified alphanumeric assigned to a specific pairing of crewmembers that will be maintained for the duration of a curriculum, unless the crew make-up changes or a seat substitute is present.	CrewID	Text	40	P21234 SIC F12312 1) CrewID N/A for FA's, IRO's or dispatchers. 2) CrewID is the concatenation of the CmID's (#21) of all crewmembers present. Order of ID's: PIC SIC FE. 3) If two PICs or SICs are trained together use the structure PIC PIC FE, or SIC SIC FE, as appropriate, separated by spaces. 4) If there is no crew pairing for Systems or Procedures Val, submit the single CmID (#21) for the crewmember. 5) Maintain CrewID in exact form and order, except for seat substitutes. For seat subs, use Crew Position (No. 22) values relative to the seat position in the CrewID sequence. For example, P21234 SIC F12312 would indicate that the first office position was a seat substitute.
	10	(MM/YYYY)	The month and the year the Measured Item data is collected.	Date	Date	7	10/2001 1) Set the date field format in Access to month/year; use full century: MM/YYYY. 2) The day value will default to 01.
	11	Airline Designator	The airline's four character designator reporting the measured items.	AirDsgn	Text	4	XYZA
	12	FAA Fleet Designator	The FAA designation of the fleet or equipment type relevant to the Measured Item.	Fleet	Text	20	B-737 1) See Airline/Fleet Designator Menu
	13	Training Program	The training program relevant to the Measured Item.	TrPgm	Text	4	AQP or SVT
	14	Curriculum	The AQP curriculum in which the Measured Item is being validated or evaluated.	Curr	Text	4	Qual, CQ or N/A 1) N/A for SVT.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

No.	Field	Description	Short Name	Type	Field Size	Example(s)	Notes
15	Evaluation Type	The evaluation type in which the Measured Item is accomplished.	EvalType	Text	4	FL, PC, SV, PV, MV, LOE, LC	
16	FAA Simulator ID	The FAA simulator ID number of the simulator where the Measured Item is performed.	SimID	Text	4	1234 or N/A	1) If a simulator is not used, enter N/A
17	Evaluator ID	The identification number of the evaluator who graded the Measured Item.	EvaltrID	Text	15	123456	1) If an FAA inspector is the evaluator, use the FAAID in this field and in the FAA Inspector ID field, No. 18.
18	FAA Inspector ID	The Form 110A number of the aviation safety inspector who observed the Measured Item.	FAAID	Text	4	1234	1) Report the FAA ID Number (110A) of a FAA inspector who is there as an observer and/or evaluator (#17). If no FAA inspector is present, enter N/A.
19	Random Line Check Geographic Area	The geographic area where the Measured Item is collected for Random Line Checks.	GeoArea	Text	30	Pacific	1) Use geographic descriptors as define by the airline. 2) This field is only required for airlines with approved Random Line Check programs otherwise enter N/A.
20	Comments	Additional comments submitted by evaluator.	Comment	Memo			1) The comment field provides further explanation of unsatisfactory or outstanding measured Item Rating. 2) Evaluator comments are provided to AFS-230 at the discretion of the airline, unless otherwise require in accordance with the approved airline's AQP. 3) If there are no comments, enter N/A.
21	Crewmember ID	The de-identified alphanumeric assigned for the duration of a curriculum to the crewmember performing the measured item.	CmID	Text	10	P123456	1) Begin all PIC ID's with P, Sic ID's with S, FE ID's with F, FA ID's with A, dispatcher ID's with Des, and IRO ID's with R. 2) The P, S, or F refer to the position for which the pilot in training is being qualified, not necessarily the seat occupied.
22	Crew Position	The "seat" occupied by the person performing the Measured Item.	CrewPos	Text	4	PIC	PIC = Pilot in Command; FA = Flight Attendant; SIC = Second in Command; DISP = Dispatcher; FE = Flight Engineer; IRO = International Relief Officer E.g., a SIC in the left seat would be entered a PIC.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

23	Evaluation Type Rating	The overall rating the pilot performing the Measured Item received for the evaluation type.	EvalRtg	Text	5	Sat or Unsat	1) Rating must refer to the first execution of the evaluation type. Repeated sessions are not reported in this table.
----	------------------------	---	---------	------	---	--------------	---

FIGURE 8-3. SKILL/REASON TABLE (SKLRSN)

Table	#	Field	Description	Short Name	Type	Field Size	Example(s)	Notes
SklRsn	5	Skill/Reason	Link between the PDRT and SKLRSN tables	SklRsn	Text	90	B-737-LOE-5555-Taxi	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) This field is a unique record identifier that must be provided for Unsatisfactory Measured Item ratings (MRate) to provide a link to the Skill Reason table for single or multiple reasons for a failure. 2) This identifier can be a combination of the fields that make it unique. For example: Fleet+EvalType+CmID+ MItem.. 3) As long as the identifier is unique to the record, it is the carrier's discretion to determine which fields are used. 4) None of the component fields can contain a N/A value.
	24	Skill reason Text	A description of the skill or reason for assigning an unsatisfactory Measured Item rating.	SklRsn Text	Text	40	Technical CRM Procedural	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Provided for Unsatisfactory Measured Item Ratings from the carrier's Reason Codes. 2) If more than one skill or reason is relevant, allow one field for each skill or reason. 3) Enabling Objectives (EO's) are acceptable as skill descriptors.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 8-4. TRAINING OBJECTIVES REPORT TABLE (TORT)

Table	#	Field	Description	Short Name	Type	Field Size	Example(s)	Notes
TORT	2	Measured Item ID	An alphanumeric used to identify the task, maneuver, procedure or event set being graded.	MitemID	Text	12	1.2.1.3 or KK73456	1) This value is associated with a TPO, SPO, or event set. When it is a TPO or SPO, use the related number from the hierarchical numbering system. When it is an event set, enter the event set identifier. 2) This field will be N/A for Qual. SV and PV because these validations are normally graded in aggregate (pass/fail), and recorded in field No. 23.
	25	Objective ID	An alphanumeric assigned to each terminal or supporting objective tested by the Measured Item.	ObjID	Text	12	3.2	1) List all the TPO's, SPO's or other <u>high level objective grouping</u> that apply to the Measured Item.
	26	Objective Title	A description of the objective ID	ObjTitle	Text	80	Perform Engine Fire Procedures	1) A description of the objective ID in field No. 25.
	27	Objective Type	A description of the objective type	ObjType	Text	5	TPO or SPO or EO	1) Identify the training objective as a TPO, SPO, or EO.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

CHAPTER 9

CREW RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

9.1 INTRODUCTION

Crew resource management (CRM) training develops skills that enhance flight safety through the effective use of all available resources: human, hardware and information. CRM training increases awareness of human and system error and provides techniques and skills that will minimize their effects. This is accomplished through awareness of crewmember attitudes and behaviour as well as the use of practical flight management skills. CRM training has achieved a greater degree of integration through the Advanced Qualification Programme (AQP). This chapter describes the integration of CRM training into pilot training curriculums using the instructional systems development methodology that forms the foundations of the AQP process. The approach demonstrates how the analytical methodologies in AQP have the potential to produce more rigorous CRM training, including the appropriate integration of CRM and technical training.

9.2 INTEGRATING CRM INTO AN AQP

9.2.1 Scope of Integration

One of the major objectives of AQP is the seamless integration of CRM and technical training. Where appropriate, CRM procedures are identified, documented, integrated, and accorded the same weight as the technical procedures required for the execution of a given phase of flight and its associated flight tasks.

- (a) However, integration does not mean that only those aspects of CRM that can be proceduralised are addressed in flight operations technical training and evaluation. Some aspects of CRM are inherent to manoeuvre performance, and have already been proceduralised. For example, communication procedures for coordinating callouts during takeoffs, approaches, and other manoeuvres are specified in terms of what should be said and when it should be said. These callouts take place during most flight phases and are performed at fixed points in the flight-phase sequence of task activities. Similarly, some aspects of communication during the management of non-normal conditions can be easily positioned within the sequence of activities performed to manage the non-normal condition.
- (b) Other equally important CRM activities, in contrast, are performed on an as-needed basis, in order to manage the flight, work well as a team, or respond to unique situations. Recognizing the need for, and effectively executing these activities, is critical to coordinating the various duties the crew must perform during the flight. For example, certain communications should be performed in order to maintain crew awareness of flight status. Regardless of the phase of flight, it is critical that the crew recognize this communication requirement and effectively act upon it in a timely manner to maintain crew situational awareness.
- (c) These global activities do not fit neatly within a hierarchical list of technical activities, organized by phase of flight. Instead, they act as a “shell” or “template” that overlays and organizes the activities that may need to be performed during any phase of flight. These skills as a whole constitute a management strategy that



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

represents a critically important part of the inventory of defenses against error that crews bring with them to the flight deck. In particular, they can provide the crew with the tools needed to resolve problematic situations to which they may never have been previously exposed in training or in flight operations. It is important, therefore, that training activities seek to fully instill these skills in crews, in order to provide a basis for generalization to a potentially wide variety of situations. Figure 9-1 contains samples of some of these phase-of-flight independent CRM skills.

9.2.2 CRM/AQP Task List

The AQP task list reflects the air transport operator's definition of the flight crew's job, including the role that CRM is expected to play in the performance of that job. This job definition specifies the task activities, the knowledge, and the skills that must be trained to achieve and maintain pilot and other crewmember proficiency. Because of the fundamental role played by the task list, it should provide a comprehensive specification of the various task activities that constitute the job, and the knowledge and skills required in performing those task activities, both technical and CRM. In terms of the task list, this means that there are CRM task activities just as there are technical task activities. All of the technical and CRM task activities that must be performed to support these high-level task activities can now be identified. The high-level task activities, which also act as objectives, serve as a type of template that will overlay the specific procedures for handling each condition. High-level CRM task activities act as the framework within which technical activities are positioned to support management objectives.

9.2.3 CRM Knowledge and Skills

A CRM skill represents the ability of a person to apply specific CRM knowledge across a broad range of flight situations. In AQP, these CRM skills are combined to develop proficiency objectives. Once the carrier has identified the set of task activities appropriate for defining the flight crew's job (from the task list), these activities then provide the framework for identifying the CRM knowledge and skills that a pilot or crew must possess in order to effectively perform each task activity. Two approaches are commonly used to identify CRM knowledge and skills:

- (a) **Top-Down.** This approach uses the CRM categories chosen by the carrier, or presented in the CRM Advisory Circular (AC), to identify the set of CRM knowledge and skills. The resulting knowledge and skills can then be attached to those task activities whose performance they support.
- (b) **Bottom-Up.** This approach identifies CRM knowledge and skills by analyzing each individual task activity. The task activity's structure determines the knowledge and skill requirements. This approach has the advantage that it defines an inherent link between the task activity and its knowledge and skills.

9.2.4 CRM and Proficiency Objectives

Once the task list has been completed, the proficiency objectives can be identified for that job. Two types of proficiency objectives may be used: ground training enabling objectives, which reflect the subject matter a flight crew must know; and flight training terminal, supporting, and enabling objectives, which reflect the activities a flight crew must be able to do. Appropriate integration of CRM into both ground and flight proficiency objectives



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

ensures that the range of CRM issues important to the carrier will be addressed both in training and in evaluation by means of the performance standards included in the proficiency objectives.

9.2.5 Training Events

The complete set of proficiency objectives defines the end result of training: the task activities the crew must be able to perform, the set of conditions under which they must be able to perform them, the performance standards that must be met, and the evaluation strategy that will be used to evaluate proficiency. They do not, however, describe the specific training situations and activities that will be used to achieve this end result, especially in terms of flight training. One means for specifying the set of flight training situations to be included in a curriculum is by means of events. The suggestion that flight training and testing activities should be developed around a set of events was formalized in 1994 by an industry group tasked to recommend a systematic approach for developing line operational simulation (LOS) scenarios under AQP. The event set methodology has achieved wide acceptance because of its analytical approach to scenario design and its reinforcement of the use of realistic line conditions that enable crews to practice the full range of flight-management skills. The effectiveness of the event set methodology for integrating technical and CRM training objectives suggests that an event orientation throughout the curriculum, rather than just in line operational flight training (LOFT) or line operational evaluation (LOE), could offer important advantages.

9.2.6 Event Sets

The primary unit of both LOS design and CRM assessment is the event set. The event set is made up of one or more events, including an event trigger, distracters, and supporting events. The event trigger is the condition or conditions under which the event is fully activated. The distracters are conditions inserted within the event set time frame that are designed to divert the crew's attention from other events that are occurring or are about to occur. Finally, supporting events are other events taking place within the event set designed to further CRM and technical training objectives. In LOS scenario design, the CRM and technical training objectives should be integrated into the event sets. This event set framework allows the design team to present the appropriate degree of realism in the LOS. Instead of focusing on a specific technical issue, the event set integrates the entire complex line environment (e.g., terrain, air traffic control (ATC), weather issues, etc.) to facilitate and maximize the crew's performance in response to specified CRM and technical issues. The event set tends to follow the phase of flight and may extend beyond a single phase. This event set framework provides a logical breakdown for terrain, ATC, and weather issues as they interact with LOS events. With the LOS scenario now defined by event sets, scenario validation is performed at the event set level rather than limiting validation to the overall LOS. A sample event-set development worksheet is shown in Figure 9-2.

9.2.7 Source

The carrier's safety information system (incident reports, Aviation Safety Action Programme (ASAP) reports, Flight Operational Quality Assurance (FOQA) data, line check data, etc.) is an important source for events and event sets. The conditions that encouraged the occurrence of an incident can be replicated in flight training or discussed in ground training. The purpose is to educate pilots about the types of conditions that can increase the



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

likelihood of an error, to present strategies for avoiding these errors, and techniques for recovering from them, should they occur.

9.2.8 Curriculum Design

Curriculum design is the final product of the AQP analyses performed to this point: what is the job, what is proficiency on the job and how is it measured, and what training opportunities should be provided to achieve proficiency. The curriculum layout reflects the products of these analyses. Much of the work involved in designing a curriculum has been accomplished through the preparation of the task list, proficiency objectives, and events. If objectives and events have been developed, the design of the curriculum is largely complete, except for choosing the specific locations within the syllabus for the individual elements.

9.2.9 Indoctrination Curriculum

CRM training is likely to be integrated into indoctrination training in two ways. A separate CRM portion might be appropriate to address the philosophical issues pertaining to captain's and first officer's authority, and corporate expectations concerning professionalism and individual responsibility. CRM is also likely to play a supporting role in other portions, such as weather. The decision processes involved in managing severe weather conditions provides an appropriate flight management context for addressing operational issues pertaining to weather.

9.2.10 Qualification Curriculum

Qualification training will likely use a different set of training topics. These topics could reflect the transition from knowledge acquisition to skill acquisition and, finally, to skill application. If so, the topics will reflect the following learning stages:

- (a) **Knowledge.** This includes basic awareness training concerning the nature of the skills, their value, strategies for using them, and ways to assess the effectiveness of skill use. Presenting the different roles that could be played by each crewmember sets the stage for later events where the crew must actually assume the appropriate roles for that situation.
- (b) **Procedures.** This training includes the proceduralised aspects of CRM.
- (c) **Manoeuvres.** This training can extend beyond simply practicing individual manoeuvres so as to encompass situation assessment, planning, workload distribution, and other critical CRM skills.
- (d) **Flight Management.** This training requires the strategic use of multiple skills adapted to the requirements of the situation and the accurate assessment of skill effectiveness in managing the situation. Effectively accomplishing such training requires a systematic approach to the development of scenario events designed to elicit complex crew skills

9.2.11 Continuing Qualification Curriculum

This curriculum has two goals: to evaluate pilot and crew proficiency, and to provide supplemental training. Because of the severe time constraints imposed on this curriculum,



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

only “snapshot” samples of pilot and crew performance are possible. If a flight-management framework has been used to prepare the task list and proficiency objectives, the performance samples could use an event-based approach that gauges pilot and crew performance for procedures, manoeuvres, and flight management.

FIGURE 9-1. SAMPLE CRM SKILLS

Exercise Captain’s Authority or Responsibility	Distribute workload and prioritize between primary and distracting duties.
	Communicate plans and decisions to the crew.
	Enforce standardization, policies, and procedure
	Set expectations for maintaining vigilance and avoiding complacency.
	Respond to any safety-related concern raised by any crewmember.
	Develop and enhance the aviation skill and knowledge of junior crewmembers.
	Review operational irregularities and establish bottom lines.
	Communicate intentions, “bottom lines,” and decisions to all crewmembers.
Fulfill First Officer or Flight Engineer Responsibility	Cross-check and back the captain up. This requires maintaining vigilance and flying proficiency. It also includes effective monitoring of the situation
	Report to the captain any safety-related concern and request a plan or decision if none is articulated.
	Support decisions articulated by the captain within the limits of safety, legality, and procedure.
	Develop your proficiency and take the best from each captain you work with.
Maintain Situation Awareness	Prepare, plan and maintain vigilance—be prepared for what you can reasonably expect
	Carry out actions or decisions based on priorities and crew workload established by the captain.
	Identify systemic traps.
	Be aware of the limits of human performance and the nature of human error.
Establish Effective Communications	Conduct or contribute to briefings—keep your head in the game and work to get ahead of it
	Maintain a communications “loop”—acknowledge commands, statements, and questions of crewmembers.
	Use resources appropriately to make informed decisions.
	Resolve disagreements or differences in expectations—ensure that all crewmembers are working from the “same page.”
	Manage errors appropriately to mitigate consequences.
	Continuously review the appropriateness of decisions made and actions taken.
	Debrief critical flight events—take the opportunity to learn from unusual events by reviewing the expectations and actions of all cockpit crewmembers at the end of the flight.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FIGURE 9-1. SAMPLE CRM SKILLS (Continued)

Develop and Maintain Teamwork	Establish appropriate duties and responsibilities by crew position.
	Back each other up through effective cross-check and acknowledgment.
	Demonstrate motivation appropriate to the situation—transition between casual conversation and focused flight communication based on the need to prepare and execute your flight. This entire range is appropriate at different points in flight.
	Protect crewmembers from the consequences of work overload.
	Effectively coordinate with other groups: gate agents, dispatch, ground crew.
	Apply judgment in use of automated systems and modes.
	Operate the airplane using different levels of automation as appropriate to the situation.
	Verify that automation is doing what you expect and act to control it when it does not.
	Intervene to control autoflight.
	When using automation, back each other up (verify settings, state intentions, establish roles).



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

**FIGURE 9-2.
SAMPLE EVENT SET WORKSHEET**

A340 EVENT SET NUMBER 101 WORKSHEET
(FROM A340 R L 03-02 LEG 1)

OVERVIEW. Low Visibility takeoff and climb with a reroute and a TCAS event Phase of Flight: Takeoff through Climb			SUCCESS CRITERIA	
	TPOs and SPOs	Conditions	Technical Skills and Observables	CRM Skills and Observables
<u>Trigger:</u> Departure weather, 200 overcast, RVR 1500. <u>Distracters:</u> TCAS RA, shortly after takeoff. <u>Supporting Events:</u> Reroute and climb restriction <u>Difficulty Equivalency Rating:</u> Low Visibility takeoff - IMC - 4 FMS – 1 TCAS – 3 Total - 8	Low Visibility takeoff operations (2.1) Proper cleanup profile. (2.1.4) Perform TCAS RA avoidance (9.1.28) Perform climb operations. (3.1)	Take Off Thrust - Normal IMC weather	Proficient in use of FMS and Autopilot Flight Director System. (9.1.11) (9.1.13) Accomplishes takeoff/climb procedures IAW SOP. (2.1.1) (2.1.2) (2.1.3) (2.1.4) (3.1.1) (3.1.2) Appropriate response to TCAS Alert (9.1.28)	Crew coordinates for airspeed and altitude changes. (SA 3.4) Crew verbalizes and acknowledges changes in the altitude selector window. (AT 6.4) PF coordinates with PM in the use of automation. (AT 6.6)



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

APPENDIX 1

DEFINITIONS

APPLICANT. An AOC holder that is required to have a training programme under ANTR-OPS 1 or a TRTO training centre that applies to conduct training and evaluation for an eligible certificate holder under an Advanced Qualification Programme (AQP).

ATTITUDE. A persisting internal mental state that influences an individual's choice of personal action toward some object, person, or event.

CERTIFICATE HOLDER. Holder of an operating certificate and operations specifications that authorise commercial air transport operations.

COGNITIVE SKILLS. Those intellectual skills that are prerequisite to the performance of a task, subtask, element, or sub-element. The three primary categories of cognitive skill are discrimination, concept learning, and rule using.

CONDITION. One of the three primary components of a proficiency objective: performance, condition, and standard. The conditions describe the range of circumstances under which student performance will be measured and evaluated. Conditions may include the natural environment (ceiling, visibility, wind, turbulence, etc.), the operational environment (navigational aid (NAVAID) inoperable, conflicting air traffic, gate change, passengers not seated, etc.), or operational contingencies (abnormal situations and emergencies).

COURSEWARE. All instructional material a learner requires to complete a curriculum, in whatever media required, including manuals, visual aids, lesson plans, flight event descriptions, computer software programmes, audiovisual programmes, workbooks, handouts, etc.

CREW RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (CRM). The effective use of all available resources, human resources, hardware, and information to achieve safe and efficient flight. For additional information, see AC 120-51, Crew Resource Management Training, as amended.

CRITICALITY. A terminal proficiency or supporting objective for which the substandard task performance would adversely affect safety. The relative need for awareness, care, exactness, accuracy, or correctness during task performance. Critical tasks must be accomplished more frequently in training and evaluation than non-critical tasks.

CURRENCY. A terminal proficiency or supporting objective for which individuals and/or crews can maintain proficiency by repeated performance of the item in normal line operations. For pilots, most currency items may be validated during line checks, while most non-currency items must be demonstrated during training, validation, or evaluation events in a simulator or flight training device (FSTD).

CURRICULUM. A portion of an AQP that covers one of three programme areas: indoctrination, qualification, and continuing qualification. Qualification and continuing qualification programme areas may include upgrade, transition and requalification curriculums. The indoctrination programme area addresses activities that are general in nature and are not aircraft or duty position specific. The qualification and continuing qualification programmes address the required training and qualification activities for each specific make, model, and series aircraft (or variant) and for a specific duty position.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

CURRICULUM DESIGN. The activities involved in organizing, clustering, sequencing and otherwise structuring the elements of instruction (objectives, lessons, evaluations, etc.) into an orderly flow of learning experiences to facilitate student performance.

CURRICULUM SEGMENT. An integral part of a curriculum, which can be separately evaluated and individually approved, but by itself does not qualify a person for a duty position. (e.g., ground training segment, flight training segment, evaluation segment). The first level of curriculum detail (segment, module, lesson, and lesson element).

DE-IDENTIFIED DATA. Data that cannot be linked with a named individual.

DUTY. All the actions (tasks, subtasks, etc.) required by one's position or occupation.

DUTY POSITION. The operating position of a crewmember, or other person. Includes seat dependent tasks. Positions include pilot-in-command (PIC), second-in-command (SIC), flight engineer (FE), flight navigator, instructor and examiner, aircraft dispatcher, cabin crew, or other ground operations personnel such as those dealing in security or hazardous materials.

ELEMENT. A component of training analysis or design. In the case of task analysis, the element may be used as a level of analysis: phase of flight, task, subtask, element, sub-element, etc. In the case of curriculum design, the element may be used as a level of curriculum organisation: curriculum, segment, module, lesson, lesson element, etc.

ENABLING OBJECTIVE (EO). A lower level learning objective that helps students master a higher level objective, such as a supporting or terminal level objective. The knowledge and skill prerequisites of manoeuvres and procedures are usually trained as enabling proficiency objectives.

ERT. Means Evaluation Review Team or task manager.

EVALUATION. Careful appraisal of an individual's performance by an examiner to ascertain whether the standards required for a specified level of proficiency have been demonstrated.

EVALUATION OF PROFICIENCY. In AQP, this is a line operational evaluation (LOE) or an equivalent evaluation acceptable to the BCAA.

EVALUATION PERIOD. A period within the continuing qualification cycle during which each person must receive at least one training session and a proficiency evaluation. The initial continuing qualification cycle for any curriculum will be 24 months (plus or minus the 1 month grace period), and will contain two 12-month (plus or minus the 1 grace month) evaluation periods.

EXAMINER. A person who assesses the performance of crewmembers, instructors, other examiners, aircraft dispatchers, or other operations personnel. An examiner must have satisfactorily completed the certificate holder's AQP examiner training. All examiners must be approved by the BCAA.

EVENT SET. A relatively independent segment of a scenario made up of several events, including an event trigger, possible distracters, and supporting events.

FLIGHT TRAINING. Training given in the aircraft, FSTD, or other cockpit environment. See ground training.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

FLIGHT TRAINING EQUIPMENT. Aircraft, flight training devices, or flight simulators that are used for any of the following purposes: (1) Required evaluation of individual or crew proficiency; (2) Training activities that determine if an individual is ready for an evaluation; (3) Activities used to meet recency of experience requirements; and (4) line operational simulations (LOS).

FORMATIVE EVALUATION. Process of reviewing courseware for technical accuracy, instructional soundness, and suitability for use by instructor, examiner, and student. Dry run of the total curriculum with a small group of students to test the effectiveness and efficiency of the training (e.g., small group tryout).

FREQUENCY. Number of occurrences of a task/subtask in a specific period of duty (one flight, one trip, 1 month, 1 year, etc.) How often a task/subtask is performed. Frequency may be used to determine currency (see currency) by comparing the frequency with which activities occur on the line, with the frequency required to maintain proficiency without additional training.

GROUND TRAINING. Aviation/aircraft specific training provided in a classroom, learning centre, lecture hall or other traditional educational setting that occurs outside the cockpit environment.

INSTRUCTIONAL ANALYSIS. A process conducted during the design of instruction to identify the presentational components, or learning events, necessary for the student to master the complete range of skills, knowledge, attitudes, abilities, and CRM factors required for proficient performance.

INSTRUCTIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT. A systematic methodology for deriving and maintaining qualification standards and associated curriculum content based on a documented analysis of the job tasks, skills, and knowledge required for job proficiency.

JOB. A job is the summation of functions, identified as tasks and subtasks, performed by an individual at work.

KNOWLEDGE. Specific information required to enable a student to develop the skills and attitudes to effectively recall facts, identify concepts, apply rules or principles, solve problems, and think creatively. Because knowledge is covert, students must be assigned overt activities to demonstrate their knowledge base.

LESSON. A meaningful division of learning consistent with the method of study, learning, or testing of performance (proficiency) objectives. The third level of curriculum definition (segment, module, lesson, lesson element). Lessons usually contain objectives, training events, student materials, instructor materials, and an evaluation scheme or form.

LESSON ELEMENT. A subgroup of activities within a lesson. It is the fourth level of curriculum detail (segment, module, lesson, and lesson element).

LINE OPERATIONAL EVALUATION (LOE). The LOE is the primary proficiency evaluation. This evaluation addresses the individual's ability to demonstrate technical and CRM skills appropriate to fulfilling job requirements in a full mission scenario environment. The intent of an LOE is to evaluate and verify that an individual's job knowledge, technical skills, and CRM skills are commensurate with AQP qualification standards. The LOE is conducted in a simulation device approved for its intended use in the AQP. Under extenuating circumstances, the AQP proficiency evaluation may be accomplished in an aircraft, subject to BCAA approval



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

LINE OPERATIONAL SIMULATION (LOS). A FSTD training session conducted in a “line environment” setting. LOS includes line oriented flight training (LOFT), line operational evaluation (LOE), special purpose operational training (SPOT). For additional information, see AC 120-35, Line Operational Simulations: Line Oriented Flight Training, Special Purpose Operational Training, Line Operational Evaluation, as amended.

LINE ORIENTED FLIGHT TRAINING (LOFT). A LOS flight scenario designed for training purposes to provide practice in the integration of technical and CRM skills. LOFT is conducted using a complete cockpit flight crew to the maximum extent feasible and is accomplished in an BCAA approved FSTD.

MEDIA. Physical means for providing the instructional content and experience to the student. Includes entire set of instructional presentation materials (e.g., workbook, videotape, overheads, computer-based training (CBT), mock-ups, FSTDs, simulators, etc.).

MODULE. A group of subject matter under a specific curriculum segment. Second of four curriculum levels of detail (segment, module, lesson, and element). Often corresponds to a day of training or a device event, such as FSTD #3 or flight simulator (SIM) #6).

MOTOR SKILL. Physical actions required to perform a specific task (subtask or element). Students have acquired a motor skill not when they can simply perform a prescribed procedure, but when their movements are smooth, regular, and precisely timed. Those hands-on skills that are prerequisite to the performance of a task, subtask, element, or sub-element.

OBSERVABLE BEHAVIOR. A behaviour whose occurrence during the performance of an event is an indicator that the crew is handling the event properly. Observable behaviours are one part of the performance standards identified for each event.

PLANNED HOURS. Planned hours represent the estimated amount of time (as specified in a curriculum outline) that it takes an average student to complete a segment of instruction (to include all instruction, demonstration, practice, and evaluation, as appropriate, to reach proficiency). Planned hours replace the programmed hours associated with traditional programmes. The sum of planned hours will not be used by the BCAA as a basis for programme approval, review, or compliance assessment.

PROTEUS. A software programme containing formats, functionality, procedures, and sample documents designed to guide and automate portions of the development of AQPs.

RANDOM LINE CHECK. Granted by exemption. A no-notice (not scheduled and with no prior notification) proficiency assessment of an entire flight crew’s operational proficiency conducted by an examiner during revenue flight operations or during operationally oriented flight, such as ferry flights or proving flights.

SKILL. An ability to perform an activity or action. Often divided into motor/hands-on and cognitive categories.

SPECIAL PURPOSE OPERATIONAL TRAINING (SPOT). A portion of an LOS training scenario consisting of flight tasks selected from any phase or phases of flight to provide practice in the integration of technical and CRM skills appropriate to the selected flight tasks. SPOT is conducted using a complete cockpit flight crew to the maximum extent feasible and is accomplished in a simulation device.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

SUBTASK. Specific separate step or activity required in the accomplishment of a task. May also refer to categories of a task (e.g., non-precision approach – VOR, NDB, LOC, etc.)

SUPPORTING PROFICIENCY OBJECTIVE (SPO). A proficiency objective created at the subtask level.

TASK. A task is a unit of work within a function having an identifiable beginning and ending point, which results in a measurable product. An example of a task applicable to AQP: perform a normal takeoff.

TECHNICAL SKILLS. Within an AQP, technical skills refer to those manoeuvres, procedures and other behaviours that have a high psychomotor component, while CRM skills refer to those communication, decision-making and workload management behaviours that have a high cognitive component.

TERMINAL PROFICIENCY OBJECTIVE (TPO). A proficiency objective created at the task level.

TPO/SPO HIERARCHY. The hierarchy of all TPOs and SPOs organized by phase of flight in the Proteus database.

TRAINING SESSION. A contiguously scheduled period of time devoted to training activities at a facility acceptable to the BCAA for that purpose.

TRAINING TO PROFICIENCY. Training to a performance level that meets or exceeds a qualification standard. This concept must include enough repetition and practice to ensure that each individual can perform at the qualification standard level over the entire evaluation period or continuing qualification cycle.

TRIGGERING CONDITIONS. The conditions whose occurrence defines the beginning of an event.

VALIDATION. Determination that required/desired results were produced. In training systems, the methods and procedures for development, implementation and maintenance as well as performance objectives and results will be validated.

VARIANT. A specifically configured aircraft for which the BCAA has identified training and qualification requirements that are significantly different from those applicable to other aircraft of the same make, model, and series.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

APPENDIX 2

SAMPLE AQP APPLICATION FORMAT

Ref:

Date:

Director
Aeronautical Licensing Directorate

Subject: Initial Application for Advanced Qualification Programme

The purpose of this letter is to inform you that _____ Airline intends to develop, implement and operate an Advanced Qualification Programme (AQP) curriculum, beginning with our _____ aircraft fleet. We have examined and understand the Advanced Qualification Programme requirements, listed in CAP 20 - Advanced Qualification Programme.

This letter serves as _____ Airline's formal application to engage in the five-phase development process of an AQP, which is an alternative regulatory method of training, evaluating and qualifying our flight (crewmembers) (dispatchers). Our airline will develop its AQP training programme in accordance with the performance-based methodology that is described in CAP 20. The initial development process will include at least the following AQP documents:

- (a) Initial Job Task Listing;
- (b) Qualification Standards;
- (c) Instructional Systems Development Methodology;
- (d) Curriculum Outlines, and an
- (e) Implementation and Operations Plan.

All AQP documentation will be submitted to the BCAA for its review and joint approval. It will also show how our proposed AQP curriculum provides an equivalent or enhanced level of safety for each traditional regulation requirement that is replaced by our AQP.

We see our voluntary commitment to AQP as an important safety goal. To achieve that goal, and to facilitate a safe and timely transition to AQP, _____ Airline will commit the appropriate personnel and resources to complete the task, and to continuously maintain the programme in the future. Accordingly, in support of this application, we submit the following attachments:

- (a) AQP Organisation and Database Management Plan, which describes the multiple resources that our airline plans to employ for its AQP development. This attachment identifies the airline's leadership person for AQP implementation, and delineates the staff of key subject matter experts who will be tasked with phase II document and curriculum development, including electronic database management and other computer related issues. The detailed AQP organisation plan reflects our commitment to the goal of achieving each requirement that is unique to a successful AQP implementation.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

- (b) A summary of demographic data of our crews, who will be trained under an AQP curriculum. This data includes the current ground and flight instructors and examiners who are expected to continue these functions under AQP.
- (c) An operating environment description that articulates our airline's geographic areas of operation, general environmental factors, plus other operational factors which may be critical to development of meaningful proficiency objectives and line operational simulations (LOS).
- (d) A description of our training equipment, its location, and the organisation responsible for its security and maintenance. Also included is the identification number and the level of qualification identifying flight synthetic training devices.
- (e) A description of our training facilities including the location, type of facility, classrooms, training aids, courseware, and other features that contributes to creating and maintaining a positive learning environment.

The attached Master AQP Transition Schedule (MATS) describes our timeline for completion of the transition process from our current training programme to an AQP. The MATS will be maintained as a current document. The point of contact for our AQP development will be _____, and he/she may be contacted at _____, or through e-mail _____.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

APPENDIX 3

AQP DOCUMENTATION CHECKLIST AND REVIEW JOB AID

This Advanced Qualification Programme (AQP) tool contains seven job aids for both the BCAA and the certificate holder to use as simplified checklists for the development and review of the documentation requirements of AQP. Other document configurations may be appropriate to a specific certificate holder. If a certificate holder adopts a different document configuration, then the applicant should provide clear and specific guidance as to the location of the information for each of these document topics. The comment section may be used to record any remarks relative to the review and approval of the document.

There are six document types and one annual report required for each AQP certificate holder. Each document will have its own job aid:

1. Application -- One per AQP airline/training centre
2. Job Task Analysis – One for each trainee type and one for each trainee type's instructor/examiners
3. Qualification Standards -- One for each trainee type (e.g., pilot, cabin crew, and dispatcher) and one for each trainee type's instructor/examiners
4. Instructional Systems Development Methodology -- One per AQP airline/training centre
5. Curriculum Outline -- One per curriculum/make, model, series, variant and instructor/examiner
6. Implementation and Operations Plan (I&O Plan) -- One per AQP airline/training centre.

*Note: Each of the above documents must remain current throughout the life of the AQP.
Each of the documents must be on a revision control process.*

Annual AQP Report – Certificate holders will monitor the status of all AQP curriculums and the performance/proficiency Database and will summarize their findings annually in a report to the BCAA. Although there is no established format for the report, the associated job aid can be used to highlight areas to be addressed in the report.



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Application, Phase I –CAP 20 The purpose of the application is to establish the applicant’s methodology for developing an AQP for all of its fleet, instructors, and evaluators, and for non-fleet specific curriculum (i.e., indoctrination). The application is submitted once and is updated as information in the application changes (e.g., a change in the transition schedule, adding new aircraft, initiating flight attendant or dispatcher AQP programs, etc.). In order to establish the applicant’s intent and approach for developing an AQP, the application should thoroughly discuss the following topics numbered 1 – 9 in this job aid.

1	Statement of Intent.	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the Statement of Intent specify the applicant’s intent to develop, implement, and operate an AQP?			
	b. Does the Statement of Intent address all fleets?			
	c. Does the Statement of Intent address how and to what extent the AQP will be operated and maintained?			
	d. Does the Statement of Intent address how CRM will be integrated and measured?			
	e. Does the Statement of Intent include the use of the single visit exemption or that single visit will not be used?			
2	The Applicant’s Staff Organization.	Y	N	Comments
	a. AQP Coordination: Is a person identified that will be the focal point for the applicant’s AQP development and contact with the BCAA?			
	b. Subject Matter Expertise (SME): Are current and qualified individuals identified by name or position, who have varying levels of expertise that fairly represent the population of professionals the AQP will address?			
	c. Document and Curriculum Development: Are individual(s) identified by name or position, who interface with the AQP coordinator and the SMEs to develop the requisite AQP process, curriculum, and instructor/evaluator documents?			
	d. Document Management: Is an individual identified, who ensures AQP document control and congruence with BCAA approvals?			
	e. Computer Specialist/Database Management: Is an individual identified who will develop and manage the performance/proficiency data acquisition and analysis system?			
	f. In addition, will the computer specialist/database manager be used for other computer-related issues related to the facilitation of an AQP, such as electronic document review?			
3	Data Collection, Submission and Analysis Reporting	Y	N	Comments



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

	a. Does the applicant acknowledge their understanding and acceptance of the AQP performance data requirements by stating the intended purpose for the collection, management, analysis, and reporting of AQP training/evaluation data for each curriculum?			
	b. Does the applicant defer to the I&O plan for describing the process and methodology for AQP data collection and analysis?			
	c. Does the applicant acknowledge that an electronic data management system will be developed prior to entering Phase III of any AQP curriculum?			
	d. Does the applicant acknowledge the requirement for collecting SVTP data?			
	e. Does the applicant acknowledge that requirement for submitting de-identified data to the BCAA no later than 2 months after collecting the data?			
	f. Does the applicant acknowledge the requirement of a more stringent collection and analysis of the data than that submitted to the BCAA?			
	g. Does the applicant describe the purpose of the data analysis and how it will be used?			
	h. Does the applicant acknowledge the requirement for submitting an annual AQP report summarizing their data analysis and any resulting changes that ensued in their AQP program?			
4	Supporting Documents and Manuals	Y	N	Comments
	a. Do the supporting documents and manuals list each make, model, and series aircraft or variant?			
	b. Has the applicant provided the BCAA with the following documents or manuals:			
	1. A current listing of company manufacturers manuals that governs company operations?			
	2. General descriptive summary of each aircraft type, including aircraft configuration and the performance baseline?			
	3. Flight Operations Manual (FOM)?			
	4. General Operations Manual (GOM)?			
	5. Manufacturer's Aircraft Flight Manual (AFM)?			
	6. Master Equipment List (MEL/CDL)?			
	c. Does the applicant have available the training and qualification recommendations in the Flight Standards Board reports?			
5	Operating Environment Description	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the applicant describe the operating environment, including the general			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

	meteorological and geographic factors expected to be encountered during operations?			
	b. Does this description include the weather norms and extremes expected to be encountered in operations?			
	c. Does this description include normal, abnormal, and emergency equipment operation in geographic areas that require special procedures (e.g., engine failures in mountainous terrain)?			
	d. Does this description include terminal and en route operating areas such as controlled and uncontrolled airfields?			
6	Trainee Demographics	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the applicant provide a general summary of trainee experience and entry level by aircraft make, model, series or variant?			
	b. Does the applicant identify entry requirements for ground and flight instructors and evaluators?			
	c. Does the applicant group students in terms of previous experience (e.g., with high, low, and mean experience included)?			
	d. Does the applicant identify the current and anticipated need for replacement crewmembers by duty position? This information is necessary to determine priority on curriculum development?			
7	Training Equipment – Description and Location	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the applicant identify the training equipment to be used, its location, and identify the organization (vendor or applicant) responsible for its security and maintenance?			
	b. Does the applicant identify flight simulators and/or flight-training devices by make, model, serial number, and/or BCAA identification number assigned by the National Simulator Program Manager?			
8	Facilities Description	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the applicant describe the location, general type of facility, classrooms, training aids, course software, and other resources to be used to support AQP training?			
9	Master AQP Transition Schedule (MATS)	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the MATS include all aircraft, aircrew, instructors, evaluators, and other personnel that the applicant intends to transition to AQP?			
	b. Is the MATS complete? A partial MATS is not acceptable.			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

	c. Does the MATS address how currently qualified personnel may transition between traditional recurrent training & continuing qualification curriculums or single visit training?			A simple spreadsheet, provided by the applicant, may be helpful to highlight the crew pairing requirements during the first year of AQP or Single Visit operations.
	d. Does the MATS address how personnel who have completed initial, transition or upgrade curricula may enter a continuing qualification curriculum?			
	e. Does the MATS address personnel who have completed a traditional basic indoctrination curriculum, but have not completed an initial transition or upgrade curriculum?			
	f. Does the MATS address personnel who are current instructors or evaluators and how they may transition to AQP via a differences course?			
	g. Does the MATS address the incremental implementation of the curriculums as opposed to all at once?			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Job Task Analysis (JTA), Phase II –CAP 20. A JTA is the method or procedure used to reduce a unit of work to its base components. The JTA provides a detailed, sequential listing of tasks, subtasks, and elements with the knowledge, skill, and attitude characteristics (KSAs) that clearly define and completely describe the job. An applicant will provide a JTA for each make, model and series aircraft (or variant). These may be submitted as individual listings, or a single-higher level listing with appendices for each aircraft, showing its unique lower-level features. As a complete document, the JTA has four components: a job task list, a learning analysis (KSA), identified crew positions, and references.

1	JTA Structure	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the JTA provide a general introduction explaining the development of the task listing and the subsequent task analysis and how it is to be used to form the basis for the qualification standards, and the AQP curriculums upon which they are built?			
	b. Is the JTA organized using a hierarchical system with the flight phases at the top level, tasks at the next level, component subtasks at the next level, and elements at the next level?			
	c. Is the JTA complete with tasks, subtasks, elements, and crew positions?			
	d. Has the applicant completed full development of the JTA to the element level?			
	e. In the JTA applicable knowledge, skills, CRM markers, and (where desired) attitudes are applied at the element level?			
2	Flightcrew Training Requirements	Y	N	Comments
	Does the JTA incorporate all knowledge and skill requirements currently specified in the regulations?			
	a. Aircraft Systems' Subjects			
	Aircraft General			
	Equipment and Furnishings			
	Emergency Equipment			
	Powerplants			
	Electrical			
	Pneumatic			
	Air-conditioning and Pressurization			
	APU			
	Hydraulics			
	Landing Gear and Brakes			
	Flight Controls			
	Fuel			
	Communications Equipment			
	Flight Instruments			
	Navigation Equipment			
	Autoflight			
	Warning and Detection Systems (to include TCAS, GPWS, and WX Radar)			
	Fire and Overheat Protection			
	Oxygen			
	Aircraft Performance & Limitations			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

MEL/CDL			
b. System-Operations Integrations Training	Y	N	Comments
Preflight Visual Inspection			
Prestart Checklist and Procedures			
Taxi to include lowest visibility allowed by OPSPECS			
Pre-Takeoff Checks and Procedures			
Normal Takeoff			
Crosswind Takeoff			
Instrument Takeoff (Low Visibility)			
Powerplant Failure on Takeoff (at or near V1)			
Powerplant Failure After V2			
Rejected Takeoff			
Area Departure			
Cruise Procedures			
Holding			
Area Arrival			
Normal ILS			
Engine-out ILS			
Autopilot-Coupled ILS			
Non-precision Approach			
ILS missed Approach			
Second Missed Approach			
Precision Radar Monitored Approaches/Missed			
Circling Approach			
No-flap Landing			
Crosswind Landing			
Landing With Engine Out			
Landing From Circling Approach			
Rejected Landing			
Landing With 50% Power Loss			
Approaches to Stalls			
Steep Turns			
Powerplant Failure			
Windshear Training			
Mis-Trim Situations			
Selected Events – Unusual Attitudes			
TCAS and GPWS – Escape			
Normal and Abnormal Procedures			
Emergency Procedures			
c. Company Operations Manual Content	Y	N	Comments
Company Policy or Procedures (dispatch and flight release requirements)			
Regulations, Operations Specifications, and Standards Operating Procedures (SOP)			
Weather Requirements (seasonal changes, flight into various geographic locations and temperature-related requirements)			
Hazardous Material			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

	Security			
	Special Operations (special airports, special approaches and departures)			
	Emergency crew assigned duties and procedures			
	Operation of emergency equipment/systems			
	Operation of ditching/evacuation equipment/systems			
	Crew Resource Management (CRM)			
	Emergency Situation Training – Rapid Decompression, Fire (Flight/Surface), and smoke control procedures			
	Assistance of Persons to Exits during Emergency			
	Illness, Injury, or Other abnormal Situations Involving Passengers or Crew (use of medical kit)			
	Flight Physiology (i.e., Hypoxia, Respiration, etc.)			
	Use of Checklist (SOP)			
	Cockpit Familiarization			
	Preflight Planning and FMS			
	In-Flight Planning L-Nav, V-Nav, R-Nav, and GPS			
	Required Navigation Procedures			
	Navigation Systems Integration			
	Autoflight and Flight Director Integration			
	Use of Radar/CRTs			
	TCAS			
	GPWS/TAWS			
	Communications Systems Integration (ACARS/FMS/CPDLC)			
	Surface Movement Guidance Systems (SMGS)			
	Runway Incursion Prevention Strategy			
	Stabilized Approach Strategy			
	Precision Radar Monitoring (PRM) Procedures			
	Land and Hold Short (LAHSO) Procedures			
	CAT II/III			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Qualification Standards (QS), Phase II – CAP 20. The qualification standards document has four parts, the prologue, a regulatory comparison, the evaluation/remediation methodology, and the specific terminal proficiency objectives (TPO)/supporting proficiency objectives (SPO) qualification standard.

1. Prologue: This is an introductory section that explains the methodology, format, and terminology of the document.
2. Regulatory Requirements Comparison: The qualification standards document must also include regulatory comparison information.
3. Testing/Validation/Evaluation and Remediation Methodology: This section is a detailed plan describing the point in the curriculum when a test, validation, or evaluation will be applied. It must identify what constitutes a failure and/or unsatisfactory performance. This section will also describe the remediation strategy to be used to correct unsatisfactory performance and special tracking provisions.
4. The Qualification Standard: The qualification standard is constructed by applying a performance statement, conditions, and standards to a task or subtask, thereby creating a TPO or an SPO.

1	Qualification Standards Prologue	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the prologue discuss the methodology used to develop the qualification standard document?			
	b. Does the methodology explain how any aspect, from curriculum outline to lesson elements or grade sheet items, is traceable to an item in the qualification standard?			
	c. Does the prologue discuss the format (structure) that is used for the qualifications standards?			
	d. Does the prologue define terms used for the qualification standards?			
2	Regulatory Comparison	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the regulatory comparison specify the requirements of the applicable practical test standards?			
	b. Are departures from those requirements identified and justified?			
	c. Are any standards specifications used that differ from practical test standards?			
3	Testing/Validation/Evaluation & Remediation Methodology	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the applicant describe where to ascertain how, when, where, and who will assess a student's proficiency on each terminal and supporting objective?			
	b. Does this section identify the points in the curriculum where the testing, validation, or evaluation will be applied?			
	Systems Validation			
	Procedures Validation			
	Maneuvers Validation			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

	LOE		
	Line Checks		
	c. Does the applicant clearly define the different strategies that will be used to test, validate, or evaluate performance?		
	First Look		
	Train To Proficiency		
	Systems/Knowledge Validation		
	Procedures Validation		
	Maneuvers Validation		
	Line Operational Evaluation		
	Initial line Check		
	Line Check		
	d. Does this section describe how the criticality and currency ratings translate into testing strategies for TPOs and SPOs in the continuing qualification cycle?		
	e. Does this section describe how a TPO with several SPOs may be alternatively sampled over multiple evaluation periods or continuing qualification cycles? e.g., TPO (non-Precision Approaches) SPOs (NDB, VOR, BC, etc.)		
	f. Does the applicant specify and clearly describe the rating scale that will be used by instructors/evaluators to score performance?		
	g. Do the rating scale definitions clearly discriminate performance levels? Are they clear?		
	h. Does the applicant identify what constitutes a failure and/or unsatisfactory performance for each validation/evaluation point?		
	i. Does the applicant specify the strategy for remedying unsatisfactory performance?		
	j. Does this remediation strategy detail when and what may be repeated and whether or not additional training is warranted?		
	k. Does the applicant describe the methodology that will be used to remediate unsuccessful testing, validation, or evaluation sessions?		
	l. Does the applicant describe the level of training devices, simulators, or aircraft to be used to evaluate the proficiency objective at each point in the curriculum?		
	m. Does the remediation strategy specify when no more training will be offered to the individual and the resulting actions such as “Referred to Director of Training,” “returned to previous position,” etc.?		
	n. Does the remediation strategy describe the criteria for placing an individual on special tracking?		
	o. Does the remediation strategy describe the strategy that will be used for an individual on special tracking?		
	p. Does the remediation strategy describe what must take place for an individual to be removed from special tracking?		



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

4	Qualification Standards	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does each individual qualification standard contain the following?			
	A header identifying the airline and the document?			
	Page revision control dates and revision numbers?			
	Consecutive page numbers?			
	Phase of Operations: Number and title from task listing?			
	Qualification Standard Title: Either TPOs or SPOs?			
	Task or Subtask: Number and title from task listing?			
	Crew duty positions?			
	Criticality/Currency Rating: From the task factors analysis?			
	Curriculum: This field identifies the curriculum(s) in which the task will be trained and evaluated.			
	Evaluation Strategy: The evaluation point for this particular qualification standard: e.g., train to proficiency, procedures validation, maneuvers validation, LOE, or line check?			
	Media: The specific media in which training and/or evaluation will be conducted. For qualification, the media is the lowest media used for final evaluation. For continuing qualification, the media is the range of media used for training.			
	Performance Statement: An expanded statement of expected behavior, which, when executed, will complete the work required for a specific portion of a job?			
	Conditions operational and environmental? Are the specific conditions to be used for the qualification curriculum specified?			
	Contingencies: Are the specific contingencies to be used for the qualification curriculum specified?			
	Maneuver Standards: Are they specified and do they correspond to the standards listed in the practical test standards?			
	Procedural Standards: They may be specific or general. If they are specific, do they correspond to the standards listed in the manuals listed in the reference block? If general, do they reference information in a document or manual to chapter or section (page numbers are not required)?			
	References: Identify the primary references from which performance statements and associated standards were derived. Cite documents by title and where applicable,			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

	chapter or section. Page numbers are not required.			
	Are there any operations specifications requirements other than those listed above?			

The Instructional Systems Development Methodology document describes the approach to be used by applicant airlines to develop and maintain all Advanced Qualification Program (AQP) curriculums. This document is divided into two sections. The first section, Development Procedures, describes the applicant's approach for using the Job Task Analyses and Qualification Standards as baseline documents to construct their general training curriculums across all AQP courses. The second section,



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Line Operational Simulation Methodology, describes the approach for developing line operational simulation (LOS) scenarios.

1	Development Procedures	Y	N	Comments
	a. Is the procedure for allocating TPOs and SPOs to lessons, selecting media and methods, and developing the curriculums described?			
	b. Does the applicant describe how enabling objectives (EO) are developed to support their higher level objectives?			
	c. Does the applicant describe how learning and evaluation activities are developed to support these objectives?			
	d. Does the applicant describe how instructional media and methods are assigned to objectives?			
	e. Does the applicant describe how objectives are clustered and sequenced into lessons, modules, segments, and curriculums?			
	f. Does the applicant describe how an audit trail will be maintained to link proficiency objectives, lesson activities/content, and test items?			
2	Line Operational Simulation (LOS) Methodology	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the applicant describe how the typical scenario is constructed?			
	b. Does the applicant describe how each event set relates to a phase of operations?			
	c. Does the applicant describe how each event set consists of a series of proficiency objectives that include both technical and CRM activities?			
	d. Does the applicant describe how each event set consists of a series of proficiency objectives that include both technical and CRM activities?			
	e. Does the applicant identify possible sources of incidents that will elicit the behavior required by the proficiency objectives selected for the scenario?			
	f. Does the applicant define the basic success criteria for the LOS, and each event set within it?			
	g. Does the applicant describe the scenario development process?			
	Drafting – who will do the work?			
	Use of gradesheets?			
	Testing – who will be involved?			
	Training instructors/evaluators to administer LOS scenario?			
<p>The curriculum outline is a listing of course content. It should be arranged from curriculum into segments, segments into modules, modules into lessons, and lessons into elements. Each part of the curriculum outline must clearly indicate the subject matter to be taught and correspond directly to the heterarchical system of the task analysis. A curriculum outline provides the basis for the curriculum footprint, which is a high level graphical overview of the curriculum content depicting the training and evaluation activities and the proposed hours for each day of the curriculum.</p>				



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

1	Curriculum Outline	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the certificate holder have indoctrination, qualification, and continuing qualification curriculums for each duty position in each make, model, and series/variant aircraft?			
	b. Does the certificate holder have separate indoctrination, qualification, and continuing qualification curriculums for the instructors and evaluators?			
	c. Does the certificate holder have any special curriculums (transition, upgrade, requalification or refresher)?			
	d. Is each curriculum constructed in the following order: curriculum, segment, module, lesson, and lesson element?			
	e. Does the curriculum outline provide a level of detail that will allow the AQP applicant to make changes to the syllabus without submitting a new document for each syllabus change?			
	f. Does the curriculum outline include:			
	Operator's name			
	Duty Position(s)?			
	Title of curriculum and/or curriculum segment?			
	A listing of numbered (coded) objectives organized into lessons, modules, and segments?			Numbers (codes) must allow tracking objectives back to qualification standards and Job Task Analysis
	An outline of each training module within each curriculum segment?			Each module should contain sufficient detail to ensure that the main features of the principal elements or events will be addressed during instruction.
	The checking and qualification modules of the qualification curriculum segment used to determine successful course completion, including any regulation qualification requirements for crewmembers to serve in operations such as initial operating experience, line checks, operating familiarization?			
	g. Does the curriculum outline indicate that it is part of the revision control system by page format?			
	h. Do the curriculum outlines provide a hierarchical link (proficiency objectives) between the qualification standards and a curriculum?			
	i. Does each part of the curriculum outline clearly indicate the subject matter be taught and correspond directly to the hierarchical numbering system of the task analysis?			
2	Curriculum Footprint	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the curriculum footprint describe the training and evaluation activities conducted each day of the curriculum?			
	b. Does the curriculum footprint include the planned hours?			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Implementation and Operations Plan (I&O Plan). This document is a milestone schedule detailing the transition to an AQP for crewmembers, dispatchers, instructors, evaluators, and other operations personnel and a blueprint describing provisions for maintenance, administration, data management, and continuing quality control of curriculums. The I&O Plan can be sectioned into two parts. The first part spells out how the operator proposes to implement the AQP. Included in this proposal is the schedule for the Phase III training evaluation to include instructor/evaluator training and small group tryouts. It should also include provisos for evaluating the effectiveness of performance measurement tools, and provisions for evaluating facilities, courseware, and equipment before starting the plans for the small group tryouts. The second part explains how the certificate holder intends to operate the AQP in phases IV and V. Included in this section are strategies for maintaining the program, crew parting policy, first-look administration, and instructor/evaluator requirements. The operations plan should also describe in detail the data management plan. This plan includes a statement of understanding addressing the collection and analysis of performance/proficiency data and a description of the performance proficiency database (PPDB), the data management collection process, and the BCAA data submission, analysis, and reporting requirements.

1	Implementation – Phase III	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does this section include schedules for the implementation of each of the AQP curriculums?			
	b. Do the schedules correlate to the MATS?			
	c. Do the schedules include dates for training the instructor/evaluators?			
	d. Does the instructor/evaluator training include difference training for those previously qualified (if applicable)?			
	e. Does this section include provisions for evaluating facilities, courseware, and equipment before starting the Phase III training?			
	f. Does this section describe a plan for evaluating and observing the instructors and evaluators during the Phase III small group tryout?			
	g. Does the instructor/evaluator training include provisions for evaluating the effectiveness performance measurements such as the application of the rating scale, use of grade sheets and student feedback?			
	h. Does this section indicate that the applicant will request no-jeopardy credit for the small group tryout course graduates in a separate letter?			
2	Operations Phases IV and V – AQP Maintenance	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does this section describe the methodology for maintaining control of the AQP approval documents?			
	b. Does this methodology include a procedure for providing document copies to the BCAA inspector?			
	c. Does this section describe the strategy to be employed for curriculum maintenance and update?			
	d. Does the strategy for curriculum maintenance and update include plans for acquiring and measuring data for tracking curricula?			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

	e. Does the strategy for curriculum maintenance and update identify the person(s) responsible making changes in the AQP?			
	f. Does this section describe the strategy for monitoring and responding to demographic changes?			
	g. Does this section describe using training and evaluation feedback to maintain and improve the AQP?			
	h. Does this section describe how student and instructor feedback will be obtained?			
	i. Does this section describe any plans to upgrade training equipment?			
3	Operations Phases IV and V – First-Look Maneuvers Administration	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the applicant define first-look maneuvers, their purpose, and the strategy that will be used to administer them?			
	b. Does this strategy indicate who will administer the first-look maneuvers and at what point in the curriculum?			
	c. Does this strategy state that first-look will not be briefed prior to the first execution of these items?			
	d. Does this section describe how first-look maneuvers are selected?			
	e. Does this section describe how the first-look maneuvers would be updated?			
	f. Does the applicant describe how first-look maneuvers will be analyzed to determine trends of degraded proficiency?			
4	Operations Phases IV and V – LOFT/LOE Crew Scheduling and Pairing Policy	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does this section describe the circumstances that would require a seat substitute?			
	b. Does this section describe the decision rules that would apply in obtaining a seat substitute?			
	c. Does the decision rules that would apply in obtaining a seat substitute ensure that in all cases, the seat substitute must be familiar with the duty position?			
	d. Does this section describe at what point in the curriculum that a seat substitute would be used?			
	e. Does the applicant acknowledge that all occurrences of seat position substitution including the qualification of the seat substitute must be reported?			
5	Operations Phases IV and V – Instructor/Evaluator Requirements	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the applicant identify by title each instructor or evaluator position?			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

	b. Does the applicant describe the job function(s) that each instructor or evaluator is authorized to perform?			
6	Data Plan	Y	N	Comments
	a. Does the data plan have a prologue that establishes the intended purpose and methods for the collection, management, analysis, and reporting of AQP training/evaluation data for each curriculum?			
	b. Does the prologue specify how the data plan will be maintained and updated?			
	c. Does the prologue acknowledge the airline's responsibility to collect and analyze more data than is required to be submitted to the BCAA in order to adequately identify performance trends and make changes to factors that impact the crewmember performance?			
	d. Does the data plan address the methods (e.g., gradesheets, computer-input screens, etc.) used to collect performance/proficiency data for all curriculums?			
	e. Does the data plan address data input quality control, security, and usability?			
	f. Does the data plan address data management as the means and strategy the AQP airline intends to employ to store, access, and assimilate the AQP/SVTP performance/proficiency data that is collected?			
	g. Does the data plan address the type of software the data management system employs (e.g., relational database, spreadsheet, etc.), the organization of the information in the electronic medium (e.g., database definition, database table relationships, spreadsheet description, etc.) and a description of the user interface to this data management system?			
	h. Does the data plan address the type of analysis it will employ to facilitate the AQP performance information needs of the airline and the BCAA? This discussion of the data analysis must address how each type of AQP data will be analyzed.			
	i. Does the data plan address the BCAA data submission requirements including format and frequency?			
	j. Does the data plan address the type of data format it will employ for the reports, (e.g., tabular reports, graphs)?			
	k. Does the data plan address the frequency of the reports, both internal and BCAA?			
	l. Does the data plan identify the internal airline personnel that will receive the reports?			
	m. Does the data plan include copies of all forms used for data acquisition and grading?			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

	n. Does the data plan include a database description of data field types and a graphical depiction of the Database table relationships?			
	o. Does the data plan address a quality assurance strategy for ensuring data integrity? Does this strategy include instructor and evaluator grading calibration?			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Annual AQP Report – Phases IV & V. AQP requires that each AQP certificate holder prepare an annual AQP report for the BCAA. This report is based on the certificate holder's analysis of the data that is collected during training and at strategic points (validation/evaluation gates) in each curriculum and maintained in the proficiency/performance database (PPDB). AQP requires data collection and analysis in order to establish and maintain quality control of curricula for crewmembers, instructors, and evaluators. The annual AQP report should summarize the lessons learned and adjustments made to the curriculum(s) during the reporting period. The report should also include projected or proposed changes to the curriculum(s) based on the certificate holder's current analysis. The actual adjustments made to the AQP are reflected in revisions to the approved AQP documents. The report should be submitted to the BCAA no later than 60 days past the end of the report period. The reporting period is usually based on the approval date for a particular curriculum in either phase IV or V. During AQP development, particularly for multiple fleet operators, with different approval dates for multiple curriculums, the reporting period may be modifiable as agreed upon by the BCAA and the certificate holder. Copies of the report should be distributed to the BCAA inspector at least 2 weeks prior to the annual AQP review meeting.

	Annual AQP Report	Y	N	Comments
	a. Is there a prologue or introduction to the report that addresses how each type of AQP data was analyzed? This prologue should corroborate the information in the I&O Plan.			
	b. Does the report discuss data reliability and consistency?			
	c. Does the report summarize the internal quality assurance reports as addressed in the I&O Plan?			
	d. Does the report validate the effectiveness of the AQP with supporting evidence of successful training and evaluation?			
	e. Is the report supported by the BCAA analysis of the submitted data?			
	f. Does the report identify any trends, problem areas, and potential deficiencies that could result in decreased proficiency?			
	g. does the report include a description of corrective measures taken and any resulting changes to curriculums?			
	h. Does the report include any projected corrective measures to be taken and provide rationale for these changes?			
	i. Does the report indicate a need for changes to the AQP maintenance strategy as described in the I&O Plan?			
	j. Does the report indicate a need for changes to the certificate holders data plan as described in the I&O Plan?			
	k. Does the report provide an analytical comparison of data between equivalent periods in preceding years?			
	l. Does the report identify any future operational changes that will affect the AQP (operational changes or trainee demographics)?			
	m. Does the report analyze training and evaluation feedback as part of the collected data to determine the effectiveness of the training program?			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

n. Does the report analyze on-time competition rates for training curriculums and initial operating experience?			
o. Does the report analyze special tracking rates?			
p. Does the report analyze instructor/evaluator (I/E)			
q. Does the report analyze instructor comments as part of the collected data to determine the effectiveness of the training program?			
r. Does the report analyze first look data?			
s. Does the report analyze maneuvers data?			
t. Does the report analyze LOE data by technical topics, and CRM elements?			
u. Does the report analyze Line check data (exclusive of initial OE)?			
v. Does the report address progress towards Phases III, IV, & V in other fleets (as applicable)?			
w. Does the report address seat substitution rates?			
x. Does the report address recordkeeping?			
y. Does the report address adherence to the I&O Plan?			
z. Does the report address the validity and usefulness of the qualification standards?			
aa. Does the report address internal audit or BCAA surveillance findings?			
bb. Does the report address related safety programs such as Flight Data Analysis?			



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

APPENDIX 4

QUALIFICATION STANDARDS DOCUMENTATION

This is the section of the Job Task Analysis that serves as the foundation document for the sample qualification standards that follow. Task 6.1 serves as the basis for the terminal proficiency objective (TPO)-level qualification standard while subtasks 6.1.1 and 6.1.2 serve as the foundation for the supporting proficiency objective (SPO)-level qualification standards.

Generic Airlines Inc Operations Manual Volume 9

Chapter 3: Qualification Standards, B-767 Flight crew

Rev. # Original Dated 06/15/05

6. Approach Operations and Landing

6.1 Perform an Instrument Approach*

6.1.1 Perform a 2 Engine Precision Approach Cat I ILS and Landing*

6.1.2 Perform a 1 Engine Inoperative Cat I ILS Approach*

6.1.2 Perform Cat II ILS

6.1.3 Perform Cat IIIb ILS

6.1.4 Perform Coupled Autopilot Approach and Autoland Procedures

6.1.5 Perform Non-Precision Approach Procedures (VOR, NDB, LOC, LOC/BC, LDA, SDF, ASR, RNav/FMS, GPS)

6.1.6 Perform an IMC One Engine Inoperative Missed Approach

6.2 Visual Approach

6.2.1 Perform Visual Approach and Landing

6.2.2 Perform Visual Approach and Rejected landing



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

* Used as an example.

Generic Airlines Inc	Operations Manual	Volume 9										
Chapter 3: Qualification Standards, B-767 Flightcrew												
Rev. # Original		Dated 06/15/05										
Task: 6.1 Perform An Instrument Approach												
Duty Position: All												
Criticality: Yes	Currency: Yes											
Curricula: Qualification and Continuing Qualification												
<p>Performance Statement:</p> <p>All instrument approach procedures will be conducted in accordance with the applicable profiles as outlined in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual (B-767 Flight Standards). During all instrument approaches, the PF will configure the airplane so as to be stabilized on the approach by 500 feet below the FAF. The PNF will continuously monitor the approach and make the required instrument approach altitude calls and deviation calls based on the parameters defined in Volume 6 Chapter 3, of the Operations Manual.</p>												
<p>Conditions:</p> <p>IMC * lowest approach minimums</p> <p>Turbulence * light</p> <p>Strong Cross Winds Present * 15K</p> <p>Icing Conditions Present * light rime</p>		<p>Contingencies:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Flight Director Inop</td> <td>Engine Failure Inside of the FAF</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FMS Inop</td> <td>Loss of Flight /Navigation Instrument</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Autopilot Inoperative *</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Windshear</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Loss of ATC Communication</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Flight Director Inop	Engine Failure Inside of the FAF	FMS Inop	Loss of Flight /Navigation Instrument	Autopilot Inoperative *		Windshear		Loss of ATC Communication	
Flight Director Inop	Engine Failure Inside of the FAF											
FMS Inop	Loss of Flight /Navigation Instrument											
Autopilot Inoperative *												
Windshear												
Loss of ATC Communication												
<p>Standards:</p> <p>During all instrument approaches, the PF achieves and maintains a stable airspeed, descent rate, vertical flight path, and configuration by 500 feet below the FAF. Between 1000 feet and 500 feet above DH or MDA, only minimum deviations from the standards below occur, and are corrected with the proper calls and responses as defined in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual. Below 500 above DH or MDA in IMC conditions, with any deviations greater than these standards, the crew calls for and executes a missed approach. The following standards apply: Prior to the FAF, the PF maintains desired altitude within +/- 100 ft., desired heading within +/- 5 degrees, and desired airspeed within +/- 10 knots; Inside the FAF or Final Segment, the PF maintains desired Airspeed within +5 or -0 knots, Localizer or VOR course within 1/2 dot either side, RMI course within +/- 5 degrees, Glideslope within 1/2 dot either side, Sink rate within 1000 fpm, and desired altitude on a non-precision approach within +50/-0 feet. The crew makes all of the required instrument approach calls and responses as detailed in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual. Volume 6 of the Operations Manual The crew complies with all ATC instructions and clearances or advises ATC if unable. The crew complies with the specific instrument approach profile as depicted in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual. The instrument approach procedure is flown correctly and adjusted as necessary based on equipment availability or other factors. The crew immediately initiates a missed approach upon arriving at the DH or MAP if the required visual references are not distinctly visible. The landing checklist is completed in a timely manner without errors or omissions.</p> <p>At all times during the approach, the crew uses standard phraseology and procedures to improve situational awareness, and communicates changes in systems or flight profiles in a clear, timely manner. The crew demonstrates strong knowledge of, and makes sound judgments concerning instrument approach procedures and policies.</p>												
Media: Level C Simulator												
Evaluation Strategy: Qualification - Maneuvers Validation/Continuing Qualification - LOE												
<p>Reference:</p> <p>Volume 6 of the Operations Manual</p> <p>Airman's Information Manual Chapter: 1</p> <p>Airman's Information Manual Chapter: 5</p> <p>Jeppesen Airway Manual</p>												

* identifies specific condition used during qualification validation/evaluation



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Generic Airlines Inc	Operations Manual	Volume 9
Chapter 3: Qualification Standards, B-767 Flightcrew		
Rev. # Original		Dated 06/15/05
Task: 6.1.1 Perform a 2 Engine Precision Approach Cat I ILS		
Duty Position: All		
Criticality: No		Currency: Yes
Curricula: Qualification and Continuing Qualification		
<p>Performance Statement:</p> <p>The crew will complete the appropriate approach briefing prior to the initial approach fix. Once cleared for the approach, the PF will configure the airplane prior to the FAF per the Generic Airline B-767 Precision Approach Profile, so as to be stabilized on the approach by 500 feet below the FAF as outlined in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual. The PF will call for the configuration changes and the PM will perform each action. The landing checklist will be completed during the approach prior to landing. The PM will give the required altitude calls in reference to DH as outlined in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual. When deviations from the standards occur, the PNF will give the proper correction call outs as defined in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual. With the adequate visual references in sight, the crew will execute the required calls and responses and transition to a normal landing. If, upon arriving at DH, neither the approach lights nor runway is in sight, then the PM will call for the missed approach. If upon arriving at DH and only the approach light system is visible the PF may continue the approach down to 100 feet above touchdown zone elevation. If at this point the requirements of FAR 91.175 are not visible, the PF will call for the missed approach and the crew will perform the missed approach procedures. If the runway environment becomes visible prior to 1000 feet above DH, the PM will call the runway in sight and the PF will state "Visual Calls." The PM will then proceed with stating the appropriate visual approach calls and the visual approach procedures may be applied</p>		
<p>Conditions:</p> <p>IMC Strong Cross Winds Present Icing Conditions Present</p>		<p>Contingencies:</p> <p>Flight Director Inop FMS Inop Autopilot Inoperative</p>
<p>Standards:</p> <p>For all precision instrument approaches, the PF achieves and maintains a stable airspeed, descent rate, vertical flight path, and configuration by 500 Below DH. Between 1000 feet and 500 feet above DH, only minimum deviations from the standards below occur, and are corrected with the proper calls and responses as defined in Chapter 6, Volume 6 of the Operations Manual. Below 1000 above DH in IMC conditions, with any deviations greater than these standards, the crew calls for and executes a missed approach. The following standards apply: Prior to the FAF, the PF maintains desired altitude within +/- 100 ft., desired heading within +/- 5 degrees, and desired airspeed within +/- 10 knots; Inside the FAF or Final Segment, the PF maintains desired Airspeed within +5 or -0 knots, Localizer course within 1/2 dot either side, and Glideslope within 1/2 dot either side. The crew makes all of the required instrument approach calls and responses as detailed in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual. The crew complies with all ATC instructions and clearances or advises ATC if unable. The crew complies with the Generic Airlines Precision Instrument Approach Profile as depicted in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual. The instrument approach procedure is flown correctly and adjusted as necessary based on equipment availability or other factors. The crew immediately initiates a missed approach upon arriving at the DH if the required visual references are not distinctly visible. The landing checklist is completed in a timely manner without errors or omissions. The crew demonstrates strong knowledge of, and makes sound judgements</p>		
Media: Level C Simulator		
Qualifying Evaluation Event: Maneuvers Validation/Continuing Qualification – Line Check (Sample)		
<p>Reference:</p> <p>Volume 6 of the Operations Manual Airman's Information Manual Chapter: 1 Airman's Information Manual Chapter: 5 Jeppesen Airway Manual</p>		



CIVIL AVIATION PUBLICATIONS

Generic Airlines Inc	Operations Manual	Volume 9
Chapter 3: Qualification Standards, B-767 Flightcrew		
Rev. # Original		Dated 06/15/05
6.1.2 Perform One Engine Inoperative Cat I ILS Approach		
Duty Position: All		
Criticality: Yes	Currency: No	
Curricula: Qualification and Continuing Qualification		
<p>Performance Statement: The crew will complete the appropriate approach briefing prior to the initial approach fix. Once cleared for the approach, the PF will configure the airplane prior to the FAF per the Generic Airline B-767 Precision Approach Profile, so as to be stabilized on the approach by 500 feet below the FAF as outlined in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual. The PF will call for the configuration changes and the PM will perform each action. The landing checklist will be completed during the approach prior to landing. The PM will give the required altitude calls in reference to DH as outlined in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual. When deviations from the standards occur, the PM will give the proper correction call outs as defined in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual. With the adequate visual references in sight, the crew will execute the required calls and responses and transition to a normal landing. If, upon arriving at DH, neither the approach lights or runway is in sight, then the PM will call for the missed approach. If upon arriving at DH and only the approach light system is visible the PF may continue the approach down to 100 feet above touchdown zone elevation. If at this point the requirements of FAR 91.175 are not visible, the PF will call for the missed approach and the crew will perform the missed approach procedures. If the runway environment becomes visible prior to 1000 feet above DH, the PM will call the runway in sight and the PF will state "Visual Calls." The PM will then proceed with stating the appropriate visual approach calls and the visual approach procedures may be applied</p>		
<p>Conditions: IMC Strong Cross Winds Present</p>	<p>Contingencies: Autopilot Inoperative</p>	
<p>Standards: The PF achieves and maintains a stable airspeed, descent rate, vertical flight path, and configuration by 500 Below DH. Between 1000 feet and 500 feet above DH, only minimum deviations from the standards below occur, and are corrected with the proper calls and responses as defined in Chapter 6, Volume 6 of the Operations Manual). Below 1000 above DH in IMC conditions, with any deviations greater than these standards, the crew calls for and executes a missed approach. The following standards apply: Prior to the FAF, the PF maintains desired altitude within +/- 100 ft., desired heading within +/- 5 degrees, and desired airspeed within +/- 10 knots; Inside the FAF or Final Segment, the PF maintains desired Airspeed within +5 or -0 knots, Localizer course within 1/2 dot either side, and Glideslope within 1/2 dot either side. The crew makes all of the required instrument approach calls and responses as detailed in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual. The crew complies with all ATC instructions and clearances or advises ATC if unable. The crew complies with the Generic Airlines Precision Instrument Approach Profile as depicted in Volume 6 of the Operations Manual). The instrument approach procedure is flown correctly and adjusted as necessary based on equipment availability or other factors. The crew immediately initiates a missed approach upon arriving at the DH if the required visual references are not distinctly visible. The landing checklist is completed in a timely manner without errors or omissions. The crew demonstrates strong knowledge of, and makes sound judgments</p>		
Media: Level C Simulator		
Qualifying Evaluation Event: Maneuvers Validation/Continuing Qualification: Maneuvers Validation		
<p>Reference: Volume 6 of the Operations Manual Airman's Information Manual Chapter: 1 Airman's Information Manual Chapter: 5 Jeppesen Airway Manual</p>		